# Intermediate and Mean Value Theorems and Taylor Series

Steven Miller\*

April 11, 2005

#### Abstract

Using just the Mean Value Theorem, we prove the  $n^{th}$  Taylor Series Approximation. Namely, if f is differentiable at least n + 1 times on [a, b], then  $\forall x \in [a, b]$ ,  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x - a)^k$  plus an error that is at most  $\max_{a \leq c \leq x} |f^{(n+1)}(c)| \cdot |x - a|^{n+1}$ .

### 1 Mean Value Theorem

Let h(x) be differentiable on [a, b], with continuous derivative. Then

$$h(b) - h(a) = h'(c) \cdot (b - a), \quad c \in [a, b].$$
 (1)

The MVT follows immediately from the Intermediate Value Theorem: Let f be a continuous function on [a, b].  $\forall C$  between f(a) and f(b),  $\exists c \in [a, b]$  such that f(c) = C. In other words, all intermediate values of a continuous function are obtained. We will sketch a proof later.

### 2 Notation

 $[a, b] = \{x : a \le x \le b\}$ . IE, [a, b] is all x between a and b, including a and b.  $(a, b) = \{x : a < x < b\}$ . IE, (a, b) is all x between a and b, not including the endpoints a and b.

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: sjmiller@math.princeton.edu

## 3 Taylor Series

Assuming f is differentiable n+1 times on [a, b], we apply the MVT multiple times to bound the error between f(x) and its Taylor Approximations. Let

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (x-a)^k$$
  

$$h(x) = f(x) - f_n(x).$$
(2)

 $f_n(x)$  is the  $n^{th}$  Taylor Series Approximation to f(x). Note  $f_n(x)$  is a polynomial of degree n.

We want to bound |h(x)| for  $x \in [a, b]$ . Without loss of generality (basically, for notational convenience), we may assume a = 0 and f(a) = 0.

Thus, h(0) = 0. Applying the MVT to h yields

$$h(x) = h(x) - h(0)$$
  

$$= h'(c_1) \cdot (x - 0)$$
  

$$= \left(f'(c_1) - f'_n(c_1)\right) x$$
  

$$= \left(f'(c_1) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \cdot k(c_1 - 0)^{k-1}\right) x$$
  

$$= \left(f'(c_1) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} c_1^{k-1}\right) x$$
  

$$= h_1(c_1) x.$$
(3)

We now apply the MVT to  $h_1(u)$ . Note that  $h_1(0) = 0$ . Therefore

$$h_{1}(c_{1}) = h_{1}(c_{1}) - h_{1}(0)$$

$$= h'_{1}(c_{2}) \cdot (c_{1} - 0)$$

$$= \left(f''(c_{2}) - f''_{n}(c_{2})\right)c_{1}$$

$$= \left(f''(c_{2}) - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{(k-1)!} \cdot (k-1)(c_{2} - 0)^{k-2}\right)c_{1}$$

$$= \left(f''(c_{2}) - \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{(k-2)!}c_{2}^{k-2}\right)c_{1}$$

$$= h_{2}(c_{1})c_{1}.$$
(4)

Therefore,

$$h(x) = f(x) - f_n(x) = h_2(c_2)c_1x, \quad c_2 \in [0, c_1], \ c_1 \in [0, x].$$
(5)

Proceeding in this way a total of n times yields

$$h(x) = \left(f^{(n)}(c_n) - f^{(n)}(0)\right)c_{n-1}c_{n-2}\cdots c_2c_1x.$$
 (6)

Applying the MVT to  $f^{(n)}(c_n) - f^{(n)}(0)$  gives  $f^{(n+1)}(c_{n+1}) \cdot (c_n - 0)$ . Thus,

$$h(x) = f(x) - f_n(x) = f^{(n+1)}(c_{n+1})c_n \cdots c_1 x, \quad c_i \in [0, x].$$
(7)

Therefore

$$|h(x)| = |f(x) - f_n(x)| = M_{n+1}|x|^{n+1}, \quad M_{n+1} = \max_{c \in [0,x]} |f^{(n+1)}(c)|.$$
(8)

Thus, if f is differentiable n + 1 times, the  $n^{th}$  Taylor Series Approximation to f(x) is correct within a multiple of  $|x|^{n+1}$ ; further, the multiple is bounded by the maximum value of  $f^{(n+1)}$  on [0, x].

### 4 Sketch of Proof of the MVT

The MVT follows from Rolle's Theorem: Let f be differentiable on [a, b], and assume f(a) = f(b) = 0. Then there exists a  $c \in [a, b]$  such that f'(c) = 0.

Why? Assume Rolle's Theorem. Consider the function

$$h(x) = f(x) - \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}(x - a) - f(a).$$
(9)

Note h(a) = f(a) - f(a) = 0 and h(b) = f(b) - (f(b) - f(a)) - f(a) = 0. Thus, the conditions of Rolle's Theorem are satisfied for h(x), and there is some  $c \in [a, b]$  such that h'(c) = 0. But

$$h'(c) = f'(c) - \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}.$$
 (10)

Rewriting yields  $f(b) - f(a) = f'(c) \cdot (b - a)$ .

Thus, it is sufficient to prove Rolle's Theorem to prove the MVT.

Without loss of generality, assume f'(a) and f'(b) are non-zero. If either were zero, we would be done.

Multiplying f(x) by -1 if needed, we may assume f'(a) > 0.

**Case 1:** f'(b) < 0: As f'(a) > 0 and f'(b) < 0, the Intermediate Value Theorem, applied to f'(x), asserts that all intermediate values are attained. As f'(b) < 0 < f'(a), this implies the existence of a  $c \in (a, b)$  such that f'(c) = 0.

**Case 2:** f'(b) > 0: f(a) = f(b) = 0, and the function f is increasing at a and b. If x is real close to a, then f(x) > 0 because f'(a) > 0.

This follows from the fact that

$$f'(0) = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x}.$$
 (11)

As f'(0) > 0, the limit is positive. As the denominator is positive for x > 0, the numerator must be positive. Thus, f(x) must be greater than f(0) for small x.

Similarly, f'(b) > 0 implies f(x) < f(b) = 0 for x near b.

Therefore, the function f(x) is positive for x slightly greater than a and negative for x slightly less than b. If the first derivative were always positive, then f(x) could never be negative as it starts at 0 at a. This can be seen by again using the limit definition of the first derivative to show that if f'(x) > 0, then the function is increasing near x. See the next section for more details.

Thus, the first derivative cannot always be positive. Either there must be some point  $y \in (a, b)$  such that f'(y) = 0 (and we are then done!) or f'(y) < 0. By the IVT, as 0 is between f'(a) (which is positive) and f'(y)(which is negative), there is some  $c \in (a, y) \subset [a, b]$  such that f'(c) = 0.

### 5 Sign of the Derivative

As it is such an important concept, let us show that f'(x) > 0 implies f(x) is increasing at x. The definition of the derivative gives

$$f'(x) = \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} \frac{f(x + \Delta x) - f(x)}{\Delta x}.$$
 (12)

If  $\Delta x > 0$ , the denominator is positive. As the limit is positive, for  $\Delta x$  sufficiently small, the numerator must be positive. Thus,  $\Delta x$  positive and small implies  $f(x + \Delta x) > f(x)$ .

If  $\Delta x < 0$ , the denominator is negative. As the limit is positive, for  $\Delta x$  sufficiently small, the numerator must be negative. Thus,  $\Delta x$  negative and small implies  $f(x + \Delta x) < f(x)$ .

Therefore, if f'(x) is positive, then f is increasing at x. Similarly we can show if f'(x) is negative then f is decreasing at x.

### 6 Intermediate Value Theorem

We have reduced all our proofs to the intuitively plausible IVT: if C is between f(a) and f(b) for some continuous function f, then  $\exists c \in (a, b)$  such that f(c) = C.

Here is a sketch of a proof using the method Divide and Conquer. Without loss of generality, assume f(a) < C < f(b). Let  $x_1$  be the midpoint of [a, b]. If  $f(x_1) = C$  we are done. If  $f(x_1) < C$ , we look at the interval  $[x_1, b]$ . If  $f(x_1) > C$  we look at the interval  $[a, x_1]$ .

In either case, we have a new interval, call it  $[a_1, b_1]$ , such that  $f(a_1) < C < f(b_1)$ , and the interval has size half that of [a, b]. Continuing in this manner, constantly taking the midpoint and looking at the appropriate half-interval, we see one of two things may happen.

First, we may be lucky and one of the midpoints may satisfy  $f(x_n) = C$ . In this case, we have found the desired point c.

Second, no midpoint works. Thus, we divide infinitely often, getting a sequence of points  $x_n$ . This is where rigorous mathematical analysis is required.

We claim the sequence of points  $x_n$  converge to some number  $X \in (a, b)$ . Clearly it can't be an endpoint. We keep getting smaller and smaller intervals (of half the size of the previous and contained in the previous) where f(x) < C at the left endpoint, and f(x) > C at the right endpoint. By continuity at the point X, eventually f(x) must be close to f(X) for x close to X.

If f(X) < C, then eventually the right endpoint cannot be greater than C; if f(X) > C, eventually the left endpoint cannot be less than C. Thus, f(X) = C.