Last time, we proved that

$$\pi(2n) - \pi(n) \ll \frac{n}{\log n} \tag{1}$$

for every integer  $n \ge 2$ . We will deduce from this the following upper bound:

<u>Theorem (Chebyshev)</u>:  $\pi(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log x}$  for all real numbers  $x \ge 2$ . <u>Proof</u>: As a first step, we prove

$$\pi(x) - \pi(\frac{x}{2}) \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \qquad \forall x \ge 2.$$
(2)

Of course, this looks an awful lot like equation (1) above, and the natural temptation is to apply it with  $n = \frac{x}{2}$ . The problem is that (1) only applies when n is an integer, so we can't set  $n \coloneqq \frac{x}{2}$ . Instead, we do the next best thing: set  $n \coloneqq \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$ . Then  $\pi(\frac{x}{2}) = \pi(n)$ . What can we say about  $\pi(x)$  in terms of n? Well, by definition of the floor function we have

$$n \le x/2 < n+1.$$

Thus  $2n \le x < 2n + 2$ , whence  $\pi(x) = \pi(2n)$  or  $\pi(2n + 1)$ ; in particular, we deduce

$$\pi(x) \le \pi(2n) + 1.$$

(Why?) Thus we have

$$\pi(x) - \pi(\frac{x}{2}) \le \pi(2n) + 1 - \pi(n) = \pi(2n) - \pi(n) + 1 \le C \frac{n}{\log n} + 1$$

from (1). (Here C is some positive constant.) Since  $1 \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$  for large enough n, we deduce that

$$\pi(x) - \pi(\frac{x}{2}) \ll \frac{n}{\log n}$$

The final step is to rewrite the right hand side in terms of x. This is where the  $\ll$  symbol becomes very useful:

$$\frac{n}{\log n} \le \frac{x/2}{\log(x/2 - 1)} \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Intuitively, this should be clear: when x is large, subtracting 1 from  $\frac{x}{2}$  doesn't really change the size by much, and  $\log x/2 = \log x - \log 2$  is roughly the same size as  $\log x$ . To argue this more rigorously, observe that for all large x we have  $\log(x/2 - 1) \ge \log x/4 \ge \log \sqrt{x} \gg \log x$ . Putting all our work together, we've proved (2)!

Now we're ready for the final act: we will prove that

$$\pi(x) \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.\tag{3}$$

Alex points out that (2) implies  $\pi(x) \leq \pi(x/2) + \frac{Cx}{\log x}$ , so if we somehow knew that  $\pi(x/2)$  were small then we'd be able to prove (3). Unfortunately, it's not clear how to show that  $\pi(x/2)$  is small, since this is essentially the same as the assertion we're trying to prove!

Konnor came up with a different approach. He observed that (2) implies not just a single inequality, but many different ones:

$$\pi(x) - \pi(x/2) \le C \cdot \frac{x}{\log x}$$
$$\pi(\frac{x}{2}) - \pi(\frac{x}{4}) \le C \cdot \frac{x/2}{\log x/2}$$
$$\pi(\frac{x}{4}) - \pi(\frac{x}{8}) \le C \cdot \frac{x/4}{\log x/4}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\pi(\frac{x}{2^{\ell}}) - \pi(\frac{x}{2^{\ell+1}}) \le C \cdot \frac{x/2^{\ell}}{\log x/2^{\ell}}$$

The absolutely crucial observation here is that the constants C appearing on the right hand sides are all the same constant. This is the power of the bound (2): that the implicit constant is independent of x.

The natural next step is to sum all these inequalities up. The sum of all the left hand sides is super nice: everything cancels apart from the first and last term, and if we take  $\ell$  large enough then  $\pi(\frac{x}{2^{\ell+1}})$  becomes very small and we can employ a version of Alex's idea from above. The problem now becomes: what can we say about the sum of the right hand sides? We have a bunch of fractions, each with a different denominator... it's a mess to add them up! Fortunately, there's a trick.

Pick  $\ell$  such that  $\frac{x}{2^{\ell+1}} \leq \sqrt{x} < \frac{x}{2^{\ell}}$ . Then

$$\pi(x) - \pi(x/2) \le C \cdot \frac{x}{\log x} \le C \cdot \frac{x}{\log \sqrt{x}}$$
$$\pi(\frac{x}{2}) - \pi(\frac{x}{4}) \le C \cdot \frac{x/2}{\log x/2} \le C \cdot \frac{x/2}{\log \sqrt{x}}$$
$$\pi(\frac{x}{4}) - \pi(\frac{x}{8}) \le C \cdot \frac{x/4}{\log x/4} \le C \cdot \frac{x/4}{\log \sqrt{x}}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\pi(\frac{x}{2^{\ell}}) - \pi(\frac{x}{2^{\ell+1}}) \le C \cdot \frac{x/2^{\ell}}{\log x/2^{\ell}} \le C \cdot \frac{x/2^{\ell}}{\log \sqrt{x}}$$

Now the right hand sides all have the same denominator, so we can add them up:

$$\pi(x) - \pi\left(\frac{x}{2^{\ell+1}}\right) \le C \cdot \frac{x}{\log\sqrt{x}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots\right) \le \frac{2Cx}{\frac{1}{2}\log x} = \frac{4Cx}{\log x}$$

Prof. Goldmakher

Now we apply Alex's idea:

$$\pi(x) \le \frac{4Cx}{\log x} + \pi\left(\frac{x}{2^{\ell+1}}\right) \le \frac{4Cx}{\log x} + \pi\left(\sqrt{x}\right) \le \frac{4Cx}{\log x} + \sqrt{x} = \frac{x}{\log x} \left(4C + o(1)\right) \ll \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

This technique is called "splitting into dyadic blocks", the 'dyadic' comes from  $2^k$  to  $2^{k+1}$ .

<u>Theorem (Chebyshev)</u>:  $\pi(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x}$ <u>Proof:</u> Consider  $\binom{2n}{n}$  once more. Consider its prime factorization:

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \prod_p p^{\nu_p(\binom{2n}{n})} \implies \log\binom{2n}{n} = \sum_p \nu_p(\binom{2n}{n}) \log p = \sum_{p \le 2n} \nu_p(\binom{2n}{n}) \log p.$$

Lemma:  $\nu_p\binom{2n}{n} \leq \frac{\log 2n}{\log p}$ . [We will prove this below.] Taking the lemma on faith for now, we have

$$\log\binom{2n}{n} = \sum_{p \le 2n} \nu_p\binom{2n}{n} \log p \le \sum_{p \le 2n} \log 2n = \log 2n \sum_{p \le 2n} 1 = \pi(2n) \log 2n$$

which tells us that

$$\pi(2n) \ge \frac{\log \binom{2n}{n}}{\log 2n}.$$
(4)

Thus if we can get a nice lower bound on  $\log \binom{2n}{n}$ , we'll find a nice lower bound on  $\pi(2n)$ . Recall that

$$2^{2n} = (1+1)^{2n} = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{k} \le \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{n} = (2n+1)\binom{2n}{n}$$

whence  $\binom{2n}{n} \ge \frac{2^{2n}}{2n+1}$ . Taking logarithms, we find

$$\log\binom{2n}{n} \ge 2n \log 2 - \log(2n+1) = n(2\log 2 - o(1)) \gg n.$$

Plugging this into (4) gives

$$\pi(2n) \ge \frac{\log \binom{2n}{n}}{\log 2n} \gg \frac{n}{\log 2n} \gg \frac{n}{\log n}.$$

This is almost what we want; all that's left to do is to extrapolate from this a more general bound that holds for real number inputs. Just as we did before, set  $n := \lfloor \frac{x}{2} \rfloor$ , from which it follows that  $2n \le x < 2n + 2$ . In particular,

$$\pi(x) \ge \pi(2n) \gg \frac{n}{\log n} \ge \frac{x/2 - 1}{\log x/2} \gg \frac{x - 2}{\log x} = \frac{x(1 - o(1))}{\log x} \gg \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Actually, we're not quite finished: we need to go back and prove the lemma.

<u>Proof of Lemma:</u> First note that  $\nu_p\binom{2n}{n} = \nu_p\binom{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} = \nu_p\binom{(2n)!}{-2\nu_p(n!)}$ . Thus we're naturally led to the question: what is  $\nu_p(k!)$ ? To build intuition, we considered an example: what's  $\nu_2(13!)$ ? We write out the numbers from 1 to 13 and under each number place a  $\checkmark$  for each factor of 2 that number contributes:

1	<b>2</b>	3	4	<b>5</b>	6	<b>7</b>	8	9	10	11	12	13
	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
			$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$	
							$\checkmark$					

Counting the total number of  $\checkmark$ 's, we see that  $\nu_2(13!) = 10$ . But, staring at this diagram, we see another approach to counting the  $\checkmark$ 's emerge: we count by row. How many  $\checkmark$ 's are in the first row? There's one for each even number less than 13, and there are  $\left\lfloor \frac{13}{2} \right\rfloor = 6$  of these. What about the second row? We get a second factor of 2 precisely under the multiples of 4, and there are precisely  $\left\lfloor \frac{13}{4} \right\rfloor = 3$  of these. To get a third factor of 2 we need multiples of 8, and there are  $\left\lfloor \frac{13}{8} \right\rfloor = 1$  of these. At this point we can stop, because there are no multiples of 16 up to 13.

This process generalizes in a straightforward way: for any p and any k we have

$$\nu_p(k!) = \sum_{j \ge 1} \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p^j} \right\rfloor = \sum_{1 \le j \le N} \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p^j} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for any } N \ge \frac{\log k}{\log p}.$$

Where does this endpoint come from? Well,  $\lfloor k/p^j \rfloor = 0$  whenever  $p^j > k$ , and  $p^j \le k$  iff  $j \le \frac{\log k}{\log p}$ . Using this formula, we find

$$\nu_p\begin{pmatrix} 2n\\ n \end{pmatrix} = \nu_p((2n)!) - 2\nu_p(n!)$$
$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le \frac{\log 2n}{\log p}} \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^j} \right\rfloor - 2\sum_{1 \le j \le \frac{\log 2n}{\log p}} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \right\rfloor$$
$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le \frac{\log 2n}{\log p}} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^j} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \right\rfloor \right)$$

Now you'll show on your midterm that  $\left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^j} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \right\rfloor$  is always 0 or 1, so in particular  $\left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^j} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \right\rfloor \le 1$   $\forall j$ 

$$\left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^j} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \right\rfloor \le 1 \qquad \forall j$$

It follows that

$$\nu_p\binom{2n}{n} \le \sum_{1 \le j \le \frac{\log 2n}{\log p}} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{2n}{p^j} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^j} \right\rfloor \right) \le \sum_{1 \le j \le \frac{\log 2n}{\log p}} 1 \le \frac{\log 2n}{\log p}$$

The lemma is proved!