

Today we will prove the law of quadratic reciprocity. Recall its statement:

Law of Quadratic Reciprocity: Given any distinct odd primes p and q ,

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}}.$$

There are 200+ proofs, but this is the most conceptually simple, albeit computational.

Proof of QR (by George Rousseau '91 and Tim Kunisky '08):

Recall from last time that we set

$$L := \text{“half of } \mathbb{Z}_{pq}^\times \text{”} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{pq}^\times : k < \frac{pq}{2}\}$$

$$R := \text{“half of } \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_q^\times \text{”} = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_q^\times : b < \frac{q}{2}\}.$$

The Chinese Remainder Theorem gives a bijection between \mathbb{Z}_{pq}^\times and $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_q^\times$, so we expect it to give a bijection between L and R as well. Of course, this might not be literally true: perhaps the bijection β given by the CRT matches up L with some way to view half of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Z}_q^\times$ that's quite different from the set R . As it turns out, β behaves very nicely (see Step 1). Here's the broad outline of our proof of QR:

Step 1: For any $(a, b) \in R$, there exists a unique $k \in L$ such that $\beta(k) = \pm(a, b)$. From this, it immediately follows that

$$\prod_{k \in L} \beta(k) = \pm \prod_{(a,b) \in R} (a, b)$$

Step 2: Evaluate $\prod_{k \in L} \beta(k) = \prod_{k \in L} (k \pmod p, k \pmod q) = \left(\prod_{k \in L} k \pmod p, \prod_{k \in L} k \pmod q \right)$.

Step 3: Evaluate $\prod_{(a,b) \in R} (a, b)$.

Step 4: Compare the results from Steps 2 and 3 and win.

Actual proof:

Step 1: On homework.

Step 2: We saw $\prod_{k \in L} \beta(k) = \left(\prod_{k \in L} k \pmod p, \prod_{k \in L} k \pmod q \right)$. We start by working out the first coordinate:

$$\prod_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{pq}^\times \\ k < pq/2}} k \equiv \frac{\text{prod of all } k < \frac{pq}{2} \text{ s.t. } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod p}{\text{prod of all } k < \frac{pq}{2} \text{ s.t. } k \equiv 0 \pmod q} \equiv \frac{(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (p-1))((p+1)(p+2)\cdots(2p-1))\cdots}{(q)(2q)(3q)\cdots(\frac{p-1}{2}q)}$$

$$\equiv \frac{\left(\prod_{0 < k < p} k\right)\left(\prod_{p < k < 2p} k\right)\cdots\left(\prod_{\frac{q-3}{2} < k < \frac{q-1}{2}} k\right)\left(\prod_{\frac{q-1}{2} < k < \frac{pq-1}{2}} k\right)}{q^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!} \equiv \frac{(p-1)! \cdots (p-1)! \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!}{q^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!} \pmod p$$

Recall from problem 4.3 that $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$; this is called “Wilson’s Theorem”. Continuing our calculation from above:

$$\prod_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{pq}^\times \\ k < pq/2}} k \equiv \frac{(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}{q^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)} = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \pmod{p}$$

The final step is justified because $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \pm 1$, so dividing by it is the same as multiplying.

Note that we’ve made no assumptions that distinguish p from q , which means that the above result must also hold if we exchange the values of p and q . In other words:

$$\prod_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{pq}^\times \\ k < pq/2}} k \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \pmod{q}$$

We’ve thus found relatively expressions for both the first and second coordinates of $\prod_{k \in L} \beta(k)$.

This completes Step 2!

Step 3: We have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{(a,b) \in R} (a,b) &= \prod_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \\ b \in \mathbb{Z}_q^\times \\ b < q/2}} (a,b) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \prod_{\substack{b \in \mathbb{Z}_q^\times \\ b < q/2}} (a,b) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} ((a,1)(a,2)\cdots(a,\frac{q-1}{2})) \\ &= \prod_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} (a^{(q-1)/2}, (\frac{q-1}{2})!) = \left(((p-1)!)^{(q-1)/2}, ((\frac{q-1}{2})!)^{p-1} \right) \\ &= \left((-1)^{(q-1)/2}, ((\frac{q-1}{2})!)^{p-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the first coordinate is \pmod{p} and the second is \pmod{q} . The first coordinate is pretty straightforward; can we simplify the second? We know by Wilson’s theorem that $(q-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, but what is $(\frac{q-1}{2})! \pmod{q}$?

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{q-1}{2} \\ (q-1)! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{q-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q+1}{2} \cdot \dots \cdot (q-2)(q-1) \\ &\equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{q-1}{2} \cdot \left(-\frac{q-1}{2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot (-2)(-1) \pmod{q} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{(q-1)/2} \left(\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2 \pmod{q} \\ \implies \left(\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)!\right)^2 &\equiv (-1)^{(q-1)/2} (q-1)! \equiv -(-1)^{(q-1)/2} \pmod{q} \end{aligned}$$

Continuing where we’d left off earlier, we find

$$\prod_{(a,b) \in R} (a,b) = \left((-1)^{(q-1)/2}, \left(\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)!\right)^{2(p-1)/2} \pmod{q} \right) = \left((-1)^{(q-1)/2}, (-1)^{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}} \right).$$

Both coordinates are pretty straightforward; we've finished Step 3!

Step 4: Putting together all our work, we've proved

$$\begin{aligned} \left((-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \left(\frac{q}{p} \right), (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) \right) &= \pm \left((-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}, (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}} \right) \\ &= \varepsilon \left((-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}, (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Comparing first and second coordinates separately,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) &\equiv \varepsilon (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \pmod{p} \implies \varepsilon \equiv \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) \pmod{p} \implies \varepsilon = \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) \\ (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) &\equiv \varepsilon (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}} \pmod{q} \implies \left(\frac{p}{q} \right) \equiv \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}} \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $\left(\frac{q}{p} \right)$ yields

$$\left(\frac{p}{q} \right) \left(\frac{q}{p} \right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}}$$

as claimed.

Q.E.F.D.