Low-lying zeros of cuspidal Maass forms

Nadine Amersi¹, Geoff Iyer², Oleg Lazarev³, Liyang Zhang⁴ Advisor: Steven J Miller⁵

http://www.williams.edu/Mathematics/sjmiller

¹University College London, ²University of Michigan, ³Princeton University, ^{4,5}Williams College

Maine/Quebec Number Theory Conference University of Maine, October 2, 2011 Introduction

Introduction

Introduction

L-functions generalizes the Riemann zeta-function:

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} L_p(s, f)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Explicit Formula: Relates sums over zeros to sums over primes.

Functional Equation:

$$\Lambda(s, f) = \Lambda_{\infty}(s, f)L(s, f) = \Lambda(1 - s, f).$$

Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (RH):

All non-trivial zeros have $Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$; can write zeros as $\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$.

n-level density for one function

$$D_{n,f}(\phi) = \sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_n \\ \text{distinct}}} \phi_1\left(L_f\gamma_f^{(j_1)}\right)\cdots\phi_n\left(L_f\gamma_f^{(j_n)}\right)$$

- Test function $\phi(x) := \prod_i \phi_i(x_i), \phi_i$ is even Schwartz function.
- Fourier Transforms $\widehat{\phi}$ has compact support: $(-\sigma, \sigma)$.
- Zeros scaled by L_f.
- Most of contribution is from low zeros.

Introduction

Introduction

Conjecture (Katz-Sarnak)

(In the limit) Scaled distribution of zeros near central point agrees with scaled distribution of eigenvalues near 1 of a classical compact group.

Need to average *n*-level density over a family and take the limit of this parameter; as $|N| \to \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} D_{n,f}(\phi) \quad \rightarrow \quad \int \cdots \int \phi(x) W_{n,\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})}(x) dx.$$

Cuspidal Maass Forms

Maass Forms

Definition: Maass Forms

A Maass form on a group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ is a function $f:\mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies:

- $f(\gamma z) = f(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,
- \bigcirc f vanishes at the cusps of Γ , and
- **3** $\Delta f = \lambda f$ for some $\lambda = s(1 s) > 0$, where

$$\Delta = -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$$

is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathcal{H} .

- Coefficients contain information about partitions.
- For full modular group, $s = 1/2 + it_i$ with $t_i \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Test Katz-Sarnak conjecture.

L-function associated to Maass forms

Write Fourier expansion of Maass form u_i as

$$u_j(z) = \cosh(t_j) \sum_{n \neq 0} \sqrt{y} \lambda_j(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi |n| y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

Results

Write Fourier expansion of Maass form u_i as

$$u_j(z) = \cosh(t_j) \sum_{n \neq 0} \sqrt{y} \lambda_j(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi |n| y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

Define L-function attached to u_i as

$$L(s, u_j) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{\lambda_j(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

where
$$\alpha_j(p) + \beta_j(p) = \lambda_j(p)$$
, $\alpha_j(p)\beta_j(p) = 1$, $\lambda_j(1) = 1$.

Introduction

Write Fourier expansion of Maass form u_i as

$$u_j(z) = \cosh(t_j) \sum_{n \neq 0} \sqrt{y} \lambda_j(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi |n| y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

Define L-function attached to u_i as

$$L(s, u_j) = \sum_{n>1} \frac{\lambda_j(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

where $\alpha_i(\mathbf{p}) + \beta_i(\mathbf{p}) = \lambda_i(\mathbf{p}), \quad \alpha_i(\mathbf{p})\beta_i(\mathbf{p}) = 1, \quad \lambda_i(1) = 1.$ Also.

Introduction

Introduction

Recall for Katz-Sarnak Conjecture,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_{N}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{N}} D_{n,f}(\phi) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_{N}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{N}} \sum_{\substack{j_{1}, \dots, j_{n} \\ j_{j} \neq \pm j_{k}}} \prod_{i} \phi_{i} \left(L_{f} \gamma_{E}^{(j_{i})} \right) \\
\rightarrow \int \dots \int \phi(x) W_{n,\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})}(x) dx.$$

Introduction

Recall for Katz-Sarnak Conjecture.

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_{N}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{N}} D_{n,f}(\phi) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_{N}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{N}} \sum_{\substack{j_{1}, \dots, j_{n} \\ j_{l} \neq \pm j_{k}}} \prod_{i} \phi_{i} \left(L_{f} \gamma_{E}^{(j_{i})} \right)$$

$$\rightarrow \int \dots \int \phi(x) W_{n,\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})}(x) dx.$$

- For Dirichlet/cuspidal newform *L*-functions, there are many with a given conductor.
- Problem: For Maass forms, expect at most one with a given conductor.

n-level over a family, continued

Introduction

• Solution: Average over Laplace eigenvalues $\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_i^2$.

n-level over a family, continued

Introduction

- Solution: Average over Laplace eigenvalues $\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_i^2$.
- two choices for the weight function h_T :

$$h_{1,T}(t_j) = \exp(-t_j^2/T^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues near the origin,

n-level over a family, continued

Introduction

- Solution: Average over Laplace eigenvalues $\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_i^2$.
- two choices for the weight function h_T :

$$h_{1,T}(t_j) = \exp(-t_j^2/T^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues near the origin, or

$$h_{2,T}(t_j) = \exp\left(-(t_j - T)^2/L^2\right) + \exp\left(-(t_j + T)^2/L^2\right),$$

which picks out eigenvalues centered at $\pm T$.

Introduction

- Solution: Average over Laplace eigenvalues $\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_i^2$.
- two choices for the weight function h_T :

$$h_{1,T}(t_j) = \exp(-t_j^2/T^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues near the origin, or

$$h_{2,T}(t_j) = \exp(-(t_j - T)^2/L^2) + \exp(-(t_j + T)^2/L^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues centered at $\pm T$.

Weighted 1-level density becomes

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} D_{n,u_{j}}(\phi)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \sum_{\substack{j_{1}, \dots, j_{n} \\ i \neq + j_{n}}} \prod_{i} \phi_{i} \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \log R\right)$$

Results

1-level density for one function

$$D(u_j; \phi) = \sum_{\gamma} \phi\left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \log R\right)$$

Results

1-level density for one function

$$\begin{split} &D(u_j;\phi) \\ &= \text{Terms involving } \Gamma + \frac{2}{\log R} \sum_{p} \frac{\log p}{p} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{2\log p}{\log R} \right) \\ &- \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_j(p) \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{\log p}{\log R} \right) - \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_j(p^2) \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{2\log p}{\log R} \right) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\log R} \right) \end{split}$$

Results

00000000000

Explicit formula.

1-level density for one function

$$\begin{split} &D(u_{j};\phi) \\ &= \hat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1+t_{j}^{2})}{\log R} + \frac{2}{\log R} \sum_{p} \frac{\log p}{p} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{2\log p}{\log R} \right) \\ &- \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_{j}(p) \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{\log p}{\log R} \right) - \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_{j}(p^{2}) \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{2\log p}{\log R} \right) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{\log R} \right) \end{split}$$

Results

- Explicit formula.
- Gamma function identities

1-level density for one function

$$\begin{split} &D(u_j;\phi) \\ &= \hat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1+t_j^2)}{\log R} + \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log\log R}{\log R}\right) \\ &- \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_j(p)\log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}}\log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) - \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_j(p^2)\log p}{p\log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2\log p}{\log R}\right) \end{split}$$

Results

- Explicit formula.
- Gamma function identities
- Prime Number Theorem

Average 1-level density

The weighted 1-level density becomes:

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{t}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} D(u_{j}; \phi) \\ & = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log\log R}{\log R}\right) + \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{t}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{t}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \widehat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1 + t_{j}^{2})}{\log R} \\ & - \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{p} \frac{2\log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(p) \\ & - \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{p} \frac{2\log p}{p\log R} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{2\log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(p^{2}) \end{split}$$

Results

Average 1-level density

Introduction

The weighted 1-level density becomes:

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{t}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} D(u_{j}; \phi) \\ & = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log\log R}{\log R}\right) + \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{t}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{t}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \widehat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1 + t_{j}^{2})}{\log R} \\ & - \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{p} \frac{2\log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(p) \\ & - \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{p} \frac{2\log p}{p\log R} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{2\log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(p^{2}) \end{split}$$

Kuznetsov Trace Formula

To tackle terms with $\lambda_j(p)$ and $\lambda_j(p^2)$ we need the Kuznetsov Trace Formula:

Results

Introduction

To tackle terms with $\lambda_i(p)$ and $\lambda_i(p^2)$ we need the Kuznetsov Trace Formula:

$$\sum_{j} \frac{h(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(m) \overline{\lambda_{j}(n)}$$

= some function that depends just on h, m, and n

Kuznetsov Trace Formula

$$\sum_{j} \frac{h(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(m) \overline{\lambda_{j}(n)} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tau(m,r)} \tau(n,r) \frac{h(r)}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr =$$

$$\frac{\delta_{n,m}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} r \tanh(r) h(r) dr + \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{S(n,m;c)}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{ir} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn}}{c} \right) \frac{h(r)r}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr$$

Results

where
$$\tau(m,r) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2} + ir} \Gamma(1/2 + ir)^{-1} \zeta(1 + 2ir)^{-1} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{ab = |m|} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{ir}.$$

$$S(n,m;c) = \sum_{0 \le x \le c-1, gcd(x,c) = 1} e^{2\pi i (nx + mx^*)/c}$$

$$J_{ir}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \Gamma(m+ir+1)} \left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{2m+ir}.$$

$$\sum_{j} \frac{h(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(m) \overline{\lambda_{j}(n)} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tau(m,r)} \tau(n,r) \frac{h(r)}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr =$$

$$\frac{\delta_{n,m}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} r \tanh(r) h(r) dr + \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{S(n,m;c)}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{ir} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn}}{c} \right) \frac{h(r)r}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr$$

Results

$$\sum_{j} \frac{h(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(m) \overline{\lambda_{j}(n)} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tau(m,r)} \tau(n,r) \frac{h(r)}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr =$$

$$\frac{\delta_{n,m}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} r \tanh(r) h(r) dr + \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{c>1} \frac{S(n,m;c)}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{ir} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn}}{c} \right) \frac{h(r)r}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr$$

Results

$$\sum_{j} \frac{h(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(m) \overline{\lambda_{j}(n)} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tau(m,r)} \tau(n,r) \frac{h(r)}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr =$$

$$\frac{\delta_{n,m}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} r \tanh(r) h(r) dr + \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{c>1} \frac{S(n,m;c)}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{ir} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn}}{c} \right) \frac{h(r)r}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr$$

Results

$$\sum_{j} \frac{h(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \lambda_{j}(m) \overline{\lambda_{j}(n)} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tau(m,r)} \tau(n,r) \frac{h(r)}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr =$$

$$\frac{\delta_{n,m}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} r \tanh(r) h(r) dr + \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{S(n,m;c)}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{ir} \left(\frac{4\pi \sqrt{mn}}{c} \right) \frac{h(r)r}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr$$

Results

- The only $\lambda(m)\lambda(n)$ term that contributes is when m = n = 1.
- The m = 1, n = p and m = 1, $n = p^2$ terms do not contribute because of the $\delta_{m,n}$ function.

Result: 1-level density

Introduction

Theorem (AILMZ, 2011)

If $h_T = h_{1,T}$ or $h_{2,T}$, $T \to \infty$ and $L \ll T/\log T$, and $\sigma < 1/6$ then 1-level density is

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} D(u_{j}; \phi) = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}(0) + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) + O(T^{3\sigma/2 - 1/4 + \epsilon} + T^{\sigma/2 - 1/4 + \epsilon}).$$

Result: 1-level density

Theorem (AILMZ, 2011)

If $h_T = h_{1,T}$ or $h_{2,T}$, $T \to \infty$ and $L \ll T/\log T$, and $\sigma < 1/6$ then 1-level density is

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} D(u_{j}; \phi) = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}(0) + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) + O(T^{3\sigma/2 - 1/4 + \epsilon} + T^{\sigma/2 - 1/4 + \epsilon}).$$

Results

000000000000

 This matches with the orthogonal family density as predicted by Katz-Sarnak.

Support

Main reason support so small due to bounds on Bessel-Kloosterman piece.

Support

Main reason support so small due to bounds on Bessel-Kloosterman piece.

Can distinguish unitary and symplectic from the 3 orthogonal groups, but 1-level density cannot distinguish the orthogonal groups from each other if support in (-1,1).

Support

Main reason support so small due to bounds on Bessel-Kloosterman piece.

Can distinguish unitary and symplectic from the 3 orthogonal groups, but 1-level density cannot distinguish the orthogonal groups from each other if support in (-1, 1).

2-level density can distinguish orthogonal groups with arbitrarily small support; additional term depending on distribution of signs of functional equations.

To differentiate between even and odd in orthogonal family, we calculated the 2-level density:

Results

$$\begin{split} &D_{2}^{*}(\phi) := \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}} \phi_{1}(\gamma^{(j_{1})}) \overline{\phi_{2}(\gamma^{(j_{2})})} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left| \frac{\phi_{i}(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}_{i}(0) \frac{\log(1 + t_{j}^{2})}{\log R} + O\left(\frac{\log\log R}{\log R}\right) \right. \\ &- \left. \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_{j}(p) \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \widehat{\phi}_{i}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) - \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_{j}(p^{2}) \log p}{p \log R} \widehat{\phi}_{i}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \right|. \end{split}$$

To differentiate between even and odd in orthogonal family, we calculated the 2-level density:

Results

00000000000

$$\begin{split} &D_{2}^{*}(\phi) := \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \sum_{j_{1}, j_{2}} \phi_{1}(\gamma^{(j_{1})}) \overline{\phi_{2}(\gamma^{(j_{2})})} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}}} \sum_{j} \frac{h_{T}(t_{j})}{\|u_{j}\|^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left| \frac{\phi_{i}(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}_{i}(0) \frac{\log(1 + t_{j}^{2})}{\log R} + O\left(\frac{\log\log R}{\log R}\right) \right| \\ &- \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_{j}(p) \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \widehat{\phi}_{i}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) - \sum_{p} \frac{2\lambda_{j}(p^{2}) \log p}{p \log R} \widehat{\phi}_{i}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \right|. \end{split}$$

25 terms, handled by Cauchy-Schwarz or Kuznetsov.

Result: 2-level density

Introduction

Theorem (AILMZ, 2011)

Same conditions as before, for $\sigma < 1/12$ have

$$D_{2,\mathcal{F}}^{*} = \prod_{i=1}^{2} \left[\frac{\phi_{i}(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}_{i}(0) \right] + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |z| \widehat{\phi}_{1}(z) \widehat{\phi}_{2}(z) dz$$
$$-\phi_{1}(0)\phi_{1}(0) - 2\widehat{\phi_{1}\phi_{2}}(0) + (\phi_{1}\phi_{2})(0)\mathcal{N}(-1)$$
$$+ O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R} \right).$$

Note that $\mathcal{N}(-1)$ is the weighted percent that have odd sign in functional equation.

Conclusion

Recap

- We calculated 1-level for $\sigma < 1/6$.
- Calculated 2-level densities for σ < 1/12 in order to distinguish the orthogonal families.
- We showed agreement with Katz-Sarnak conjecture.

Thank you!