

Numbers. Mmmmmm – Theorems!

Steven J Miller, Williams College

Steven.J.Miller@williams.edu http://www.williams.edu/go/math/sjmiller CANT 2010, CUNY, May 29, 2010



Introduction R	eview 7	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
0000 0							

Summary / Acknowledgements



- Previous results: Zeckendorf's and Lekkerkerker's theorems.
- New approach: View as combinatorial problem.
- Thanks: Ed Burger and SMALL REU students.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
0000							

Special Thanks



Special thanks go to Cameron and Kayla Miller for playing quietly while key details were worked out and for suggesting which colors to use and where! We're ready for yellow now – hope you are too!

Introduction	Review 000	Zeckendorf's Thm 00	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Appendix 000000	Refs
Previou	us Re	sults				

Fibonacci Numbers: $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$; $F_1 = 1, F_2 = 2, F_3 = 3, F_4 = 5...$

Introduction	Review 000	Zeckendorf's Thm oo	Lekkerkerker's Thm 000	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Appendix 000000	Refs
Previo	us Re	sults					

Fibonacci Numbers: $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$; $F_1 = 1, F_2 = 2, F_3 = 3, F_4 = 5,...$

Zeckendorf's Theorem

Every positive integer can be written in a unique way as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers.

	Zeckendorf's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Refs

Previous Results

Fibonacci Numbers: $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$; $F_1 = 1, F_2 = 2, F_3 = 3, F_4 = 5 \dots$

Zeckendorf's Theorem

Every positive integer can be written in a unique way as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers.

Example: $2010 = 1597+377+34+2 = F_{16} + F_{13} + F_8 + F_2$.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
0000							

Previous Results

Fibonacci Numbers: $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$; $F_1 = 1, F_2 = 2, F_3 = 3, F_4 = 5 \dots$

Zeckendorf's Theorem

Every positive integer can be written in a unique way as a sum of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers.

Example: $2010 = 1597+377+34+2 = F_{16} + F_{13} + F_8 + F_2$.

Lekkerkerker's Theorem

The average number of non-consecutive Fibonacci summands in the Zeckendorf decomposition for integers in $[F_n, F_{n+1})$ tends to $\frac{n}{\varphi^2+1} \approx .276n$, where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden mean.

		Zeckendorf's Thm 00	Lekkerkerker's Thm 000	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Appendix 000000	Refs
Main P	oculte	•					

Lemma: Application of Cookie Counting

The 'probability' (ie, percentage of the time) an integer in $[F_n, F_{n+1})$ has exactly k + 1 non-consecutive Fibonacci summands is $\binom{n-1-k}{k}/F_{n-1}$.



Introduction ○○○●		Zeckendorf's Thm oo	Lekkerkerker's Thm 000	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Appendix 000000	Refs
Main R	osulte	2					

Lemma: Application of Cookie Counting

The 'probability' (ie, percentage of the time) an integer in $[F_n, F_{n+1})$ has exactly k + 1 non-consecutive Fibonacci summands is $\binom{n-1-k}{k}/F_{n-1}$.

The above lemma yields Zeckendorf's Theorem, Lekkerkerker's Theorem, and *will* (hopefully) yield

An Erdos-Kac Type Theorem: SMALL 2010

As $n \to \infty$, the distribution of the number of non-consecutive Fibonacci summands in the Zeckendorf decomposition for integers in [F_n , F_{n+1}) is Gaussian.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs

Properties of Fibonacci Numbers and needed Combinatorial Results

	Zeckendorf's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion O	Refs

Binet's Formula

Binet's Formula

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n+1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n+1}.$$

	Zeckendorf's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Refs

Binet's Formula

Binet's Formula

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n+1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n+1}.$$

1 |

Proof: $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$.

Guess
$$F_n = n^r$$
: $r^{n+1} = r^n + r^{n-1}$ or $r^2 = r + 1$.

Roots $r = (1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2$.

General solution: $F_n = c_1 r_1^n + c_2 r_2^n$, solve for c_i 's.

	Zeckendorf's Thm 00	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Refs

The Cookie Problem

The number of ways of dividing *C* identical cookies among *P* distinct people is $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
0000	000	00	000	000		000000	

The Cookie Problem

The number of ways of dividing *C* identical cookies among *P* distinct people is $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$.

Proof: Consider C + P - 1 cookies in a line.

		Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Refs

The Cookie Problem

The number of ways of dividing *C* identical cookies among *P* distinct people is $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$.

Proof: Consider C + P - 1 cookies in a line.

Cookie Monster eats P - 1 cookies: $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$ ways to do.

Introduction 0000		Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Refs

The Cookie Problem

The number of ways of dividing *C* identical cookies among *P* distinct people is $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$.

Proof: Consider C + P - 1 cookies in a line.

Cookie Monster eats P - 1 cookies: $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$ ways to do.

Divides the cookies into P sets.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
0000	000	00	000	000		000000	

The Cookie Problem

The number of ways of dividing *C* identical cookies among *P* distinct people is $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$.

Proof: Consider C + P - 1 cookies in a line.

Cookie Monster eats P - 1 cookies: $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$ ways to do.

Divides the cookies into P sets.

Example: 10 cookies and 5 people:

 $\odot \odot \otimes \otimes \odot \odot \otimes \otimes \odot \odot \odot \odot \otimes \otimes \odot$

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
	000						

Cookie Problem: Reinterpretation

Reinterpreting the Cookie Problem

The number of solutions to $x_1 + \cdots + x_P = C$ with x_i a non-negative integer is $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
	000						

Cookie Problem: Reinterpretation

Reinterpreting the Cookie Problem

The number of solutions to $x_1 + \cdots + x_P = C$ with x_i a non-negative integer is $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$.

Generalization: If have constraints $x_i \ge c_i$, then number of solutions is $\binom{C-\sum_i c_i+P-1}{P-1}$.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
	000						

Cookie Problem: Reinterpretation

Reinterpreting the Cookie Problem

The number of solutions to $x_1 + \cdots + x_P = C$ with x_i a non-negative integer is $\binom{C+P-1}{P-1}$.

Generalization: If have constraints $x_i \ge c_i$, then number of solutions is $\binom{C-\sum_i c_i+P-1}{P-1}$.

This follows by setting $x_i = y_i + c_i$ with y_i a non-negative integer.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs

Zeckendorf's Theorem

Introduction R 0000 c				Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Appendix 000000	Refs
Proof of	Zeck	endorf's Tł	heorem				

	Review 000		Lekkerkerker's Thm 000	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion O	Appendix 000000	Refs
Proof o	of Zec	kendorf's T	heorem				

Existence: Consider all sums of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers equaling an $m \in [F_n, F_{n+1})$; note there are $F_{n+1} - F_n = F_{n-1}$ such integers.

	Review 000		Lekkerkerker's Thm 000	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Appendix 000000	Refs
Proof c	of Zec	kendorf's T	heorem				

Existence: Consider all sums of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers equaling an $m \in [F_n, F_{n+1})$; note there are $F_{n+1} - F_n = F_{n-1}$ such integers.

Must have F_n one of the summands, must not have F_{n-1} .

	Review 000		Lekkerkerker's Thm 000	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Appendix 000000	Refs
Proof c	of Zec	kendorf's T	heorem				

Existence: Consider all sums of non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers equaling an $m \in [F_n, F_{n+1})$; note there are $F_{n+1} - F_n = F_{n-1}$ such integers.

Must have F_n one of the summands, must not have F_{n-1} .

For each Fibonacci number from F_1 to F_{n-1} we either include or not, cannot have two consecutive, must end with a non-taken number.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
		00					

Proof of Zeckendorf's Theorem (continued)

Consider all subsets of k + 1 non-consecutive Fibonaccis from $\{F_1, \ldots, F_n\}$ where F_n is taken. Let y_0 be number of Fibonaccis not taken until first one taken, and then y_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ be the number not taken between two taken.

Introduction Review Zeckendorf's Thm Lekkerkerker's Thm Erdos-Kac Type Theorem Conclusion Appendix Refs 0000 000 000 000 000 000 000000

Proof of Zeckendorf's Theorem (continued)

Consider all subsets of k + 1 non-consecutive Fibonaccis from $\{F_1, \ldots, F_n\}$ where F_n is taken. Let y_0 be number of Fibonaccis not taken until first one taken, and then y_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ be the number not taken between two taken.

Example: 2010 = 1597+377+34+2 = $F_{16} + F_{13} + F_8 + F_2$, so n = 16, k + 1 = 4, $y_0 = 1$, $y_1 = 5$, $y_2 = 4$, $y_3 = 2$.

Equivalently: $y_0 + y_1 + \cdots + y_k + k = n - 1$, $y_i \ge 1$ if $i \ge 1$.

Introduction Review Zeckendorf's Thm Lekkerkerker's Thm Erdos-Kac Type Theorem Conclusion Appendix Refs 0000 000 000 000 000 000 000000

Proof of Zeckendorf's Theorem (continued)

Consider all subsets of k + 1 non-consecutive Fibonaccis from $\{F_1, \ldots, F_n\}$ where F_n is taken. Let y_0 be number of Fibonaccis not taken until first one taken, and then y_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ be the number not taken between two taken.

Example: 2010 = 1597+377+34+2 = $F_{16} + F_{13} + F_8 + F_2$, so n = 16, k + 1 = 4, $y_0 = 1$, $y_1 = 5$, $y_2 = 4$, $y_3 = 2$.

Equivalently: $y_0 + y_1 + \cdots + y_k + k = n - 1$, $y_i \ge 1$ if $i \ge 1$.

Equivalently: $x_0 + \cdots + x_k + 2k = n - 1$, $x_i \ge 0$. Number of solutions is $\binom{n-1-k}{k}$.

Proof of Zeckendorf's Theorem (continued)

Consider all subsets of k + 1 non-consecutive Fibonaccis from $\{F_1, \ldots, F_n\}$ where F_n is taken. Let y_0 be number of Fibonaccis not taken until first one taken, and then y_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ be the number not taken between two taken.

Example: 2010 = 1597+377+34+2 = $F_{16} + F_{13} + F_8 + F_2$, so n = 16, k + 1 = 4, $y_0 = 1$, $y_1 = 5$, $y_2 = 4$, $y_3 = 2$.

Equivalently: $y_0 + y_1 + \cdots + y_k + k = n - 1$, $y_i \ge 1$ if $i \ge 1$.

Equivalently: $x_0 + \cdots + x_k + 2k = n - 1$, $x_i \ge 0$. Number of solutions is $\binom{n-1-k}{k}$.

Obtain $\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} {\binom{n-1-k}{k}} = F_{n-1}$ integers in $[F_n, F_{n+1}]$; as all distinct and this many integers in interval, done.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs

Lekkerkerker's Theorem

Introduction	Review 000	Zeckendorf's Thm oo	Lekkerkerker's Thm ●○○	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Appendix 000000	Refs
Prelimi	narie	S					

$$\mathcal{E}(n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} k \binom{n-1-k}{k}.$$

Introduction	Review 000	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm ●○○	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion O	Appendix 000000	Refs
Prelimi	narie	9					

$$\mathcal{E}(n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} k \binom{n-1-k}{k}.$$

Average number of summands in $[F_n, F_{n+1})$ is

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}(n)}{F_{n-1}}+1$$

Introduction	Review 000	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm ●○○	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Appendix 000000	Refs
Prelimi	narie	2					

$$\mathcal{E}(n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} k \binom{n-1-k}{k}.$$

Average number of summands in $[F_n, F_{n+1})$ is

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}(n)}{F_{n-1}}+1$$

Recurrence Relation for $\mathcal{E}(n)$

$$\mathcal{E}(n) + \mathcal{E}(n-2) = (n-2)F_{n-3}$$

	Zeckendorf's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion O	Refs

Recurrence Relation

Recurrence Relation for $\mathcal{E}(n)$

$$\mathcal{E}(n) + \mathcal{E}(n-2) = (n-2)F_{n-3}$$

Proof by algebra (details in appendix):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} k \binom{n-1-k}{k} \\ &= (n-2) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-3-\ell}{\ell} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} \ell \binom{n-3-\ell}{\ell} \\ &= (n-2) F_{n-3} - \mathcal{E}(n-2). \end{split}$$

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
			000				

Solving Recurrence Relation

Formula for $\mathcal{E}(n)$ (i.e., Lekkerkerker's Theorem)

$$\mathcal{E}(n) = \frac{nF_{n-1}}{\varphi^2+1} + O(F_{n-2}).$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell} \left(\mathcal{E}(n-2\ell) + \mathcal{E}(n-2(\ell+1)) \right)$$

=
$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell} (n-2-2\ell) F_{n-3-2\ell}.$$

Result follows from Binet's formula, the geometric series formula, and differentiating identities: $\sum_{j=0}^{m} jx^{j} = (x_{j})^{m} (x_{$

$$x \frac{(m+1)x^m(x-1)-(x^{m+1}-1)}{(x-1)^2}$$
. Details in appendix.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs

An Erdos-Kac Type Theorem

Introduction		Erdos-Kac Type Theorem ●○○	Conclusion o	Refs

Generalizing Lekkerkerker

Theorem (SMALL 2010)

As $n \to \infty$, the distribution of the number of summands in Zeckendorf's Theorem is a Gaussian.

Proof should follow from Markov's Method of Moments, Binet's formula for the Fibonacci numbers, and then differentiating identities to evaluate sums of the form $\sum_{j} j^{m} x^{m}$.

Introduction		Lekkerkerker's Thm 000	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem ○●○	Conclusion o	Refs

Generalizing Lekkerkerker

Theorem (SMALL 2010)

As $n \to \infty$, the distribution of the number of summands in Zeckendorf's Theorem is a Gaussian.




Figure: Number of summands in $[F_{2010}, F_{2011})$

		Erdos-Kac Type Theorem ○○●	Conclusion o	Refs

Generalizing Lekkerkerker

Theorem (SMALL 2010)

As $n \to \infty$, the distribution of the number of summands in Zeckendorf's Theorem is a Gaussian.

Numerics: At $F_{100,000}$: Ratio of $2m^{\text{th}}$ moment σ_{2m} to $(2m-1)!!\sigma_2^m$ is between .999955 and 1 for $2m \le 10$.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs

Conclusion

Introduction	Review 000	Zeckendorf's Thm 00	Lekkerkerker's Thm 000	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion •	Appendix 000000	Refs
Conclu	sion						

- Re-derive Zeckendorf and Lekkerkerker's results through combinatorics.
- Method should yield an Erdos-Kac type result on Gaussian behavior of the number of summands.
- Method should be applicable to other, related questions.

NOTE: These and similar questions will be studied by the students at the 2010 SMALL REU at Williams College; we expect to be able to provide papers and proofs by the end of the summer.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs

Appendix: Details of Computations

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
						00000	

Needed Binomial Identity

Binomial identity involving Fibonacci Numbers

Let F_m denote the m^{th} Fibonacci number, with $F_1 = 1$, $F_2 = 2$, $F_3 = 3$, $F_4 = 5$ and so on. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n-1-k}{k} = F_{n-1}$$

Proof by induction: The base case is trivially verified. Assume our claim holds for *n* and show that it holds for n + 1. We may extend the sum to n - 1, as $\binom{n-1}{k} = 0$ whenever $k > \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$. Using the standard identity that

$$\binom{m}{\ell} + \binom{m}{\ell+1} = \binom{m+1}{\ell+1}$$

and the convention that $\binom{m}{\ell} = 0$ if ℓ is a negative integer, we find

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-k}{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\binom{n-1-k}{k-1} + \binom{n-1-k}{k} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-1-k}{k-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-1-k}{k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-2-(k-1)}{k-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-1-k}{k} = F_{n-2} + F_{n-1}$$

by the inductive assumption; noting $F_{n-2} + F_{n-1} = F_n$ completes the proof.

43

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
						00000	

Derivation of Recurrence Relation for $\mathcal{E}(n)$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} k \binom{n-1-k}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} k \frac{(n-1-k)!}{k!(n-1-2k)!} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (n-1-k) \frac{(n-2-k)!}{(k-1)!(n-1-2k)!} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} (n-2-(k-1)) \frac{(n-3-(k-1))!}{(k-1)!(n-3-2(k-1))!} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (n-2-\ell) \binom{n-3-\ell}{\ell} \\ &= (n-2) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-3-\ell}{\ell} - \frac{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor}{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\ell}} \ell \binom{n-3-\ell}{\ell} \\ &= (n-2)F_{n-3} - \mathcal{E}(n-2), \end{split}$$

which proves the claim (note we used the binomial identity to replace the sum of binomial coefficients with a Fibonacci number).

44

	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion o	Refs

Formula for $\mathcal{E}(n)$

Formula for $\mathcal{E}(n)$

$$\mathcal{E}(n) = \frac{nF_{n-1}}{\varphi^2 + 1} + O(F_{n-2}).$$

Proof: The proof follows from using telescoping sums to get an expression for $\mathcal{E}(n)$, which is then evaluated by inputting Binet's formula and differentiating identities. Explicitly, consider

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell} \left(\mathcal{E}(n-2\ell) + \mathcal{E}(n-2(\ell+1)) \right) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell} (n-2-2\ell) F_{n-3-2\ell}$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell} (n-3-2\ell) F_{n-3-2\ell} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell} (2\ell) F_{n-3-2\ell}$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{\ell} (n-3-2\ell) F_{n-3-2\ell} + O(F_{n-2});$$

while we could evaluate the last sum exactly, trivially estimating it suffices to obtain the main term (as we have a sum of every other Fibonacci number, the sum is at most the next Fibonacci number after the largest one in our sum).

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
						000000	

Formula for $\mathcal{E}(n)$ (continued)

We now use Binet's formula to convert the sum into a geometric series. Letting $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ be the golden mean, we have

$$F_n = rac{\varphi}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot \varphi^n - rac{1-\varphi}{\sqrt{5}} \cdot (1-\varphi)^n$$

(our constants are because our counting has $F_1 = 1$, $F_2 = 2$ and so on). As $|1 - \varphi| < 1$, the error from dropping the $(1 - \varphi)^n$ term is $O(\sum_{\ell \le n} n) = O(n^2) = o(F_{n-2})$, and may thus safely be absorbed in our error term. We thus find

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(n) &= \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{5}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (n-3-2\ell)(-1)^{\ell} \varphi^{n-3-2\ell} + O(F_{n-2}) \\ &= \frac{\varphi^{n-2}}{\sqrt{5}} \left[(n-3) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} (-\varphi^{-2})^{\ell} - 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} \ell (-\varphi^{-2})^{\ell} \right] + O(F_{n-2}). \end{split}$$

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
						000000	

Formula for $\mathcal{E}(n)$ (continued)

We use the geometric series formula to evaluate the first term. We drop the upper boundary term of $(-\varphi^{-1})^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor}$, as this term is negligible since $\varphi > 1$. We may also move the 3 from the n-3 into the error term, and are left with

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(n) &= \frac{\varphi^{n-2}}{\sqrt{5}} \left[\frac{n}{1+\varphi^{-2}} - 2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor} \ell(-\varphi^{-2})^{\ell} \right] + O(F_{n-2}) \\ &= \frac{\varphi^{n-2}}{\sqrt{5}} \left[\frac{n}{1+\varphi^{-2}} - 2S\left(\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2} \rfloor, -\varphi^{-2} \right) \right] + O(F_{n-2}), \end{split}$$

where

$$S(m, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} j x^{j}$$

There is a simple formula for S(m, x). As

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} x^{j} = \frac{x^{m+1} - 1}{x - 1}$$

applying the operator $x \frac{d}{dx}$ gives

$$S(m, x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} j x^{j} = x \frac{(m+1)x^{m}(x-1) - (x^{m+1}-1)}{(x-1)^{2}} = \frac{mx^{m+2} - (m+1)x^{m+1} + x}{(x-1)^{2}}.$$

47

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs
						000000	

Formula for $\mathcal{E}(n)$ (continued)

Taking $x = -\varphi^{-2}$, we see that the contribution from this piece may safely be absorbed into the error term $O(F_{n-2})$, leaving us with

$$\mathcal{E}(n) = \frac{n\varphi^{n-2}}{\sqrt{5}(1+\varphi^{-2})} + O(F_{n-2}) = \frac{n\varphi^n}{\sqrt{5}(\varphi^2+1)} + O(F_{n-2}).$$

Noting that for large *n* we have $F_{n-1} = \frac{\varphi^n}{\sqrt{5}} + O(1)$, we finally obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(n) = \frac{nF_{n-1}}{\varphi^2 + 1} + O(F_{n-2}).\Box$$

A similar calculation should yield the higher moments; this will be done by the students of the 2010 SMALL REU at Williams College.

Introduction	Review	Zeckendorf's Thm	Lekkerkerker's Thm	Erdos-Kac Type Theorem	Conclusion	Appendix	Refs

References



- D. E. Daykin, *Representation of natural numbers as sums of generalized Fibonacci numbers*, J. London Mathematical Society 35 (1960), 143–160.
- C. G. Lekkerkerker, Voorstelling van natuurlyke getallen door een som van getallen van Fibonacci, Simon Stevin 29 (1951-1952), 190–195.
- SMALL REU (2010, Williams College), preprint.