

Carefully tear this page off the rest of your exam.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO SCARBOROUGH

MATA31H3 F : Calculus for Mathematical Sciences I

## REFERENCE SHEET

### Properties of real numbers

Throughout this course, we assume that there exists a set  $\mathbb{R}$  endowed with two binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  satisfying all the following properties:

#### Algebraic Properties:

(A1)  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $a + b = b + a$ .

(A2)  $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$ .

(A3) There exists a number  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, a + 0 = a$ .

(A4) For each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a number  $-a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a + (-a) = 0$ .

(M1)  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ .

(M2)  $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ .

(M3) There exists a number  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, a \cdot 1 = a$ . Moreover,  $1 \neq 0$ .

(M4) For each  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists a number  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$ .

(D)  $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ .

**Order Properties:** There exists a special subset  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that

(O1)  $0 \notin \mathcal{P}$

(O2) For all  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $a \notin \mathcal{P}$  iff  $-a \in \mathcal{P}$ .

(O3) If  $a, b \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $a + b \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $a \cdot b \in \mathcal{P}$ .

#### Completeness Property

(C) If  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is nonempty and bounded above, then there exists a *least* upper bound of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

### NOTATION

$\sup \mathcal{A}$ , the *supremum* of  $\mathcal{A}$ , is the least upper bound of  $\mathcal{A}$  (if it exists).

$\inf \mathcal{A}$ , the *infimum* of  $\mathcal{A}$ , is the greatest lower bound of  $\mathcal{A}$  (if it exists).

The symbol  $a - b$  means  $a + (-b)$ . The symbol  $\frac{a}{b}$  means  $a \cdot b^{-1}$ .

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the symbol  $a^{n+1} := a^n \cdot a$ , where  $a^1 := a$ .

Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , the notation  $a > b$  means that  $a + (-b) \in \mathcal{P}$ , and  $b < a$  is another way of writing  $a > b$ . The symbol  $a \geq b$  means that  $a + (-b) \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $b \leq a$  means the same as  $a \geq b$ .

MATA31H3 : Calculus for Mathematical Sciences I  
**MIDTERM EXAMINATION # 2**  
November 9, 2012

Duration – 2 hours  
Aids: none

NAME (PRINT): \_\_\_\_\_  
Last/Surname First/Given Name (and nickname)

STUDENT NO: \_\_\_\_\_ **KEY**

TUTORIAL: \_\_\_\_\_  
Tutorial section # Name of TA

Qn. #	Value	Score
COVER PAGE	5	
1	20	
2	20	
3	12	
4	18	
5	15	
6	10	
Total	100	

**TOTAL:** \_\_\_\_\_

Please read the following statement and sign below:

*I understand that any breach of academic integrity is a violation of The Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. By signing below, I pledge to abide by the Code.*

SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Prove each of the following, explicitly justifying every step of your proof with the appropriate property of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(a) (8 points)  $2 \cdot 2 = 4$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 \cdot 2 &= 2 \cdot (1 + 1) && \text{by definition of 2} \\
 &= 2 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 && \text{by (D)} \\
 &= 2 + 2 && \text{by (M3)} \\
 &= (1 + 1) + (1 + 1) \\
 &= 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 && \text{by (A2)} \\
 &= 4 && \text{by definition of 4.}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) (12 points) If  $a \in (0, 2)$ , then  $a^2 < 4$ .

If  $a \in (0, 2)$ , then  $a > 0$  and  $a < 2$ , or in other words,

$$a \in \mathcal{P} \quad \text{and} \quad 2 - a \in \mathcal{P}.$$

By (O3), we deduce that  $a + a \in \mathcal{P}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2 - a) + (a + a) &= 2 + (-a) + a + a && \text{by (A2)} \\
 &= 2 + 0 + a && \text{by (A4)} \\
 &= 2 + a && \text{by (A3).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $2 - a \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $a + a \in \mathcal{P}$ , (O3) implies that  $2 + a \in \mathcal{P}$ . Applying (O3) yet again to the two numbers  $2 - a$  and  $2 + a$ , we deduce that

$$(*) \quad (2 - a) \cdot (2 + a) \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2 - a) \cdot (2 + a) &= \left( (2 - a) \cdot 2 \right) + \left( (2 - a) \cdot a \right) && \text{by (D)} \\
 &= \left( 2 \cdot (2 - a) \right) + \left( a \cdot (2 - a) \right) && \text{by (M1)} \\
 &= \left( 2 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot (-a) \right) + \left( a \cdot 2 + a \cdot (-a) \right) && \text{by (D)} \\
 &= 2 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot (-a) + a \cdot 2 + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by (A2)} \\
 &= 4 + 2 \cdot (-a) + a \cdot 2 + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by part (a)} \\
 &= 4 + 2 \cdot (-a) + 2 \cdot a + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by (M1)} \\
 &= 4 + 2 \cdot \left( (-a) + a \right) + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by (D)} \\
 &= 4 + 2 \cdot \left( a + (-a) \right) + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by (A1)} \\
 &= 4 + 2 \cdot 0 + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by (A4)} \\
 &= 4 + 0 + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by proof in lecture} \\
 &= 4 + \left( a^2 + (-a^2) \right) + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by (A4)} \\
 &= 4 + \left( (-a^2) + a^2 \right) + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by (A1)} \\
 &= 4 - a^2 + a^2 + a \cdot (-a) && \text{by (A2)} \\
 &= 4 - a^2 + a \cdot \left( a + (-a) \right) && \text{by (D)} \\
 &= 4 - a^2 + a \cdot 0 && \text{by (A4)} \\
 &= 4 - a^2 + 0 && \text{by proof from lecture} \\
 &= 4 - a^2 && \text{by (A3).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (\*) above, we see that  $4 - a^2 \in \mathcal{P}$ , or equivalently,  $a^2 < 4$ .  $\square$

- (2) (20 points) Prove that  $\sqrt{5}$  exists. In other words, prove that there exists a positive number  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $x^2 = 5$ . [You do *not* need to explicitly use the algebraic and order properties in this problem, or in any of the following problems.]

Let  $\mathcal{A} := \{x > 0 : x^2 < 5\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$  (since  $2 \in \mathcal{A}$ ). Also, observe that  $\mathcal{A}$  is bounded above by 3, since

$$3 < x \implies x^2 > 9 \implies x \notin \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus, by Completeness,  $\sup \mathcal{A}$  exists. Let

$$\alpha = \sup \mathcal{A}.$$

I claim that  $\alpha^2 = 5$ ; this will prove the claim.

Suppose  $\alpha^2 < 5$ . Note that in this case,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ . ( $\alpha$  is clearly positive, since it is an upper bound of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $2 \in \mathcal{A}$ .) Since  $\alpha^2 < 5$ , we have  $\frac{2\alpha+1}{5-\alpha^2} \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Archimedean Property therefore implies the existence of a natural number  $n$  such that

$$n > \frac{2\alpha + 1}{5 - \alpha^2},$$

from which we deduce that

$$\frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n} < 5 - \alpha^2.$$

Since  $\frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we see that

$$\frac{2\alpha}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} < 5 - \alpha^2,$$

or in other words, that

$$(\alpha + 1/n)^2 < 5.$$

But this means that  $\alpha + 1/n \in \mathcal{A}$ , contradicting that  $\alpha$  is an upper bound of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Next, suppose instead that  $\alpha^2 > 5$ . Then  $\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2-5} \in \mathbb{R}$ , so by Archimedean Property,

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } n > \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 - 5}.$$

It follows that

$$\alpha^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{n} > 5,$$

whence

$$(\alpha - 1/n)^2 > 5.$$

This implies that  $\alpha - 1/n$  is an upper bound of  $\mathcal{A}$  (for the same reason that 3 was an upper bound). But this contradicts that  $\alpha$  is the *least* upper bound of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Thus, both  $\alpha^2 < 5$  and  $\alpha^2 > 5$  are impossible. By trichotomy, we must have  $\alpha^2 = 5$ . Since  $\alpha$  is positive, this concludes the proof.  $\square$

(3) (12 points) Prove that 1 is the only real number which satisfies

$$|x - 1| < \frac{1}{n^2}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

From the definition of absolute value, we know that  $|x - 1| \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $|x - 1| \neq 0$ . Then  $\frac{1}{|x-1|} \in \mathbb{R}$ , so the Archimedean Property implies the existence of an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$m > \frac{1}{|x - 1|}.$$

Since  $m^2 \geq m$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we deduce that

$$m^2 > \frac{1}{|x - 1|},$$

which implies that

$$|x - 1| > \frac{1}{m^2}.$$

We have therefore shown that if  $|x - 1| \neq 0$ , then  $x$  cannot satisfy the given inequality for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, if  $x$  does satisfy the inequality for every  $n$ , we must have  $|x - 1| = 0$ , i.e.  $x = 1$ .  $\square$

- (4) (18 points) Given  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 < x < y$ . Prove that there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a$  is even,  $b$  is odd, and  $x < \frac{a}{b} < y$ .

Since  $y > x$ , we see that  $\frac{2}{y-x} \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the Archimedean Property, we know that

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } n > \frac{2}{y-x}.$$

Moreover, we may assume that  $n$  is odd; if not, add 1 to it (it will still be bigger than  $\frac{2}{y-x}$ ). Note that

$$(**) \quad ny > 2 + nx.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A} := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \text{ is even and } k \geq ny\}.$$

By the Well-Ordering Property,  $\mathcal{A}$  has a smallest element  $m$ . I claim that  $m - 2$  is an even natural number which is between  $nx$  and  $ny$ . The first part of this claim is easy:  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  means  $m$  is an even integer, so  $m - 2$  must also be an even integer. Moreover,  $(**)$  implies that  $m > 2$ , so  $m - 2$  must be a natural number. It thus remains to show that  $m - 2 \in (nx, ny)$ .

Since  $m$  is the least element of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $m - 2 \notin \mathcal{A}$ . As discussed above,  $m - 2$  is an even natural number, so the only way it could not belong to  $\mathcal{A}$  is if

$$m - 2 < ny.$$

On the other hand, since  $m \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $m \geq ny$ , so  $(**)$  implies

$$m - 2 \geq ny - 2 > nx.$$

Combining the above inequalities, we conclude that

$$nx < m - 2 < ny,$$

which implies

$$x < \frac{m-2}{n} < y.$$

Since  $m$  is even,  $m - 2$  must also be even, and  $n$  is odd from the outset. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

(5) (15 points) Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  which satisfy

$$a \leq b \quad \text{for all } a \in A \text{ and } b \in B.$$

Prove that  $\sup A \leq \inf B$ . [Hint: you may find it helpful to prove that  $\sup A \leq b$  for any  $b \in B$ .]

This problem is an example from the reading.

Pick any  $b \in B$ . By hypothesis,  $a \leq b$  for all  $a \in A$ , which means that  $b$  is an upper bound of  $A$ . Also,  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Completeness therefore implies that  $\sup A$  exists. Moreover, since  $b$  is an upper bound of  $A$ , we see that  $\sup A \leq b$ .

In the above argument, the choice of  $b \in B$  is arbitrary. In other words, we've proved that for *every*  $b \in B$ ,

$$\sup A \leq b.$$

Thus,  $\sup A$  is a lower bound on  $B$ . Since  $B$  is nonempty, Completeness implies the existence of  $\inf B$ . Since  $\sup A$  is a lower bound of  $B$ , and  $\inf B$  is the *greatest* lower bound of  $B$ , we deduce that

$$\inf B \geq \sup A.$$

This concludes the proof. □

- (6) (10 points) Let  $f_n$  denote the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number, i.e.  $f_1 = 1$ ,  $f_2 = 1$ , and  $f_{n+1} = f_n + f_{n-1}$  for all natural numbers  $n \geq 2$ . Prove that

$$1 \leq \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} \leq 2$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We proceed by induction. Let

$$\mathcal{A} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} \leq 2\}.$$

Since  $\frac{f_2}{f_1} = 1$ , we see that  $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . We wish to show that every natural number lives in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Suppose  $n \in \mathcal{A}$ ; this implies  $1 \leq \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} \leq 2$ , whence

$$(\dagger) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} \leq 1.$$

We wish to show that  $n+1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{n+2}}{f_{n+1}} &= \frac{f_{n+1} + f_n}{f_{n+1}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}} \in [3/2, 2], \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from  $(\dagger)$ . It follows that

$$1 \leq \frac{f_{n+2}}{f_{n+1}} \leq 2$$

whence  $n+1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . By Induction, we conclude that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{N}$ , and thus have proved the claim.  $\square$

Page intentionally left blank for scratch work.