

Wednesday,
April 17

Let's address some homework stuff before we begin.
Are we allowed to use f_0 in Zeckendorf decomposition?
No! Why not? Well, the decomposition is supposed to be unique. If we allow f_0 , $3 = f_3 = f_2 + f_0$, so the decomposition is not unique.

Fun note: the ratio between consecutive ~~Fibonacci~~ Fibonacci numbers is approximately the ratio between miles and kilometers, so we can convert from miles to kilometers by moving to the next Fibonacci number (for instance, $55 \text{ mph} \approx 89 \text{ km/h}$).

What if the number of miles per hour isn't a Fibonacci number? ZECKENDORF DECOMPOSITION! Just do the shift with the ~~on~~ Fibonacci numbers that comprise the number (for instance, $65 \text{ mph} = 55 + 8 + 2 \text{ mph} \approx 89 + 13 + 3 \text{ km/h} = 105 \text{ km/h}$).

One problem on the homework requires a uniqueness proof. Let's do an example with binary.

Theorem: Every positive integer has a unique binary expansion.

$$\text{Idea: } 75 = 64 + 8 + 2 + 1 = 2^6 + 2^3 + 2^1 + 2^0.$$

How did we get this? We took the largest power of 2 less than 75, then the largest power of 2 less than $75 - 64$, and so on. (This is called a greedy algorithm.) If 64 weren't in our expansion, could we reach 75?

No! Why not? If we added $2^7 = 128$, we'd overshoot the goal, and $2^5 + 2^4 + 2^3 + 2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0 = 32 + 16 + 8 + 4 + 2 + 1 = 63 < 75$, so we need 64.

Now let's prove it!

Proof of theorem:

Let m be the least positive integer that has multiple binary expansions, say $m = 2^k + \text{smaller powers of } 2 = 2^l + \text{smaller powers of } 2$.

Without loss of generality, say $k \geq l$.

If $k \neq l$ (i.e. $k > l$), then

$$m = 2^l + \text{smaller powers of } 2 \leq 2^l + 2^{l-1} + 2^{l-2} + \dots + 2 + 1 = 2^{l+1} - 1 \\ \leq 2^k - 1 < m. \text{ This is a contradiction, so } k = l.$$

Since m is minimal, $m - 2^k$ has a unique binary expansion.

Thus, m has a unique binary expansion. \blacksquare

We're going to slightly modify notation from last time.

We wrote $82 \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$, but for now, we'll write $82 \bmod 7 = 5$. (This means $82 \div 7$ has a remainder of 5,

or, equivalently, $82 = 7 \times 11 + 5$.)

Question: What time will it be ~~in~~ 80 hours from now?

Section 1: It's 10:00(ish). In 80 hours, it'll be 90:00(ish), which doesn't make sense. ~~Clocks work mod 12,~~ and $90 \bmod 12 = 6$, so in 80 hours, it'll be 6:00(ish).

Section 2: It's 11:00(ish). In 80 hours, it'll be 91:00(ish), which doesn't make sense. ~~Clocks work mod 12,~~ and $91 \bmod 12 = 7$, so in 80 hours, it'll be 7:00(ish).

(Something to think about: Are those times am or pm? How could we figure that out?)

Definition: For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we can write $a = qn+r$, where $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq r < n$. Then $a \bmod n = r$.

We showed this $a = qn+r$ business on the homework, but we didn't show that q and r were unique. What if there's some x such that $x \pmod{7} = 3$ and $x \pmod{7} = 5$? Let's prove some stuff.

Theorem (quotient remainder theorem):

Given $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\exists! q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $a = qn+r$ and $0 \leq r < n$.

(Note: $\exists!$ means "there exists a unique")

Existence of q and r :

Let $q := \lfloor \frac{a}{n} \rfloor$. Then $q \leq \frac{a}{n} < q+1 \Rightarrow qn \leq a < qn+n \Rightarrow 0 \leq a - qn < n$. Let $r := a - qn$. Thus, q and r exist.

Uniqueness of q and r :

Suppose $a = qn+r = q'n+r'$ for some $q, q' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r, r' < n$. Then $qn - q'n = r' - r \Rightarrow |(q-q')n| = |r'-r| \leq n-1 \Rightarrow |q-q'| \leq \frac{n-1}{n} < 1 \Rightarrow q-q' = 0 \Rightarrow q = q' \Rightarrow 0 = r' - r \Rightarrow r = r'$. \blacksquare