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Modularity theorem for semistable elliptic curves (Andrew Wiles, 1995).
Andrew Wiles proved that elliptic curves over the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ are related to modular forms.


Corollary. (Fermat's Last Theorem, 1637)
No three positive integers $a, b$, and $c$ can satisfy the equation

$$
a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}
$$

## Counting Rational Solutions

An elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ is a non-singular curve of genus 1 of the form $y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B$ where $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$. We may consider the set $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$ of rational solutions of $\mathcal{E}$ plus the point at infinity $O_{\mathcal{E}}$.

## Theorem. (Mordell-Weil, 1922)

Let $P, Q$, and $P * Q$ be points on $\mathcal{E}$ which lie on a line. Then the binary operation $P \cdot Q=(P * Q) * O_{\mathcal{E}}$ turns $(E(\mathbb{Q}), \cdot)$ into a finitely generated abelian group. In particular,

$$
\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {torsion }} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{\text {rank }}
$$



## Motivating question

## Can we find an elliptic curve of large rank?
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## Can we find an elliptic curve of large rank?

## In 2006, Noam Elkies set the record by finding an elliptic curve of rank at least 28 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{2}+x y+y=x^{3}-x^{2} \\
& \quad-20067762415575526585033208209338542750930230312178956502 x \\
& +34481611795030556467032985690390720374855944359319180361266008296291939448732243429
\end{aligned}
$$
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A one-parameter family of elliptic curves is given by

$$
\mathcal{E}: y^{2}=x^{3}+A(T) x+B(T),
$$

where $A(T), B(T)$ are polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[T]$.
Each specialization of $T$ to an integer $t$ gives an elliptic curve $E_{t}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

A one-parameter family of elliptic curves is given by

$$
\mathcal{E}: y^{2}=x^{3}+A(T) x+B(T),
$$

where $A(T), B(T)$ are polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[T]$.
Each specialization of $T$ to an integer $t$ gives an elliptic curve $E_{t}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.
Moments of a family of elliptic curves
The $r^{\text {th }}$ moment (note we do not normalize by $1 / p$ ) is

$$
\mathcal{A}_{r, \mathcal{E}}(p)=\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} a_{E_{t}}(p)^{r},
$$

where $a_{E_{t}}(p)=p+1-\#\left(\right.$ solutions to $\left.E_{t} \bmod p\right)$ is the Frobenius trace of $E_{t}$.

The first moment is related to the rank of the elliptic curve family:
$\mathcal{A}_{1, \varepsilon}(p)$ and Family Rank (Nagao, Rosen-Silverman, 1998)
Given certain technical assumptions (Tate's Conjecture) hold for $\mathcal{E}$, then

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{X} \sum_{p \leq X} \mathcal{A}_{1, \mathcal{E}}(p) \frac{\log p}{p}=-\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}(T)) .
$$
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- By $\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sim x$, if $\mathcal{A}_{1, \mathcal{E}(t)}(p)=-r p+O(1)$, then $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}(T))=r$.
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- By $\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sim x$, if $\mathcal{A}_{1, \mathcal{E}(t)}(p)=-r p+O(1)$, then $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}(T))=r$.
- The "rank" of the family means that except for finitely many $t$, the elliptic curve $E_{t}$ has rank greater or equal to $r$.
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Bias Conjecture
The $j(T)$-invariant is $j(T)=1728 \frac{4 A(T)^{3}}{4 A(T)^{3}+27 B(T)^{2}}$.
Second moment asymptotic (Michel, 1995)
For a one-parameter family $\mathcal{E}$ with $j(T)$-invariant non-constant, the second moment is

$$
A_{2, \mathcal{E}}=p^{2}+O\left(p^{3 / 2}\right)
$$

with lower-order terms of size $p^{3 / 2}, p, p^{1 / 2}$, and 1 .
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## Strong and Weak Bias conjecture

- Weak: The largest lower term in the second moment expansion which does not average to 0 is on average negative.
- Strong: The largest lower term in the second moment expansion which does not average to 0 is negative except for finitely many $p$


## Relation with Excess Rank

- If we have lower order negative bias, then the bound for the average rank in families increases.


## Relation with Excess Rank

- If we have lower order negative bias, then the bound for the average rank in families increases.
- However, lower order negative biases increases bound only by a small amount, which is not enough to explain observed excess rank.
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For a specialization $E_{t}: y^{2}=x^{3}+A(t) x+B(t)$, we may write

$$
a_{E_{t}}(p)=-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{x^{3}+A(t) x+B(t)}{p}\right)
$$

where $(\dot{\bar{p}})$ is the Legendre symbol $\bmod p$ given by

$$
\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & x \text { a non-zero square modulo } p \\ 0 & x \equiv 0 \bmod p \\ -1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For a specialization $E_{t}: y^{2}=x^{3}+A(t) x+B(t)$, we may write

$$
a_{E_{t}}(p)=-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{x^{3}+A(t) x+B(t)}{p}\right)
$$

where $(\dot{\bar{p}})$ is the Legendre symbol $\bmod p$ given by

$$
\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & x \text { a non-zero square modulo } p \\ 0 & x \equiv 0 \bmod p \\ -1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Observe that $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)+1$ is precisely the number of solutions to $x=y^{2}(\bmod p)$.

## Lemmas on Legendre Symbols

## Linear and quadratic Legendre sums

We have the following

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{x(p)}\left(\frac{a x+b}{p}\right)=0 \quad p \nmid a, \\
\sum_{x(p)}\left(\frac{a x^{2}+b x+c}{p}\right)= \begin{cases}-\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) & p \nmid b^{2}-4 a c, \\
(p-1)\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) & p \mid b^{2}-4 a c .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Average values of Legendre symbols

Taking the limit of the average of the Legendre symbol over all primes gives

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x}\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & x \text { a non-zero square } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- The moments become intractible when $A(T)$ and $B(T)$ have high degree.
- For the following special families, the following is known:

| Family | $A_{1, \mathcal{E}}(p)$ | $A_{2, \mathcal{E}}(p)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $y^{2}=x^{3}+2^{4}(-3)^{3}(9 T+1)^{2}$ | 0 | $\left\{\begin{array}{cc}2 p^{2}-2 p & p \equiv 2 \bmod 3 \\ 0 & p \equiv 1 \bmod 3\end{array}\right.$ |
| $y^{2}=x^{3} \pm 4(4 T+2) x$ | 0 | $\left\{\begin{array}{cc}2 p^{2}-2 p & p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ 0 & p \equiv 3 \bmod 4\end{array}\right.$ |
| $y^{2}=x^{3}+(T+1) x^{2}+T x$ | 0 | $p^{2}-2 p-1$ |
| $y^{2}=x^{3}+x^{2}+2 T+1$ | 0 | $p^{2}-2 p--3$ |
| $y^{2}=x^{3}+T x^{2}+1$ | $-p$ | $p^{2}-n_{3,2, p} p-1+c_{3 / 2}(p)$ |
| $y^{2}=x^{3}-T^{2} x+T^{2}$ | $-2 p$ | $p^{2}-p-c_{1}(p)-c_{0}(p)$ |
| $y^{2}=x^{3}-T^{2} x+T^{4}$ | $-2 p$ | $p^{2}-p-c_{1}(p)-c_{0}(p)$ |
| $y^{2}=x^{3}+T x^{2}-(T+3) x+1$ | $-2 c_{p, 1 ; 4} p$ | $p^{2}-4 c_{p, 1 ; 6} p-1$ |

where $c_{p, a ; m}=1$ if $p \equiv a \bmod m$ and 0 otherwise; $n_{3,2, p}$ is the number of cubes roots of $2 \bmod p ; c_{\alpha}(p)$ are certain legendre sums multiplied by $p$.

## Example

Consider $\mathcal{F}: y^{2}=x^{3}-T^{2} x+T^{4}$. Then the first moment is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{1, \mathcal{F}}(p) & =\sum_{T \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} a_{p, E_{t}} \\
& =-\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{x^{3}-t^{2} x+t^{4}}{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, quartic Legendre sums are intractible.
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\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{1, \mathcal{F}}(p) & =\sum_{T \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} a_{p, E_{t}} \\
& =-\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{x^{3}-t^{2} x+t^{4}}{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, quartic Legendre sums are intractible.
But we may apply the clever substitution $x \mapsto t x$ which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{x^{3}}{p}\right)-\sum_{t \neq 0(p)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{t^{3} x^{3}-t^{3} x+t^{4}}{p}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{t \not \equiv 0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{t x^{3}-t x+t^{2}}{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we obtained a closed-form expression.
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- We computationally evaluated second moments of various families of elliptic curves.
- By Michel's theorem, we assume that

$$
\mathcal{A}_{2, \mathcal{E}}(p)=p^{2}+\alpha(p) p^{3 / 2}+\beta(p) p+O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

where $\alpha(p)$ and $\beta(p)$ are $O(1)$. To investigate the $\alpha(p)$ coefficient, we graphed the bias of the second moment
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\mathcal{A}_{2, \mathcal{E}}(p)=p^{2}+\alpha(p) p^{3 / 2}+\beta(p) p+O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

where $\alpha(p)$ and $\beta(p)$ are $O(1)$. To investigate the $\alpha(p)$ coefficient, we graphed the bias of the second moment

## Bias

We compute the bias of $\mathcal{A}_{2, \mathcal{E}}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}(p)=\frac{\mathcal{A}_{2, \mathcal{E}}-p^{2}}{p^{3 / 2}} .
$$

## Graphs of Biases

Here are two examples for the graph of the biases, one for a tractable family, and one for not



## Eventually, we found the family
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\mathcal{F}: y^{2}=x^{3}+x+T^{3}
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The graph indicates a clear line where the bias is positive, compared to the graphs in the previous slides.

The Counterexample
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when $p \equiv 2 \bmod 3$, which is half of the primes!
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- Show that $\beta(p)$ averages to a positive number.


## Computational Evidence Cont.

By the prime number theorem, one shows

$$
\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} \alpha(p)=\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}(p)+O\left(x^{-1 / 2} \log x\right)
$$

Problem: The constant in the big O term might dominate.

## Computational Evidence Cont.

By the prime number theorem, one shows

$$
\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} \alpha(p)=\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{E}}(p)+O\left(x^{-1 / 2} \log x\right)
$$

Problem: The constant in the big O term might dominate.
Solution: Randomly simulate elliptic moments using the Sato-Tate distribution.

$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Moments: } 1 & 0.000 & 1.000 & 0.000 & 2.000 & 0.000 & 4.999 & 0.001 & 13.997 & 0.006 & 41.989\end{array}$

The following are two graphs which randomly simulate the bias. One graph has coefficient $\alpha(p)=-.1$ and the other has $\alpha(p)=0$. Can you guess which is which?



## Computational Success!

## Taking the running average of the biases, it is clear there is a bias:




Figure: Unbiased Running Averages (Red) versus Biased Running Averages (Blue) for a random simulation

Doing the same with our family of interest, that is, $y^{2}=x^{3}+x+t^{3}$, we get



So we have strong computational evidence the largest term averages to 0 .
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## The conjectured first moment of $y^{2}=x^{3}+x+t^{3}$

The first moment $\mathcal{A}_{1, p}$ satisfies

$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{1, p}\right|= \begin{cases}4 p & p \text { is of the form } a^{2}+36 b^{2} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
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For a prime $p \not \equiv 1(12)$, the Chinese remainder theorem in conjunction with the changes of variable
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t \mapsto t x, \quad \text { and } \quad t \mapsto t^{3} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}_{1, p}=0
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$$
\left|\mathcal{A}_{1, p}\right|= \begin{cases}4 p & p \text { is of the form } a^{2}+36 b^{2} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For a prime $p \not \equiv 1(12)$, the Chinese remainder theorem in conjunction with the changes of variable

$$
t \mapsto t x, \quad \text { and } \quad t \mapsto t^{3} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{A}_{1, p}=0
$$

Using binary quadratic forms, $\mathcal{A}_{1, p} \neq 0$ forces $p$ to be of the form

$$
p=a^{2}+36 b^{2} \quad \text { or } \quad p=4 a^{2}+9 b^{2} .
$$

We computationally found $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1, p}\right|=4 p$ in the former and $\mathcal{A}_{1, p}=0$ in the latter.
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