

Avoiding 3-Term Geometric Progressions in Hurwitz Quaternions

Megumi Asada
Graduate School of Education
Rutgers University
New Brunswick, NJ 08901
USA
megumi.asada@rutgers.edu

Bruce Fang
Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
Williams College
Williamstown, MA 01267
USA
bf8@williams.edu

Eva Fourakis
Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
Williams College
Williamstown, MA 01267
USA
erf1@williams.edu

Sarah Manski
Center for Statistical Training
and Consulting
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824
USA
manskisa@msu.edu

Nathan McNew
Department of Mathematics
Towson University
Towson, MD 21252
USA
nmcnew@towson.edu

Steven J. Miller
Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
Williams College
Williamstown, MA 01267
USA
sjm1@williams.edu
Steven.Miller.MC.96@aya.yale.edu

Gwyneth Moreland
Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and
Computer Science
University of Illinois Chicago
Chicago, IL 60607
USA
gwynm@uic.edu

Ajmain Yamin
Department of Mathematics
CUNY Graduate Center
New York, NY 10016
USA
ayamin@gradcenter.cuny.edu

Sindy Xin Zhang
Department of Mathematics
Tufts University
Medford, MA 02155
USA
sindy.zhang@tufts.edu

Abstract

Several recent papers have considered the problem of how large a subset of integers can be without containing any 3-term geometric progressions. This problem has also recently been generalized to rings of integers in quadratic number fields and polynomial rings over finite fields. We study the analogous problem in the Hurwitz quaternion order to see how non-commutativity affects the problem. We compute an exact formula for the density of a 3-term geometric-progression-free set of Hurwitz quaternions arising from a greedy algorithm and derive upper and lower bounds for the supremum of upper densities of 3-term geometric-progression-free sets of Hurwitz quaternions.

1 Introduction

In 1961, Rankin [12] introduced the problem of finding large sets of positive integers which avoid 3-term geometric progressions. An obvious example of such a set is the set of square-free positive integers which has asymptotic density $6/\pi^2 \approx 0.607927$. Rankin constructed a higher density geometric-progression-free set, which we denote as $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$, and obtained an exact closed-form expression for its density in terms of the Riemann ζ -function

$$d(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(3^n)}{\zeta(2 \cdot 3^n)} \approx 0.719745.$$

It was shown by Brown and Gordon [4] that $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ is actually generated by a greedy algorithm; it is formed from the singleton $\{1\}$ by greedily adjoining to it integers of increasing magnitude so long as the enlarged set still avoids 3-term geometric progressions. As such, we refer to $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ as *Rankin's greedy set*. Listing the elements of $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ in increasing order yields the sequence $(1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, \dots)$, which is OEIS [A000452](#) [6].

By modifying Rankin's greedy set, McNew [9] constructed a set of positive integers avoiding 3-term geometric progressions with asymptotic density greater than $d(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$. It remains an open problem to determine the supremum of asymptotic densities of such sets.

Variants of this problem have been studied by many authors including Riddell [13], Brown and Gordon [4], Beiglböck, Bergelson, Hindman, and Strauss [2], Nathanson and O'Bryant [10], and McNew [9]. More recently, Best, Huan, McNew, Miller, Powell, Tor, and Weinstein [3] studied analogous problems in rings of integers of quadratic number fields, while Asada, Fourakis, Manski, McNew, Miller, and Moreland [1] tackled the problems in polynomial rings over finite fields.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate this problem in a non-commutative setting, namely the Hurwitz quaternion order Q_{Hur} (see Section 2). We will take a *3-term geometric progression* of Hurwitz quaternions to mean a triple (a, ar, ar^2) , where $a, r \in Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}$ and r a non-unit. A set $A \subset Q_{\text{Hur}}$ *avoids* 3-term geometric progressions if A^3 does not contain any such triples. We are interested in how large such a 3-term geometric-progression-free set can be.

Throughout the paper, when $A \subset \mathbb{N}_+$ is any set of positive integers, we will denote by $S(A) = \{q \in Q_{\text{Hur}} \mid \text{Nm}(q) \in A\}$ the set of Hurwitz quaternions whose norm is contained in the set A . We will also abuse this notation slightly, writing $S(N)$ for an integer N to mean $S(N) = S([1, N])$, the set of all non-zero Hurwitz quaternions whose norm is at most N .

It is easy to see that if G is any set of positive integers which avoid 3-term geometric progressions, then the set $S(G)$ of Hurwitz quaternions is also 3-term geometric-progression-free, in the sense above. Our first result is an exact formula for the density (see Section 3) of $S(G)$ in Q_{Hur} when G is Rankin's greedy set $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$.

Theorem 7. *The density of the set $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ of Hurwitz quaternions with norm in Rankin's greedy set $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ is*

$$d(S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))) = \frac{f(2^2)}{\zeta(2)} \prod_{\substack{p>2 \\ \text{prime}}} \left(f(p) - \frac{f(p^2)}{p} \right) \approx 0.782643,$$

where

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^{3^i}} \right).$$

This is somewhat higher than the density of Rankin's greedy set $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ in \mathbb{N}_+ , which is ≈ 0.719745 .

Our second result provides upper and lower bounds on the supremum of upper densities (see Section 4) of sets of Hurwitz quaternions free of 3-term geometric progressions.

Theorem 13. *The supremum $\overline{m}_{\text{Hur}}$ of upper densities of sets of Hurwitz quaternions that avoid 3-term geometric progressions satisfies the following bounds:*

$$0.946589 \approx \frac{17665627}{18662400} \leq \overline{m}_{\text{Hur}} \leq \frac{20}{21} \approx 0.952381.$$

In Section 2, we establish some asymptotic formulas for certain counts of Hurwitz quaternions which are useful for computing densities. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 7. The approach is to first prove Theorem 5, which is a general Euler product formula for densities of certain sets of the form $S(G)$, and then apply it to the special case when G is Rankin's greedy set $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 13. The approach is to adapt and modify the arguments of McNew [9], which are used to produce the best bounds on upper densities of geometric-progression-free sets of integers, to work in the quaternionic setting. The remainder of the paper is dedicated to the quaternion greedy set $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$. A major difference between the rational integer setting and the Hurwitz quaternion setting is the fact that the natural analogue of Rankin's greedy set for Q_{Hur} is much more difficult to analyze, due to the non-commutativity of the quaternions, which was the primary motivation for this work—to investigate what happens in this more challenging situation. In Section 5 we explicate these difficulties and in Section 6 we list further open questions and ways to generalize this work.

2 Combinatorics of Hurwitz quaternions

The *Hamilton quaternions* $\mathbb{H} := \{a + bi + cj + dk \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$ constitute the non-commutative algebra over the reals generated by units i, j , and k satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} i^2 &= j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1, \\ ij &= -ji = k, \quad jk = -kj = i, \quad ki = -ik = j. \end{aligned}$$

The *norm of a quaternion* $Q = a + bi + cj + dk$ is $\text{Nm}(Q) := a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$. A quaternion $a + bi + cj + dk$ belongs to the *Hurwitz order* Q_{Hur} , or is a *Hurwitz quaternion*, if a, b, c, d are all in \mathbb{Z} or all in $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. The norm of a Hurwitz quaternion is always a nonnegative integer.

In this section, we compute asymptotic formulas for the number of Hurwitz quaternions up to a certain norm excluding 0, and the subset of those whose norm is coprime to a given number. These formulas will be used in the proofs of our main results Theorem 7 and Theorem 13. For a more in-depth discussion of the Hurwitz quaternion order, see Conway and Smith [5, Ch. 5] or Voight [14, Ch. 11].

We begin with a classical result that follows from Jacobi's four-square theorem.

Lemma 1. *The number of Hurwitz quaternions of norm N is $24 \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(N)$, where σ_{odd} is the sum-of-odd-divisors function*

$$\sigma_{\text{odd}}(N) := \sum_{2 \nmid d \mid N} d.$$

For a complete proof of this fact see, for example, the master's thesis of Negrini [11]. The sequence of numbers $(24 \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(N))_{N>0} = (24, 24, 96, 24, 144, \dots)$ is OEIS [A004011](#) [7].

Using this lemma, we prove the following asymptotic result.

Lemma 2.

1. *The number of Hurwitz quaternions with norm less than or equal to N is*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} 24 \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n) = \pi^2 N^2 + O(N \log N).$$

2. *For a fixed integer M , the number of Hurwitz quaternions with norm at most N and with norm coprime to M is*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \gcd(n, M) = 1}} 24 \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n) = \pi^2 N^2 \cdot \frac{\varphi(2M)}{M} \prod_{p \mid M} (1 - p^{-2}) + O(N \log N).$$

Proof of (1). Making use of the fact that the sum of the first k odd numbers is k^2 , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq N} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n) &= \sum_{m \leq N} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ 2 \nmid d}} d = \sum_{e \leq N} \sum_{\substack{d \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{e} \rfloor \\ 2 \nmid d}} d = \sum_{e \leq N} \left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{N}{e} \rfloor}{2} \right]^2 && (\text{write } m = de) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{e \leq N} \left(\left(\frac{N}{e} \right)^2 + O\left(\frac{N}{e} \right) \right) = \frac{1}{4} N^2 \sum_{e \leq N} \frac{1}{e^2} + O(N \log N) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} N^2 \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) + O\left(N \log N + N^2 \sum_{e > N} \frac{1}{e^2} \right) && (\text{first series converges to } \frac{\pi^2}{6}) \\
&= \frac{\pi^2}{24} N^2 + O(N \log N). && \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2). We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \gcd(n, M)=1}} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n) &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \gcd(n, M)=1}} \sum_{\substack{2 \nmid d | n}} d = \sum_{\substack{e \leq N \\ \gcd(e, M)=1}} \sum_{\substack{d \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{e} \rfloor \\ \gcd(d, 2M)=1}} d \\
&= \sum_{\substack{e \leq N \\ \gcd(e, M)=1}} \sum_{\substack{r \leq 2M \\ \gcd(r, 2M)=1}} \sum_{\substack{d \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{e} \rfloor \\ d \equiv r \pmod{2M}}} d.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\substack{e \leq N \\ \gcd(e, M)=1}} \sum_{\substack{r \leq 2M \\ \gcd(r, 2M)=1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{e} \rfloor \\ d \equiv r \pmod{2M}}} d - \sum_{\substack{m \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{e} \rfloor \\ 2M | m}} m \right) = O(N \log N),$$

we see

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \gcd(n, M)=1}} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n) &= \sum_{\substack{e \leq N \\ \gcd(e, M)=1}} \sum_{\substack{r \leq 2M \\ \gcd(r, 2M)=1}} \sum_{\substack{m \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{e} \rfloor \\ 2M | m}} m + O(N \log N) \\
&= \varphi(2M) \sum_{\substack{e \leq N \\ \gcd(e, M)=1}} \sum_{n \leq \lfloor \frac{N}{2M} \rfloor} 2M \cdot n + O(N \log N) \\
&= M \varphi(2M) \sum_{\substack{e \leq N \\ \gcd(e, M)=1}} \left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{N}{e} \rfloor}{2M} \right] \left(\left[\frac{\lfloor \frac{N}{e} \rfloor}{2M} \right] + 1 \right) + O(N \log N) \\
&= N^2 \cdot \frac{\varphi(2M)}{4M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq e \leq \infty \\ \gcd(e, M)=1}} \frac{1}{e^2} + O(N \log N).
\end{aligned}$$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle, expressed with the Möbius μ function, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq e \leq \infty \\ \gcd(e, M) = 1}} \frac{1}{e^2} = \sum_{d|M} \left(\mu(d) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(dn)^2} \right) = \zeta(2) \sum_{d|M} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{p|M} (1 - p^{-2}),$$

and so

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \gcd(n, M) = 1}} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n) = N^2 \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{24} \frac{\varphi(2M)}{M} \prod_{p|M} (1 - p^{-2}) + O(N \log N). \quad \square$$

3 Exact densities of sets of Hurwitz quaternions

Definition 3. Let $A \subset Q_{\text{Hur}}$. The *density* of A in Q_{Hur} , denoted $d(A)$, is defined to be

$$d(A) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap S(N)|}{|S(N)|}, \quad (1)$$

provided the limit exists. Here, as before, $S(N)$ is the set of Hurwitz quaternions of norm at most N (excluding 0).

Example 4. If A denotes the set of Hurwitz quaternions with norm coprime to M , then by Lemma 2, we see that the density of A in Q_{Hur} is

$$d(A) = \frac{\varphi(2M)}{M} \prod_{p|M} (1 - p^{-2}).$$

The purpose of this section is to compute an exact formula for the density of $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ in Q_{Hur} . Recall that $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ is the set of Hurwitz quaternions with norm in Rankin's greedy set $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$. The set $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ is formed by starting with the singleton $\{1\}$ and greedily adjoining to it larger positive integers which do not form 3-term geometric progressions with the previously included elements. Listing the elements of $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ in increasing order yields the sequence $(1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, \dots)$, which is OEIS [A000452](#) [6]. Note that

$$G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+) = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \mid \nu_p(n) \in A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+) \text{ for all primes } p\},$$

where ν_p denotes the p -adic valuation and $A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ is the set formed by greedily including non-negative integers that do not form a 3-term arithmetic progression with the previously included elements. Brown and Gordon [4] showed that $A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ is also the set of non-negative integers whose ternary expansion does not contain the digit 2. Listing the elements of $A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ in increasing order yields the sequence $(0, 1, 3, 4, 9, 10, 12, 13, \dots)$, which is OEIS [A005836](#) [8].

We will compute the density of $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ in Q_{Hur} using the following theorem, which provides an Euler product for densities of certain kinds of sets in Q_{Hur} . We would like to thank Emma Dinowitz for helpful discussions regarding the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ and let $G := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \mid \nu_p(n) \in A \text{ for all primes } p\}$. Then

$$d(S(G)) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left(\sum_{k \in A} \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \right) \prod_{\substack{p > 2 \\ \text{prime}}} \left(\sum_{k \in A} \frac{1}{p^k} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in A} \frac{1}{p^{2k}} \right).$$

Proof. For each prime p and each positive integer N , we define

$$G_p := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_+ \mid \nu_p(n) \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad G_N := \bigcap_{\substack{p|N \\ p \text{ prime}}} G_p.$$

For each positive integer r , write N_r to denote the r^{th} primorial $N_r := p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r$. For convenience, write $\Sigma(N)$ to denote $\Sigma(N) := \sum_{n \leq N} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(G)) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{n \leq N} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n)} \sum_{n \leq N} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_G(n) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Sigma(N)} \sum_{n \leq N} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{G_{N_r}}(n) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Sigma(N)} \sum_{(a_j)_{j=1}^r \in \mathbb{N}^r} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \forall j \leq r, \\ \nu_{p_j}(n) = a_j}} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{G_{N_r}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}). \end{aligned}$$

Since σ_{odd} is multiplicative, we see

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(G)) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Sigma(N)} \sum_{(a_j)_{j=1}^r \in \mathbb{N}^r} \sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ \forall j \leq r, \\ \nu_{p_j}(n) = a_j}} \sigma_{\text{odd}} \left(\frac{n}{p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}) \mathbf{1}_{G_{N_r}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(a_j)_{j=1}^r \in \mathbb{N}^r} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Sigma(N)} \sum_{\substack{m \leq N/p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} \\ \gcd(m, N_r) = 1}} \sigma_{\text{odd}}(m) \\ &\quad \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}) \mathbf{1}_{G_{N_r}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} d(S(G)) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(a_j)_{j=1}^r \in \mathbb{N}^r} \left(\frac{\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{N}{p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{24} \frac{\varphi(2N_r)}{N_r} \prod_{j \leq r} (1 - p_j^{-2}) + O(N \log N)}{N^2 \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{24} + O(N \log N)} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}) \mathbf{1}_{G_{N_r}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}). \end{aligned}$$

We see

$$\begin{aligned}
d(S(G)) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(a_j)_{j=1}^r \in \mathbb{N}^r} \left(\frac{\varphi(2N_r)}{N_r} \prod_{j \leq r} \frac{1}{p_j^{2a_j}} (1 - p_j^{-2}) \right) \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}) \mathbf{1}_{G_{N_r}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(2N_r)}{N_r} \sum_{(a_j)_{j=1}^r \in \mathbb{N}^r} \frac{\sigma_{\text{odd}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{G_{N_r}}(p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r})}{p_1^{2a_1} \cdots p_r^{2a_r}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(2N_r)}{N_r} \prod_{j \leq r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{\text{odd}}(p_j^k) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{G_{p_j}}(p_j^k)}{p_j^{2k}} \\
&= \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{\text{odd}}(p^k) \cdot \mathbf{1}_G(p^k)}{p^{2k}} = \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \sum_{k \in A} \frac{\sigma_{\text{odd}}(p^k)}{p^{2k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact $\sigma_{\text{odd}}(2^k) = 1$ and $\sigma_{\text{odd}}(p^k) = \frac{p^{k+1}-1}{p-1}$ for primes $p > 2$, we get

$$d(S(G)) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left(\sum_{k \in A} \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \right) \prod_{\substack{p > 2 \\ \text{prime}}} \left(\sum_{k \in A} \frac{1}{p^k} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in A} \frac{1}{p^{2k}} \right). \quad \square$$

Example 6. If A denotes the set of all Hurwitz quaternions with squarefree norm, then by Theorem 5 and the fact that n is squarefree if and only if $\nu_p(n) \in \{0, 1\}$ for all primes p , we see

$$\begin{aligned}
d(A) &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^2} \right) \prod_{\substack{p > 2 \\ \text{prime}}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^3} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)\zeta(3)} \frac{1 + 1/2^2}{1 - 1/2^3} = \frac{60}{7\pi^2\zeta(3)} \approx 0.722484.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use Theorem 5 to compute the density of $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$.

Theorem 7. *The density of the set $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ of Hurwitz quaternions with norm in Rankin's greedy set $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ is*

$$d(S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))) = \frac{f(2^2)}{\zeta(2)} \prod_{\substack{p > 2 \\ \text{prime}}} \left(f(p) - \frac{f(p^2)}{p} \right) \approx 0.782643,$$

where

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^{3^i}} \right).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5 we see

$$\begin{aligned}
d(S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))) &= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \left(\sum_{k \in A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)} \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \right) \cdot \prod_{\substack{p>2 \\ \text{prime}}} \left(\sum_{k \in A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)} \frac{1}{p^k} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k \in A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)} \frac{1}{p^{2k}} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{2 \cdot 3^j}} \right) \cdot \prod_{\substack{p>2 \\ \text{prime}}} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{3^j}} \right) - \frac{1}{p} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{2 \cdot 3^j}} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{f(2^2)}{\zeta(2)} \prod_{\substack{p>2 \\ \text{prime}}} \left(f(p) - \frac{f(p^2)}{p} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we use the fact that $A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ is the set of non-negative integers whose ternary expansion do not contain the digit 2 [4].

This product converges and is estimated to be ≈ 0.782643 through Mathematica. \square

4 Bounds on the supremum of upper densities

We now consider how large a set of Hurwitz quaternions can be while avoiding 3-term geometric progressions. We make this question precise, as follows.

Question 8. What is the supremum of upper densities of sets of Hurwitz quaternions that avoids 3-term geometric progressions?

Here, the *upper density* of a set of Hurwitz quaternions A in Q_{Hur} , denoted $\bar{d}(A)$, is defined using the same formula as Equation (1), except with \lim replaced by \limsup . We answer this question by obtaining upper and lower bounds for the supremum of upper densities of sets of Hurwitz quaternions avoiding 3-term geometric progressions.

4.1 Lower bound

For each $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$, let

$$T_N := \left(\left(\left[\frac{N}{48}, \frac{N}{45} \right] \cup \left[\frac{N}{40}, \frac{N}{36} \right] \cup \left[\frac{N}{32}, \frac{N}{27} \right] \cup \left[\frac{N}{24}, \frac{N}{12} \right] \cup \left[\frac{N}{9}, \frac{N}{8} \right] \cup \left[\frac{N}{4}, N \right] \right) \cap \mathbb{N}_+.$$

By Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S(T_N)| &= |S((N/4, N])| + |S((N/9, N/8])| + |S((N/24, N/12])| \\
&\quad + |S((N/32, N/27])| + |S((N/40, N/36])| + |S((N/48, N/45])| \\
&= R_N + r_N,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_N := \frac{17665627}{18662400} \pi^2 N^2 \quad \text{and} \quad r_N := O(N \log N).$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{17665627}{18662400} &= \left(1^2 - \frac{1}{4^2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{8^2} - \frac{1}{9^2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{12^2} - \frac{1}{24^2}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{27^2} - \frac{1}{32^2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{36^2} - \frac{1}{40^2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{45^2} - \frac{1}{48^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, write \mathcal{S} to denote

$$\mathcal{S} := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{N_i}, \quad \text{where} \quad N_i = 48^{2^i} \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

McNew [9, proof of Thm. 3.1] showed that \mathcal{S} is free of geometric progressions with integral ratio. Thus, $S(\mathcal{S})$ is a set of Hurwitz quaternions that avoids 3-term geometric progressions. We compute the upper density of $S(\mathcal{S})$ in Q_{Hur} , thereby obtaining a lower bound for the supremum of upper densities of sets of Hurwitz quaternions avoiding 3-term geometric progressions.

Lemma 9. *The upper density of $S(\mathcal{S})$ in Q_{Hur} is*

$$\bar{d}(S(\mathcal{S})) = \frac{17665627}{18662400} \approx 0.946589.$$

Proof. By the definition of upper density and by Lemma 2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(S(\mathcal{S})) &= \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S(\mathcal{S}) \cap S(N)|}{|S(N)|} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left| \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k S(T_{N_i}) \right|}{|S(N_k)|} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{N_k} + R_{N_{k-1}} + R_{N_{k-2}} + \cdots + R_{N_1}}{\pi^2 N_k^2 + O(N_k \log N_k)} + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_{N_k} + r_{N_{k-1}} + r_{N_{k-2}} + \cdots + r_{N_1}}{\pi^2 N_k^2 + O(N_k \log N_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

We first argue that the second limit term in the above expression is zero. Notice that

$$k \cdot O(1) \leq r_{N_k} + r_{N_{k-1}} + r_{N_{k-2}} + \cdots + r_{N_1} \leq k \cdot O(N_k \log N_k).$$

Since $N_k = 48^{2^k}$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \cdot O(1)}{\pi^2 N_k^2 + O(N_k \log N_k)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k \cdot O(N_k \log N_k)}{\pi^2 N_k^2 + O(N_k \log N_k)} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{d}(S(\mathcal{S})) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{N_k} + R_{N_{k-1}} + R_{N_{k-2}} + \cdots + R_{N_1}}{\pi^2 N_k^2 + O(N_k \log N_k)} + 0 \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(48^{2^{k-1}})^2} + \frac{1}{(48^{2^{k-1}+2^{k-2}})^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(48^{2^{k-1}+2^{k-2}+\cdots+2})^2} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{N_k}}{\pi^2 N_k^2 + O(N_k \log N_k)}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{(48^{2^{k-1}})^2} + \frac{1}{(48^{2^{k-1}+2^{k-2}})^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(48^{2^{k-1}+2^{k-2}+\cdots+2})^2} \leq \frac{k-1}{(48^{2^{k-1}})^2} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

so the first limit above converges to 1 and we find that

$$\bar{d}(S(\mathcal{S})) = 1 \cdot \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{N_k}}{\pi^2 N_k^2 + O(N_k \log N_k)} = \frac{17665627}{18662400}. \quad \square$$

4.2 Upper bound

The approach we use to obtain an upper bound is similar to the approach used by McNew [9] in the classical setting. Namely, we identify a large collection of *disjoint* 3-term geometric progressions from which at least one term of each progression must be excluded.

Definition 10. Two 3-term geometric progressions of Hurwitz quaternions $(b_1, b_1 r_1, b_1 r_1^2)$ and $(b_2, b_2 r_2, b_2 r_2^2)$ are *disjoint* if

$$\{b_1, b_1 r_1, b_1 r_1^2\} \cap \{b_2, b_2 r_2, b_2 r_2^2\} = \emptyset.$$

Fix a Hurwitz quaternion R of norm 2. For example, we can take $R = 1 + i$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$, write $E_n(N)$ to denote

$$E_n(N) := \{(bR^{3n}, bR^{3n+1}, bR^{3n+2}) \mid 2 \nmid \text{Nm}(b) \leq N/2^{3n+2}\}.$$

Note that $E_n(N)$ is empty if $N < 2^{3n+2}$. The cardinality of $E_n(N)$ is equal to the number of Hurwitz quaternions with norm less than or equal to $N/2^{3n+2}$ and coprime to 2. By Lemma 2, for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|E_n(N)| = \pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{2^{3n+2}} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} + O(N \log N).$$

Lemma 11. *The union*

$$\mathcal{E}(N) := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(N)$$

consists of disjoint 3-term geometric progressions contained in $S(N)^3$. Its cardinality is

$$|\mathcal{E}(N)| = \pi^2 N^2 \cdot \frac{1}{21} + O(N(\log N)^2).$$

Proof. For every Hurwitz quaternion $Q \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$, there is a unique way to write Q as $Q = bR^m$ for some $b \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$ with $2 \nmid \text{Nm}(b)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $\mathcal{E}(N)$ consists of disjoint 3-term geometric progressions. The fact that $\mathcal{E}(N) \subset S(N)^3$ is obvious.

Note that $E_n(N) \cap E_m(N) = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m \in \mathbb{N}$. Combined with the fact that $E_n(N)$ is empty for $N < 2^{3n+2}$, we see

$$\mathcal{E}(N) = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{B(N)} E_n(N), \quad \text{where } B(N) := \left\lceil \frac{1}{3}(\log_2(N) - 2) \right\rceil.$$

where $\log_2 N$ denotes the logarithm base 2. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}(N)| &= \sum_{n=0}^{B(N)} \left(\pi^2 \left(\frac{N}{2^{3n+2}} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{3}{4} + O(N \log N) \right) \\ &= \pi^2 N^2 \cdot \frac{3}{4^3} \sum_{n=0}^{B(N)} \left(\frac{1}{4^3} \right)^n + O(N(\log N)^2) = \pi^2 N^2 \cdot \frac{1}{21} + O(N(\log N)^2). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 12. *Let $A \subset Q_{\text{Hur}}$ be any set of Hurwitz quaternions avoiding 3-term geometric progressions. Then*

$$\bar{d}(A) \leq \frac{20}{21}.$$

Proof. Observe $|A \cap S(N)| = |S(N)| - |S(N) \setminus A|$. Since, $\mathcal{E}(N)$ consists of 3-term geometric progressions in $S(N)$, at least one term from each element of $\mathcal{E}(N)$ must be contained in $S(N) \setminus A$. Since all of the 3-term geometric progressions in $\mathcal{E}(N)$ are disjoint, the cardinality of $S(N) \setminus A$ is at least the cardinality of $\mathcal{E}(N)$. By Lemma 11,

$$|S(N) \setminus A| \geq \pi^2 N^2 \cdot \frac{1}{21} + O(N(\log N)^2).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(A) &= \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap S(N)|}{|S(N)|} = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|S(N)| - |S(N) \setminus A|}{|S(N)|} \\ &\leq 1 - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2 N^2 \cdot \frac{1}{21} + O(N(\log N)^2)}{\pi^2 N^2 + O(N \log N)} = \frac{20}{21}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We have finally arrived at our answer to Question 8.

Theorem 13. *The supremum \bar{m}_{Hur} of upper densities of sets of Hurwitz quaternions that avoid 3-term geometric progressions satisfies the following bounds:*

$$0.946589 \approx \frac{17665627}{18662400} \leq \bar{m}_{\text{Hur}} \leq \frac{20}{21} \approx 0.952381.$$

Proof. Combine Lemma 9 and Lemma 12. □

5 The quaternion greedy set

In Section 3, we computed an exact formula for the density of the set of Hurwitz quaternions $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ with norm in Rankin's greedy set $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$. It is natural to consider a different set of Hurwitz quaternions avoiding 3-term geometric progressions that is constructed by a greedy algorithm in a different way. Namely, consider the set of Hurwitz quaternions formed by including Hurwitz quaternions of increasing norms so long as they do not form a geometric progression with elements of smaller norms already included in the set. This process begins with including all the units, or Hurwitz quaternions of norm 1, and then considers progressively larger norms. The set constructed in this way will be well-defined because including a particular quaternion of a given norm, n , will not create a geometric progression with any other quaternions of norm n since unit ratios are not allowed. We call this set the *quaternion greedy set* and denote it as $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$. The following observation is an immediate consequence of this definition.

Proposition 14. *Any Hurwitz quaternion which is not the third term of a 3-term geometric progression is contained in the quaternion greedy set $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$.*

Question 15. What is the (upper/lower) density of $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$?

We are not able to answer Question 15 completely. However, the following proposition gives a lower bound for the density of $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$.

Proposition 16. *The quaternion greedy set $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ contains the set of Hurwitz quaternions whose norm is squarefree. Thus, the lower density of $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ in Q_{Hur} is at least*

$$\underline{d}(G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})) \geq \frac{60}{7\pi^2\zeta(3)} \approx 0.722484.$$

Proof. If Q is a Hurwitz quaternion whose norm is squarefree, then Q cannot be the third term in a 3-term geometric progression, and thus by Proposition 14 must be included in the quaternion greedy set. The lower bound for the density follows from Example 6. \square

In the remainder of this section, we attempt to convince the reader that Question 15 is hard. One may try to tackle Question 15 via the same method used to compute the density of $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$. However, step zero of this method would be to realize $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ as the set of Hurwitz quaternions whose norm is contained in a given set $G \subset \mathbb{N}_+$. This is not possible, as shown by the following proposition.

Proposition 17. *The quaternion greedy set is not equal to $S(G)$ for any $G \subset \mathbb{N}_+$.*

We postpone the proof of Proposition 17 to the end of this section.

Another approach to Question 15 is to try and relate the quaternion greedy set $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ to $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$. One might hope that $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ would contain or be contained within $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ (with possibly finitely many exceptions). If this were true, then one would be able to bound the density of $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ in terms of the already computed density of $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$. However, this is false, as shown by the following proposition.

Proposition 18. *There are infinitely many Hurwitz quaternions in both complement sets $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}) \setminus S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ and $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)) \setminus G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$.*

We postpone the proof of Proposition 18 until the end of this section. The proof will provide infinitely many such Hurwitz quaternions explicitly.

A key step in establishing both Proposition 17 and Proposition 18 is realizing that there exist Hurwitz quaternions of norm $r^2 > 0$ that cannot be written as the square of a Hurwitz quaternion of norm r multiplied by a unit on the left.

Proposition 19. *Suppose $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$ is a positive integer such that either*

- *r cannot be represented as the sum of three integer squares, or*
- *r is divisible by an odd integer greater than 24.*

Then there exists a Hurwitz quaternion Q of norm $\text{Nm}(Q) = r^2$ such that Q cannot be written in the form $Q = UR^2$ for any unit $U \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$ and any $R \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$ of norm $\text{Nm}(R) = r$. In the first case, $Q = r$ is such a Hurwitz quaternion.

Proof when r is not a sum of 3 squares. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$ be such that r cannot be represented as the sum of three integer squares. Suppose for contradiction that $r = UR^2$ for some unit $U \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$ and $R \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$ of $\text{Nm}(R) = r$. Then $U^{-1}r = R^2$. Suppose that $U^{-1} = a + bi + cj + dk$ and $R = w + xi + yj + zk$. Then, solving the equation $U^{-1}r = R^2$, we find that $a = \frac{w^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2}{r}$, $b = \frac{2wx}{r}$, $c = \frac{2wy}{r}$, $d = \frac{2wz}{r}$. It is a consequence of Lagrange's three-square theorem that the set of integers that cannot be represented as a sum of three integer squares is closed under multiplication by 4. Since $\text{Nm}(R) = r$ cannot be represented as the sum of three integer squares, we must have that w, x, y, z are all nonzero. Since w, x, y, z are nonzero, then b, c, d are nonzero; moreover, as $U^{-1} = a + bi + cj + dk$ is a unit in Q_{Hur} , then a is also nonzero, thus $a, b, c, d \in \{\pm\frac{1}{2}\}$. Then, $x = \pm\frac{r}{4w}$, $y = \pm\frac{r}{4w}$, and $z = \pm\frac{r}{4w}$. Substituting into $\frac{w^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2}{r} = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ and solving the equation, we find that $w = \pm\frac{\sqrt{r}}{2}$ or $w = \pm\frac{\sqrt{3r}}{2}$. We know that r cannot be represented as the sum of three integer squares; hence, r is not an integer square and $w \neq \pm\frac{\sqrt{r}}{2}$. We also know $w = \pm\frac{\sqrt{3r}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ if and only if $r = 3l^2$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, this implies that r can be represented as the sum of three integer squares, which is a contradiction. \square

Proof when r has an odd divisor > 24 . By Lemma 1, the number of Hurwitz quaternions Q , which can be written as $Q = UR^2$ where $U \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$ is a unit and $R \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$, has norm $\text{Nm}(R) = r$ is $24^2 \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(r)$. Thus, to show there exists Hurwitz quaternions Q of norm $\text{Nm}(Q) = r^2$, which cannot be written in this form, it suffices to show $24 \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(r) < \sigma_{\text{odd}}(r^2)$.

Let D be the greatest odd divisor of r . By hypothesis, $D > 24$. Thus, we have

$$24 \cdot \sigma_{\text{odd}}(r) < \sum_{2 \nmid d \mid r} D \cdot d \leq \sum_{2 \nmid d \mid r^2} d = \sigma_{\text{odd}}(r^2),$$

as required. \square

Proposition 20. *Let p be a rational prime number. Suppose Q is a Hurwitz quaternion of norm $\text{Nm}(Q) = p^2$ such that Q cannot be written in the form $Q = UR^2$ for any unit $U \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$ and any $R \in Q_{\text{Hur}}$ of norm $\text{Nm}(R) = p$. Then $Q \in G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}) \setminus S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ and $Q^2 \in S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)) \setminus G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$.*

Proof. In light of Proposition 14, in order to prove that $Q \in G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ it suffices to prove that Q is not the third term of any 3-term geometric progression. Suppose for contradiction that $Q = br^2$ for some $b, r \in Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}$ with r a non-unit. Then we would have $p^2 = \text{Nm}(Q) = \text{Nm}(b) \cdot \text{Nm}(r)^2$. Since p is prime, $\text{Nm}(b), \text{Nm}(r) \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $\text{Nm}(r) > 1$, we see $\text{Nm}(r) = p$ and $\text{Nm}(b) = 1$. Thus, b is a unit, and r has norm p , contradicting the supposition that Q cannot be written in the form $Q = UR^2$. Thus, $Q \in G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$.

To show that $Q \in G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}) \setminus S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$, it remains to prove $Q \notin S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$. Since $\text{Nm}(Q) = p^2$, and $2 \notin A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$, we know $Q \notin S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$.

The norm of Q^2 is $\text{Nm}(Q^2) = p^4$ and $4 \in A_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$, so $Q^2 \in S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$. We already know $Q \in G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$. Since both the first and second terms of the 3-term geometric progression $(1, Q, Q^2)$ are in $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$, we see that $Q^2 \notin G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$. Thus, $Q^2 \in S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)) \setminus G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$. \square

Armed with Proposition 19 and Proposition 20, we can now prove Proposition 17 and Proposition 18.

Proof of Proposition 17. Since 7 is not a sum of three squares, we know by Proposition 19 and Proposition 20 that $7 \in G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$. Note $Q = 2 + i + j + k$ is a Hurwitz quaternion of norm 7. By Proposition 16, $Q \in G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$. Since both 1 and Q are in $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$, the third term Q^2 of the 3-term geometric progression $(1, Q, Q^2)$ cannot be in $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$. Thus, $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ contains some Hurwitz quaternions of norm 7^2 , but not all. Therefore, $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ cannot be $S(G)$ for some $G \subset \mathbb{N}_+$. \square

Proof of Proposition 18. This follows from Proposition 19 and Proposition 20. Note that as p ranges over the infinitely many primes that cannot be represented as the sum of three integer squares, Proposition 19 and Proposition 20 imply that $p \in G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}) \setminus S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ and $p^2 \in S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)) \setminus G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$. This provides an explicit list of infinitely many examples in both complements. \square

In conclusion, there is no clear relationship between $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ and $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$. One may try to systematically describe the inclusions and exclusions necessary to transform $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{Z}))$ to $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$, but this appears to be hard to predict or keep track of. Consequently, we do not know whether the density of $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ in Q_{Hur} is greater than or less than the density of $S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ in Q_{Hur} . We also cannot make any computational estimate of the density of the quaternion greedy set as we do not even have a quick way of testing whether a given Hurwitz quaternion is included in $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$.

6 Future work

As discussed in Section 5, the nature of the quaternion greedy set $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ is quite mysterious. Fundamentally, it is the noncommutativity of the Hurwitz quaternions which makes the quaternion greedy set so difficult to analyze and understand. We record here several questions about $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ that remain open.

Question 21. Does $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ have an asymptotic density?

Question 22. Is the (lower/upper) density of $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ greater than $d(S(G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+)))$?

Question 23. Is there an alternative characterization of the quaternion greedy set? Is there an efficient algorithm that tests membership in $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$?

Next, we introduce a general framework in which questions about avoiding geometric progressions can be studied. Let B be a cancellative, normed monoid. This means B is a monoid which satisfies the cancellation property, and B comes equipped with a monoid homomorphism $N : B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ which we call the norm. Suppose that for each $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$, the set $S_B(N)$ of elements in B with norm $\leq N$ is finite. We define the *asymptotic density of a set $A \subset B$ in B* to be

$$d_B(A) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap S_B(N)|}{|S_B(N)|}$$

if it exists. The upper density $\bar{d}_B(A)$ is defined similarly, except \lim is replaced with \limsup .

Let $U(B)$ be the universal enveloping group. This is the group with generators given by the elements of B and relations given by the full multiplication table of B . Since B is cancellative, the natural monoid homomorphism $B \rightarrow U(B)$ is injective, and we regard B as a subset of $U(B)$ via this map. The norm $N : B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_+$ naturally extends to a group homomorphism $U(N) : U(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_+$, which we also call the norm.

Let $R \subset U(B)$ be a subset. A k -term geometric progression in B with ratio in R is a k -tuple $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k) \in B^k$, where

$$b_1^{-1}b_2 = b_2^{-1}b_3 = \dots = b_{k-1}^{-1}b_k \in R.$$

A set $S \subset B$ is said to *avoid k -term geometric progressions with ratio in R* if S^k does not contain any such k -tuples. Now we define the constants

$$m_k(B, R) := \sup \left\{ d_B(S) \mid \begin{array}{l} S \subset B \text{ avoids } k\text{-term geometric progressions} \\ \text{with ratio in } R, \text{ and } d_B(S) \text{ exists} \end{array} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{m}_k(B, R) := \sup \{ \bar{d}_B(S) \mid S \subset B \text{ avoids } k\text{-term geometric progressions with ratio in } R \}.$$

It is clear from the definitions that $m_k(B, R) \leq \bar{m}_k(B, R)$. Moreover, both $m_k(B, R)$ and $\bar{m}_k(B, R)$ are increasing with respect to k and decreasing with respect to R .

Question 24. What is the value of $m_k(B, R)$ and $\bar{m}_k(B, R)$ for different choices of length k , normed monoid B and forbidden ratio set R ?

In this paper, we obtained upper and lower bounds for $m_k(B, R)$ and $\overline{m}_k(B, R)$ when $k = 3$, $B = Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}$ and $R = Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus S(1)$. Indeed, we have

$$0.782643 \leq m_{\text{Hur}} := m_3(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}, Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus S(1)), \text{ and} \quad (\text{Theorem 7})$$

$$0.946589 \leq \overline{m}_{\text{Hur}} := \overline{m}_3(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}, Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus S(1)) \leq 0.952381. \quad (\text{Theorem 13})$$

For any $G \subset \mathbb{N}$, write $S_B(G)$ to denote the set of elements of B with norm in G . Since $G_k^*(\mathbb{N}_+)$ avoids k -term geometric progressions with rational ratio, we get that $S_B(G_k^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ avoids k -term geometric progressions with ratio in R for any forbidden ratio set $R \subset U(B)$. Thus, if $d_B(S_B(G_k^*(\mathbb{N}_+)))$ exists, it serves as a lower bound for $m_k(B, R)$ for all possible forbidden ratio sets R .

Question 25. Does $S_B(G_k^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ have an asymptotic density in B ? If yes, compute it.

Theorem 7 answers Question 25 when $k = 3$ and $B = Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}$.

Now we generalize the definition of the quaternion greedy set. Suppose R is a subset of $U(B)$ that avoids elements of norm 1. We define a *greedy set* of elements of B avoiding k -term geometric progressions with ratio in R as follows. Start with the set $S_B(1)$ of elements of B of norm 1 and adjoin to it elements of B of increasing norm so long as the enlarged set continues to avoid k -term geometric progressions with ratio in R . This is a well-defined procedure because R does not contain any elements of norm 1. Denote the resulting greedy set as $G_k^*(B, R)$. Note $G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+) = G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+, \mathbb{N}_{>1}) = G_3^*(\mathbb{N}_+, \mathbb{Q}_{>1})$, as observed by Brown and Gordon [4] and $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}) = G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}, Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus S(1))$.

Question 26. Repeat Questions 21 - 23 with $G_3^*(Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\})$ and $S(G_k^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ replaced by $G_k^*(B, R)$ and $S_B(G_k^*(\mathbb{N}_+))$ respectively.

It would be interesting to study all the above questions when $B = \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}$ where \mathcal{O} is a maximal order in the octonions \mathbb{O} , as this is a nonassociative setting. Even in the Hamilton quaternion setting $B = Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus \{0\}$, it would be interesting to vary the forbidden ratio set R from $Q_{\text{Hur}} \setminus S(1)$ to $\mathbb{N}_{>1}^\times$, $\mathbb{Q}_{>1}^\times$ or $\{Q \in \mathbb{H}^\times \mid \text{Nm}(Q) > 1\}$.

7 Acknowledgments

This research was conducted as part of the 2015 SMALL REU program and the 2022 Polymath Jr. program. The authors were partially supported by NSF grants DMS 1347804, DMS 1265673, DMS 1561945, and DMS 2218374 and by Williams College. The authors thank Emma Dinowitz for helpful discussions regarding the proof of Theorem 5 and the suggestions of the anonymous referee which greatly improved the exposition of the paper.

References

- [1] M. Asada, E. Fourakis, S. Manski, N. McNew, S. J. Miller, and G. Moreland, Subsets of $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ free of 3-term geometric progressions, *Finite Fields Appl.* **44** (2017), 135–147.

- [2] M. Beiglböck, V. Bergelson, N. Hindman, and D. Strauss, Multiplicative structures in additively large sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **113** (2006), 1219–1242.
- [3] A. Best, K. Huan, N. McNew, S. J. Miller, J. Powell, K. Tor, and M. Weinstein, Geometric-progression-free sets over quadratic number fields, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **147** (2017), 245–262.
- [4] B. E. Brown and D. M. Gordon, On sequences without geometric progressions, *Math. Comp.* **65** (1996), 1749–1754.
- [5] J. Conway and D. Smith, *On Quaternions and Octonions: Their Geometry, Arithmetic, and Symmetry*, A K Peters/CRC Press, 2003.
- [6] The greedy sequence of integers which avoids 3-term geometric progressions, The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS), <https://oeis.org/A000452>.
- [7] Theta series of D_4 lattice; Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series $E_{\{\gamma, 2\}}$, The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS), <https://oeis.org/A004011>.
- [8] Numbers whose base-3 representation contains no 2, The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS), <https://oeis.org/A005836>.
- [9] N. McNew, On sets of integers which contain no three terms in geometric progression, *Math. Comp.* **84** (2015), 2893–2910.
- [10] M. B. Nathanson and K. O’Bryant, On sequences without geometric progressions, *Integers* **13** (2013), A73.
- [11] I. Negrini, *On the computation of p -adic theta functions arising from the Hurwitz quaternions*, Master’s thesis, Concordia University, 2017, <https://spectrum.library.concordia.ca/id/eprint/982803/>.
- [12] R. A. Rankin, Sets of integers containing not more than a given number of terms in arithmetical progression, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **65** (1961), 332–344.
- [13] J. Riddell, Sets of integers containing no n terms in geometric progression, *Glasg. Math. J* **10** (1969), 137–146.
- [14] John Voight, *Quaternion algebras*, Grad. Texts. in Math., vol. 288, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2021.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B05; Secondary 05D10, 11Y60.

Keywords: Ramsey theory, greedy algorithm, non-commutative group, quaternion, Hurwitz quaternion, density, asymptotic density, geometric progression, geometric-progression-free

(Concerned with sequences [A000452](https://oeis.org/A000452), [A004011](https://oeis.org/A004011) and [A005836](https://oeis.org/A005836).)