

# Low-lying zeros of $GL(2)$ $L$ -functions

Steven J Miller  
Williams College

Steven.J.Miller@williams.edu

<http://www.williams.edu/Mathematics/sjmiller/>

Group, Lie and Number Theory Seminar  
University of Michigan, October 22, 2012

Compound Families  
Dueñez-Miller

## Identifying the Symmetry Groups

- Often an analysis of the monodromy group in the function field case suggests the answer.
- All simple families studied to date are built from  $GL_1$  or  $GL_2$   $L$ -functions.
- Tools: Explicit Formula, Orthogonality of Characters / Petersson Formula.
- How to identify symmetry group in general? One possibility is by the signs of the functional equation:
- **Folklore Conjecture:** If all signs are even and no corresponding family with odd signs, Symplectic symmetry; otherwise  $SO(\text{even})$ . (False!)

## Explicit Formula

- $\pi$ : cuspidal automorphic representation on  $GL_n$ .
- $Q_\pi > 0$ : analytic conductor of  $L(s, \pi) = \sum \lambda_\pi(n)/n^s$ .
- By GRH the non-trivial zeros are  $\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_{\pi,j}$ .
- Satake parameters  $\{\alpha_{\pi,i}(p)\}_{i=1}^n$ ;  
 $\lambda_\pi(p^\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{\pi,i}(p)^\nu$ .
- $L(s, \pi) = \sum_n \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \alpha_{\pi,i}(p)p^{-s})^{-1}$ .

$$\sum_j g\left(\gamma_{\pi,j} \frac{\log Q_\pi}{2\pi}\right) = \widehat{g}(0) - 2 \sum_{p,\nu} \widehat{g}\left(\frac{\nu \log p}{\log Q_\pi}\right) \frac{\lambda_\pi(p^\nu) \log p}{p^{\nu/2} \log Q_\pi}$$

## Some Results: Rankin-Selberg Convolution of Families

**Symmetry constant:**  $c_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$  (resp, 1 or -1) if family  $\mathcal{L}$  has unitary (resp, symplectic or orthogonal) symmetry.

**Rankin-Selberg convolution:** Satake parameters for  $\pi_{1,p} \times \pi_{2,p}$  are

$$\{\alpha_{\pi_1 \times \pi_2}(k)\}_{k=1}^{nm} = \{\alpha_{\pi_1}(i) \cdot \alpha_{\pi_2}(j)\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$$

### Theorem (Dueñez-Miller)

If  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are *nice* families of  $L$ -functions, then

$$C_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}} = C_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot C_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

## 1-Level Density

Assuming conductors constant in family  $\mathcal{F}$ , have to study

$$\lambda_f(p^\nu) = \alpha_{f,1}(p)^\nu + \cdots + \alpha_{f,n}(p)^\nu$$

$$S_1(\mathcal{F}) = -2 \sum_p \hat{g} \left( \frac{\log p}{\log R} \right) \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p} \log R} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_f(p) \right]$$

$$S_2(\mathcal{F}) = -2 \sum_p \hat{g} \left( 2 \frac{\log p}{\log R} \right) \frac{\log p}{p \log R} \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_f(p^2) \right]$$

The corresponding classical compact group is determined by

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_f(p^2) = c_{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{Unitary} \\ 1 & \text{Symplectic} \\ -1 & \text{Orthogonal.} \end{cases}$$

## 1-Level Density for Rankin-Selberg Convolution of Families

Families  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Satake parameters  $\{\alpha_{f,i}(\rho)\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\{\beta_{g,j}(\rho)\}_{j=1}^m$ .

Family  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ ,  $L(s, f \times g)$  has parameters

$\{\alpha_{f,i}(\rho)\beta_{g,j}(\rho)\}_{i=1\dots n, j=1\dots m}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a_{f \times g}(\rho^\nu) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{f,i}(\rho)^\nu \beta_{g,j}(\rho)^\nu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{f,i}(\rho)^\nu \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_{g,j}(\rho)^\nu \\ &= \lambda_f(\rho^\nu) \cdot \lambda_g(\rho^\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Technical restriction: need  $f$  and  $g$  unrelated (i.e.,  $g$  is not the contragredient of  $f$ ) for our applications.

# 1-Level Density for Rankin-Selberg Convolution of Families (cont)

To analyze  $S_\nu(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})$  we must study

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}|} \sum_{f \times g \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}} \lambda_f(p^\nu) \cdot \lambda_g(p^\nu) = \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_f(p^\nu) \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{|\mathcal{G}|} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \lambda_g(p^\nu) \right]$$

- $\nu = 1$ : If one of the families is rank zero, so is  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ ;  $S_1(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})$  will not contribute.
- $\nu = 2$ :  $c_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}} = c_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot c_{\mathcal{G}}$ .

**Proves if each family is of rank 0, the symmetry type of the convolution is the product of the symmetry types.** □

# Cuspidal Newforms Hughes-Miller

## Results from Iwaniec-Luo-Sarnak

- **Orthogonal:** Iwaniec-Luo-Sarnak: 1-level density for holomorphic even weight  $k$  cuspidal newforms of square-free level  $N$  (SO(even) and SO(odd) if split by sign) in  $(-2, 2)$ .
- **Symplectic:** Iwaniec-Luo-Sarnak: 1-level density for  $\text{sym}^2(f)$ ,  $f$  holomorphic cuspidal newform.

Will review Orthogonal case and talk about extensions (joint with Chris Hughes).

## Modular Form Preliminaries

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} ad - bc = 1 \\ c \equiv 0(N) \end{array} \right\}$$

$f$  is a weight  $k$  holomorphic cuspform of level  $N$  if

$$\forall \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N), \quad f(\gamma z) = (cz + d)^k f(z).$$

- Fourier Expansion:  $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_f(n) e^{2\pi iz}$ ,  
 $L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ .
- Petersson Norm:  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} y^{k-2} dx dy$ .
- Normalized coefficients:

$$\psi_f(n) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(k-1)}{(4\pi n)^{k-1}}} \frac{1}{\|f\|} a_f(n).$$

## Modular Form Preliminaries: Petersson Formula

$B_k(N)$  an orthonormal basis for weight  $k$  level  $N$ . Define

$$\Delta_{k,N}(m, n) = \sum_{f \in B_k(N)} \psi_f(m) \overline{\psi_f(n)}.$$

### Petersson Formula

$$\Delta_{k,N}(m, n) = 2\pi i^k \sum_{c \equiv 0(N)} \frac{S(m, n, c)}{c} J_{k-1} \left( 4\pi \frac{\sqrt{mn}}{c} \right) + \delta(m, n).$$

## Modular Form Preliminaries: Explicit Formula

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of cuspidal newforms (say weight  $k$ , prime level  $N$  and possibly split by sign)

$L(s, f) = \sum_n \lambda_f(n)/n^s$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\gamma_f} \phi \left( \frac{\log R}{2\pi} \gamma_f \right) = \widehat{\phi}(0) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(0) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} P(f; \phi) + O \left( \frac{\log \log R}{\log R} \right)$$

$$P(f; \phi) = \sum_{p \nmid N} \lambda_f(p) \widehat{\phi} \left( \frac{\log p}{\log R} \right) \frac{2 \log p}{\sqrt{p} \log R}.$$

## Modular Form Preliminaries: Fourier Coefficient Review

$$\lambda_f(n) = a_f(n)n^{\frac{k-1}{2}}$$

$$\lambda_f(m)\lambda_f(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|(m,n) \\ (d,M)=1}} \lambda_f\left(\frac{mn}{d}\right).$$

For a newform of level  $N$ ,  $\lambda_f(N)$  is trivially related to the sign of the form:

$$\epsilon_f = i^k \mu(N) \lambda_f(N) \sqrt{N}.$$

The above will allow us to split into even and odd families:

$$1 \pm \epsilon_f.$$

## Key Kloosterman-Bessel integral from ILS

Ramanujan sum:

$$R(n, q) = \sum_{a \bmod q}^* e(an/q) = \sum_{d|(n, q)} \mu(q/d)d,$$

where  $*$  restricts the summation to be over all  $a$  relatively prime to  $q$ .

### Theorem (ILS)

Let  $\Psi$  be an even Schwartz function with  $\text{supp}(\widehat{\Psi}) \subset (-2, 2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \leq N^\epsilon} \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{(b, N)=1} \frac{R(m^2, b)R(1, b)}{\varphi(b)} \int_{y=0}^{\infty} J_{k-1}(y) \widehat{\Psi} \left( \frac{2 \log(by\sqrt{N}/4\pi m)}{\log R} \right) \frac{dy}{\log R} \\ = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(x) \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{2\pi x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(0) \right] + O \left( \frac{k \log \log kN}{\log kN} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $R = k^2 N$  and  $\varphi$  is Euler's totient function.

## Limited Support ( $\sigma < 1$ ): Sketch of proof

- Estimate Kloosterman-Bessel terms trivially.
  - Kloosterman sum:  $d\bar{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ ,  $\tau(q)$  is the number of divisors of  $q$ ,

$$S(m, n; q) = \sum_{d \pmod{q}}^* e\left(\frac{md}{q} + \frac{n\bar{d}}{q}\right)$$

$$|S(m, n; q)| \leq (m, n, q) \sqrt{\min\left\{\frac{q}{(m, q)}, \frac{q}{(n, q)}\right\}} \tau(q).$$

- Bessel function: integer  $k \geq 2$ ,  
 $J_{k-1}(x) \ll \min(x, x^{k-1}, x^{-1/2})$ .

- Use Fourier Coefficients to split by sign:  $N$  fixed:  
 $\pm \sum_f \lambda_f(N) * (\dots)$ .

## Increasing Support ( $\sigma < 2$ ): Sketch of the proof

- Using Dirichlet Characters, handle Kloosterman terms.
- Have terms like

$$\int_0^\infty J_{k-1} \left( 4\pi \frac{\sqrt{m^2 y N}}{c} \right) \widehat{\phi} \left( \frac{\log y}{\log R} \right) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}}$$

with arithmetic factors to sum outside.

- Works for support up to  $(-2, 2)$ .

## Increasing Support ( $\sigma < 2$ ): Kloosterman-Bessel details

Stating in greater generality for later use.

**Gauss sum:**  $\chi$  a character modulo  $q$ :  $|G_\chi(n)| \leq \sqrt{q}$  with

$$G_\chi(n) = \sum_{a \bmod q} \chi(a) \exp(2\pi i a n / q).$$

## Increasing Support ( $\sigma < 2$ ): Kloosterman-Bessel details

**Kloosterman expansion:**

$$S(m^2, p_1 \cdots p_n N; Nb) \\ = \frac{-1}{\varphi(b)} \sum_{\chi(\bmod b)} \chi(N) G_\chi(m^2) G_\chi(1) \bar{\chi}(p_1 \cdots p_n).$$

**Lemma:** Assuming GRH for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions,  $\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subset (-\frac{2}{n}, \frac{2}{n})$ , non-principal characters negligible.  
 Proof: use  $J_{k-1}(x) \ll x$  and see

$$\ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{m \leq N^\epsilon} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{(b, N)=1 \\ b < N^{2006}}} \frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{\varphi(b)} \sum_{\substack{\chi(\bmod b) \\ \chi \neq \chi_0}} |G_\chi(m^2) G_\chi(1)| \\ \times \frac{m}{b\sqrt{N}} \prod_{j=1}^n \left| \sum_{\substack{p_j \neq N}} \bar{\chi}(p_j) \log p_j \cdot \frac{1}{\log R} \hat{\phi} \left( \frac{\log p_j}{\log R} \right) \right|.$$

## 2-Level Density

$$\int_{x_1=2}^{R^\sigma} \int_{x_2=2}^{R^\sigma} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log x_1}{\log R}\right) \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log x_2}{\log R}\right) J_{k-1}\left(4\pi \frac{\sqrt{m^2 x_1 x_2 N}}{c}\right) \frac{dx_1 dx_2}{\sqrt{x_1 x_2}}$$

Change of variables and Jacobian:

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 &= x_1 x_2 & x_2 &= \frac{u_2}{u_1} \\ u_1 &= x_1 & x_1 &= u_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial u} \right| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{u_2}{u_1^2} & \frac{1}{u_1} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{u_1}.$$

Left with

$$\int \int \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log u_1}{\log R}\right) \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log\left(\frac{u_2}{u_1}\right)}{\log R}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{u_2}} J_{k-1}\left(4\pi \frac{\sqrt{m^2 u_2 N}}{c}\right) \frac{du_1 du_2}{u_1}$$

## 2-Level Density

Changing variables,  $u_1$ -integral is

$$\int_{w_1 = \frac{\log u_2}{\log R} - \sigma}^{\sigma} \widehat{\phi}(w_1) \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log u_2}{\log R} - w_1\right) dw_1.$$

Support conditions imply

$$\psi_2\left(\frac{\log u_2}{\log R}\right) = \int_{w_1 = -\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\phi}(w_1) \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log u_2}{\log R} - w_1\right) dw_1.$$

Substituting gives

$$\int_{u_2=0}^{\infty} J_{k-1}\left(4\pi \frac{\sqrt{m^2 u_2 N}}{c}\right) \psi_2\left(\frac{\log u_2}{\log R}\right) \frac{du_2}{\sqrt{u_2}}$$

## 3-Level Density

$$\int_{x_1=2}^{R^\sigma} \int_{x_2=2}^{R^\sigma} \int_{x_3=2}^{R^\sigma} \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log x_1}{\log R}\right) \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log x_2}{\log R}\right) \widehat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log x_3}{\log R}\right) \\ * J_{k-1}\left(4\pi \frac{\sqrt{m^2 x_1 x_2 x_3 N}}{c}\right) \frac{dx_1 dx_2 dx_3}{\sqrt{x_1 x_2 x_3}}$$

Change variables as below and get Jacobian:

$$\begin{aligned} u_3 &= x_1 x_2 x_3 & x_3 &= \frac{u_3}{u_2} \\ u_2 &= x_1 x_2 & x_2 &= \frac{u_2}{u_1} \\ u_1 &= x_1 & x_1 &= u_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \right| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{u_2}{u_1^2} & \frac{1}{u_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{u_3}{u_2^2} & \frac{1}{u_2} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{u_1 u_2}.$$

## $n$ -Level Density: Determinant Expansions from RMT

- $U(N), U_k(N)$ :  $\det \left( K_0(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k) \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$
- $USp(N)$ :  $\det \left( K_{-1}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k) \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$
- $SO(\text{even})$ :  $\det \left( K_1(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k) \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$
- $SO(\text{odd})$ :  $\det \left( K_{-1}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k) \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} + \sum_{\nu=1}^n \delta(x_\nu) \det \left( K_{-1}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k) \right)_{1 \leq j, k \neq \nu \leq n}$

where

$$K_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\sin \left( \pi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \right)}{\pi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} + \epsilon \frac{\sin \left( \pi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \right)}{\pi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})}.$$

## *n*-Level Density: Sketch of proof

Expand Bessel-Kloosterman piece, use GRH to drop non-principal characters, change variables, main term is

$$\frac{b\sqrt{N}}{2\pi m} \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) \widehat{\Phi}_n \left( \frac{2 \log(bx\sqrt{N}/4\pi m)}{\log R} \right) \frac{dx}{\log R}$$

with  $\Phi_n(x) = \phi(x)^n$ .

### Main Idea

Difficulty in comparison with classical RMT is that instead of having an  $n$ -dimensional integral of  $\phi_1(x_1) \cdots \phi_n(x_n)$  we have a 1-dimensional integral of a new test function. This leads to harder combinatorics but allows us to appeal to the result from ILS.

## Support for $n$ -Level Density

Careful book-keeping gives (originally just had  $\frac{1}{n-1/2}$ )

$$\sigma_n < \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

$n$ -Level Density is trivial for  $\sigma_n < \frac{1}{n}$ , non-trivial up to  $\frac{1}{n-1}$ .

Expected  $\frac{2}{n}$ . Obstruction from partial summation on primes.

## Support Problems: 2-Level Density

Partial Summation on  $p_1$  first, looks like

$$\sum_{\substack{p_1 \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} S(m^2, p_1 p_2 N, c) \frac{2 \log p_1}{\sqrt{p_1} \log R} \hat{\phi} \left( \frac{\log p_1}{\log R} \right) J_{k-1} \left( 4\pi \frac{\sqrt{m^2 p_1 p_2 N}}{c} \right)$$

Similar to ILS, obtain ( $c = bN$ ):

$$\sum_{\substack{p_1 \leq x_1 \\ p_1 \nmid b}} S(m^2, p_1 p_2 N, c) \frac{\log p}{\sqrt{p}} = \frac{2\mu(N)}{\phi(b)} \tilde{R}(m^2, b, p_2) x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} + O(b(bx_1 N)^\epsilon)$$

$\sum_{p_1}$  to  $\int_{x_1}$ , error  $\ll b(bN)^\epsilon m \sqrt{p_2 N} N^{\sigma_2/2} / bN$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{N} \sum_{m \leq N^\epsilon} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{b \leq N^5} \frac{1}{bN} \sum_{p_2 \leq N_2^{\sigma_2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_2}} \frac{b(bN)^\epsilon m \sqrt{p_2 N} N^{\frac{\sigma_2}{2}}}{bN} \\ & \ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon' + \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sigma_2}{2} - 2} \ll N^{\frac{3}{2}\sigma_2 - 1 + \epsilon'} \end{aligned}$$

## Support Problems: $n$ -Level Density: Why is $\sigma_2 < 1$ ?

- If no  $\sum_{p_2}$ , have above *without* the  $N^\sigma$  which arose from  $\sum_{p_2}$ , giving

$$\ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon' + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sigma_1}{2} - 2} = N^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1 - 1 + \epsilon'}.$$

- Fine for  $\sigma_1 < 2$ . For 3-Level, have two sums over primes giving  $N^{\sigma_3}$ , giving

$$\ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon' + 2\sigma_3 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sigma_3}{2} - 2} = N^{\frac{5}{2}\sigma_3 - 1 + \epsilon'}$$

- $n$ -Level, have an additional  $(n - 1)$  prime sums, each giving  $N^{\sigma_n}$ , yields

$$\ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon' + (n-1)\sigma_n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sigma_n}{2} - 2} = N^{\frac{(2n-1)}{2}\sigma_n - 1 + \epsilon'}$$

## Summary

- More support for RMT Conjectures.
- Control of Conductors.
- Averaging Formulas.

### Theorem (Hughes-Miller 2007)

*$n$ -level densities of weight  $k$  cuspidal newforms of prime level  $N$ ,  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , agree with orthogonal in non-trivial range (with or without splitting by sign).*

**New Cuspidal Results  
Iyer, Miller and Triantafillou**

**Goal:**

Prove  $n$ -level densities agree for  $\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ .

**Philosophy:**

Number theory harder - adapt tools to get an answer.

Random matrix theory easier - manipulate known answer.

**Theorem (ILS)**

Let  $\Psi$  be an even Schwartz function with  $\text{supp}(\widehat{\Psi}) \subset (-2, 2)$ . Then

$$\sum_{m \leq N^\epsilon} \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{(b, N)=1} \frac{R(m^2, b)R(1, b)}{\varphi(b)} \int_{y=0}^{\infty} J_{k-1}(y) \widehat{\Psi} \left( \frac{2 \log(by\sqrt{N}/4\pi m)}{\log R} \right) \frac{dy}{\log R}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(x) \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{2\pi x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \Psi(0) \right] + O\left(\frac{k \log \log kN}{\log kN}\right),$$

where  $R = k^2 N$ ,  $\varphi$  is Euler's totient function, and  $R(n, q)$  is a Ramanujan sum.

## Number Theory Side: Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou:

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

### Sequence of Lemmas - New Contributions Arise

- 1 Apply Petersson Formula
- 2 Restrict Certain Sums
- 3 Convert Kloosterman Sums to Gauss Sums
- 4 Remove Non-Principal Characters
- 5 Convert Sums to Integrals

## New Results: Number Theory Side: Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

### Typical Argument

If any prime is 'special', bound error terms

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_n \leq N^\sigma} \sum_{m \leq N^\epsilon} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^2 r}{r p_1} \frac{\sqrt{p_1 \cdots p_n}}{r p_1 \sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_1 \cdots p_n}} \\ &\ll N^{-1+\epsilon'} \left( \sum_{p \leq N^\sigma} 1 \right)^{n-1} \ll N^{-1+(n-1)\sigma+\epsilon'} \end{aligned}$$

Bounds fail for large support - new terms arise.

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Hughes-Miller:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$$

We want to evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_n} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{\phi} \left( \frac{\log n_i}{\log R} \right) \frac{\chi_0(n_i) \Lambda(n_i)}{\sqrt{n_i} \log R} \right] J_{k-1} \left( \frac{4\pi m \sqrt{n_1 \cdots n_n}}{b\sqrt{N}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{n_i} \hat{\phi} \left( \frac{\log n_i}{\log R} \right) \frac{\chi_0(n_i) \Lambda(n_i)}{\sqrt{n_i} \log R} \right] \\ & \quad \times \left( \frac{4\pi m \sqrt{n_1 \cdots n_n}}{b\sqrt{N}} \right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Hughes-Miller:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$$

### Important Observation

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log r}{\log R}\right) \frac{\chi_0(r)\Lambda(r)}{r^{(1+s)/2} \log R} = \phi\left(\frac{1-s}{4\pi i} \log R\right) + \mathcal{E}(s),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}(s) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(z)=c} \phi\left(\frac{(2z-1-s)\log R}{4\pi i}\right) \frac{L'}{L}(z, \chi_0) dz.$$

For convenience, rename expressions,  $X = Y + Z$ .

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Hughes-Miller:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$$

### Important Observation

By the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} X^n \left( \frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}} \right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} (Y + Z)^n \left( \frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}} \right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} Y^{n-j} Z^j \left( \frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}} \right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Hughes-Miller:

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$$

$Z = \mathcal{E}(s)$  is **easy to bound** (shift contours), get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} Y^{n-j} Z^j \left(\frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds \\ \ll N^{(n-j)\sigma/2+\epsilon''} \end{aligned}$$

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Hughes-Miller:

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$$

$Z = \mathcal{E}(s)$  is **easy to bound** (shift contours), get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} Y^{n-j} Z^j \left(\frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds \\ \ll N^{(n-j)\sigma/2+\epsilon''} \end{aligned}$$

For  $\sigma < \frac{1}{n-1}$ , only  $j = 0$  term is non-negligible.

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Hughes-Miller:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$$

$Z = \mathcal{E}(s)$  is **easy to bound** (shift contours), get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} Y^{n-j} Z^j \left(\frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds$$

$$\ll N^{(n-j)\sigma/2+\epsilon''}$$

For  $\sigma < \frac{1}{n-1}$ , only  $j = 0$  term is non-negligible.

For  $\sigma < \frac{1}{n-2}$ ,  $j = 1$  term also non-negligible.

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Hughes-Miller:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$$

$Z = \mathcal{E}(s)$  is **easy to bound** (shift contours), get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} Y^{n-j} Z^j \left(\frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds$$

$$\ll N^{(n-j)\sigma/2+\epsilon''}$$

For  $\sigma < \frac{1}{n-1}$ , only  $j = 0$  term is non-negligible.

For  $\sigma < \frac{1}{n-2}$ ,  $j = 1$  term also non-negligible.

Unfortunately,  $Z = \mathcal{E}(s)$  is **hard to compute with**.

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou:

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

**Key idea:** Recall  $X = Y + Z$ , write  $Z = X - Y$ .

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

**Key idea:** Recall  $X = Y + Z$ , write  $Z = X - Y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} Y^{n-1} Z \left(\frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds \\ &= \frac{n}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} XY^{n-1} \left(\frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds \\ & \quad - \frac{n}{2\pi i} \int_{\Re(s)=1} Y^n \left(\frac{4\pi m}{b\sqrt{N}}\right)^{-s} G_{k-1}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hughes-Miller handle  $Y^n$  term,  $XY^{n-1}$  term is similar.

## Converting Sums to Integrals - Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

When the dust clears, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_n} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{\phi} \left( \frac{\log n_i}{\log R} \right) \frac{\chi_0(n_i) \Lambda(n_i)}{\sqrt{n_i} \log R} \right] J_{k-1} \left( \frac{4\pi m \sqrt{n_1 \cdots n_n}}{b\sqrt{N}} \right) \\ &= (1-n) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x)^n \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{2\pi x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \phi(0)^n \right) \\ &+ n \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(x_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{n-1}(x_1) \frac{\sin(2\pi x_1(1-|x_2|))}{2\pi x_1} dx_1 dx_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \phi^n(0) \right) + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

## New Results: Number Theory Side: Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou:

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

### Theorem

Fix  $n \geq 4$  and let  $\phi$  be an even Schwartz function with  $\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subset \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ . Then, the  $n$ th centered moment of the 1-level density for holomorphic cusp forms is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2} (-1)^n (n-1)!! \left( 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\phi}(y)^2 |y| dy \right)^{n/2} \\ & \pm (-2)^{n-1} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x)^n \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{2\pi x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \phi(0)^n \right) \\ & \mp (-2)^{n-1} n \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\phi}(x_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{n-1}(x_1) \frac{\sin(2\pi x_1(1 + |x_2|))}{2\pi x_1} dx_1 dx_2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi^n(0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Compare to ...

## Random Matrix Theory: New Combinatorial Vantage Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou

## $n$ -Level Density: Katz-Sarnak Determinant Expansions

**Example:**  $SO(\text{even})$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n) \det \left( K_1(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k) \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} d\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{x}_n,$$

where

$$K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\sin(\pi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))}{\pi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} + \frac{\sin(\pi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}))}{\pi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})}.$$

## $n$ -Level Density: Katz-Sarnak Determinant Expansions

**Example:**  $\text{SO}(\text{even})$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdots \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n) \det \left( K_1(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k) \right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} d\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots d\mathbf{x}_n,$$

where

$$K_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\sin(\pi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}))}{\pi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} + \frac{\sin(\pi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}))}{\pi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})}.$$

**Problem:**  $n$ -dimensional integral - looks very different.

## Preliminaries

Easier to work with cumulants.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \frac{(it)^n}{n!} = \log \widehat{P}(t),$$

where  $P$  is the probability density function.

$$\mu'_n = C_n + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{m-1} C_m \mu'_{n-m},$$

where  $\mu'_n$  is uncentered moment.

## Preliminaries

Manipulating determinant expansions leads to analysis of

$$K(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m = n \\ \lambda_j \geq 1}} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!} \\ \sum_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n = \pm 1} \prod_{\ell=1}^m \chi_{\{|\sum_{j=1}^n \eta(\ell, j) \epsilon_j y_j| \leq 1\}},$$

where

$$\eta(\ell, j) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } j \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k \\ -1 & \text{if } j > \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k. \end{cases}$$

## New Result: Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou: Large Support:

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

Hughes-Miller solved for  $\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$ .

**New Complications:** If  $\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ ,

- 1  $\eta(\ell, j) \epsilon_j y_j$  need not have same sign (at most one can differ);
- 2 more than one term in product can be zero (for fixed  $m, \lambda_j, \epsilon_j$ ).

## New Result: Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou: Large Support:

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

Hughes-Miller solved for  $\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}\right)$ .

**New Complications:** If  $\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$ ,

- 1  $\eta(\ell, j) \epsilon_j y_j$  need not have same sign (at most one can differ);
- 2 more than one term in product can be zero (for fixed  $m, \lambda_j, \epsilon_j$ ).

**Solution:** Double count terms and subtract a correcting term  $\rho_j$ .

## Generating Function Identity - $\lambda_i \geq 1$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} x^n = e^{-x} = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - e^x)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^x)^m$$

## Generating Function Identity - $\lambda_i \geq 1$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} x^n = e^{-x} = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - e^x)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^x)^m$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left( \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda!} x^\lambda \right)^m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m = n} \frac{(-1)^m}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!} \right) x^n$$

## Generating Function Identity - $\lambda_i \geq 1$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} x^n = e^{-x} = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - e^x)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^x)^m$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \left( \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda!} x^\lambda \right)^m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m = n} \frac{(-1)^m}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!} \right) x^n$$

$$\Rightarrow (-1)^n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_m = n} \frac{(-1)^m n!}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!}$$

## New Result: Dealing With ' $\rho_j$ 's

All  $\lambda_i, \lambda'_j \geq 1$ .

$$\rho_j = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{l-1} = j-1 \\ \lambda_l = 1 \\ \lambda_{l+1} + \dots + \lambda_m = n-j}} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!}$$

## New Result: Dealing With ' $\rho_j$ 's

All  $\lambda_i, \lambda'_j \geq 1$ .

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \rho_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\ell-1} = j-1 \\ \lambda_\ell = 1 \\ \lambda_{\ell+1} + \dots + \lambda_m = n-j}} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!}$$

## New Result: Dealing With ' $\rho_j$ 's

All  $\lambda_i, \lambda'_j \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_j &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\ell-1} = j-1 \\ \lambda_\ell = 1 \\ \lambda_{\ell+1} + \dots + \lambda_m = n-j}} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!} \\ &= n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{\lambda'_1 + \dots + \lambda'_{m-1} = n-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \frac{(n-1)!}{\lambda'_1! \dots \lambda'_{m-1}!} \end{aligned}$$

## New Result: Dealing With $\rho_j$ 's

All  $\lambda_i, \lambda'_j \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_j &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\ell-1} = j-1 \\ \lambda_\ell = 1 \\ \lambda_{\ell+1} + \dots + \lambda_m = n-j}} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!} \\
 &= n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{\lambda'_1 + \dots + \lambda'_{m-1} = n-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \frac{(n-1)!}{\lambda'_1! \dots \lambda'_{m-1}!} \\
 &= n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\lambda'_1 + \dots + \lambda'_{m-1} = n-1} (-1)^m \frac{(-1)^{m-1} (n-1)!}{\lambda'_1! \dots \lambda'_{m-1}!}
 \end{aligned}$$

## New Result: Dealing With ' $\rho_j$ 's

All  $\lambda_i, \lambda'_j \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_j &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\ell-1} = j-1 \\ \lambda_\ell = 1 \\ \lambda_{\ell+1} + \dots + \lambda_m = n-j}} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_m!} \\
 &= n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{\lambda'_1 + \dots + \lambda'_{m-1} = n-1} \frac{(-1)^m}{m} \frac{(n-1)!}{\lambda'_1! \dots \lambda'_{m-1}!} \\
 &= n \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\lambda'_1 + \dots + \lambda'_{m-1} = n-1} (-1) \frac{(-1)^{m-1} (n-1)!}{\lambda'_1! \dots \lambda'_{m-1}!} \\
 &= n(-1)^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

## New Result: Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou: Large Support:

$$\text{supp}(\widehat{\phi}) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

After Fourier transform identities:

## New Result: Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou: Large Support:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

After Fourier transform identities:

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^{\text{SO}}(\phi) = & \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x)^n \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{2\pi x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \phi(0)^n \right) \\ & + \frac{n(-1)^n}{2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(x_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{n-1}(x_1) \right. \\ & \left. \frac{\sin(2\pi x_1(1 + |x_2|))}{2\pi x_1} dx_1 dx_2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi^n(0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

## New Result: Iyer-Miller-Triantafillou: Large Support:

$$\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, \frac{1}{n-2}\right)$$

After Fourier transform identities:

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^{\text{SO}}(\phi) = & \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x)^n \frac{\sin 2\pi x}{2\pi x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \phi(0)^n \right) \\ & + \frac{n(-1)^n}{2} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\phi}(x_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^{n-1}(x_1) \right. \\ & \left. \frac{\sin(2\pi x_1(1 + |x_2|))}{2\pi x_1} dx_1 dx_2 - \frac{1}{2} \phi^n(0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Agrees with number theory!

## Recap

- 1 Difficult to compare  $n$ -dimensional integral from RMT with NT in general. Harder combinatorics worthwhile to appeal to result from ILS.
- 2 Solve combinatorics by using cumulants; support restrictions translate to which terms can contribute.
- 3 Extend number theory results by bounding Bessel functions, Kloosterman sums, etc. New terms arise and match random matrix theory prediction.
- 4 Better bounds on percent of forms vanishing to large order at the center point.

Cuspidal Maass Forms  
Alpoge-Amersi-Iyer-Lazarev-Miller-Zhang

## Maass Forms

### Definition: Maass Forms

A Maass form on a group  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is a function  $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies:

- 1  $f(\gamma z) = f(z)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,
- 2  $f$  vanishes at the cusps of  $\Gamma$ , and
- 3  $\Delta f = \lambda f$  for some  $\lambda = s(1 - s) > 0$ , where

$$\Delta = -y^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$$

is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

- Coefficients contain information about partitions.
- For full modular group,  $s = 1/2 + it_j$  with  $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- Test Katz-Sarnak conjecture

## L-function associated to Maass forms

Write Fourier expansion of Maass form  $u_j$  as

$$u_j(z) = \cosh(t_j) \sum_{n \neq 0} \sqrt{y} \lambda_j(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi |n|y) e^{2\pi inx}.$$

## L-function associated to Maass forms

Write Fourier expansion of Maass form  $u_j$  as

$$u_j(z) = \cosh(t_j) \sum_{n \neq 0} \sqrt{|y|} \lambda_j(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi |n| y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

Define  $L$ -function attached to  $u_j$  as

$$L(s, u_j) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_j(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

where  $\alpha_j(p) + \beta_j(p) = \lambda_j(p)$ ,  $\alpha_j(p)\beta_j(p) = 1$ ,  $\lambda_j(1) = 1$ .

## $L$ -function associated to Maass forms

Write Fourier expansion of Maass form  $u_j$  as

$$u_j(z) = \cosh(t_j) \sum_{n \neq 0} \sqrt{|y|} \lambda_j(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi |n|y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

Define  $L$ -function attached to  $u_j$  as

$$L(s, u_j) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_j(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

where  $\alpha_j(p) + \beta_j(p) = \lambda_j(p)$ ,  $\alpha_j(p)\beta_j(p) = 1$ ,  $\lambda_j(1) = 1$ .  
Also,

$$\lambda_j(p) \ll p^{7/64}.$$

## $n$ -level over a family

- Recall for Katz-Sarnak Conjecture,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} D_{n,f}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ j_i \neq \pm j_k}} \prod_i \phi_i \left( L_f \gamma_E^{(j_i)} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \int \cdots \int \phi(\mathbf{x}) W_{n, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

## $n$ -level over a family

- Recall for Katz-Sarnak Conjecture,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} D_{n,f}(\phi) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ j_i \neq \pm j_k}} \prod_i \phi_i \left( L_f \gamma_E^{(j_i)} \right)$$

$$\rightarrow \int \cdots \int \phi(\mathbf{x}) W_{n, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

- For Dirichlet/cuspidal newform  $L$ -functions, there are many with a given conductor.
- Problem:** For Maass forms, expect at most one with a given conductor.

## $n$ -level over a family: Last Year (Amersi-Iyer-Lazarev-Miller-Zhang)

- **Solution:** Average over Laplace eigenvalues

$$\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_j^2.$$

## *n*-level over a family: Last Year (Amersi-Iyer-Lazarev-Miller-Zhang)

- **Solution:** Average over Laplace eigenvalues

$$\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_j^2.$$

- two choices for the weight function  $h_T$ :

$$h_{1,T}(t_j) = \exp(-t_j^2/T^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues near the origin,

## *n*-level over a family: Last Year (Amersi-Iyer-Lazarev-Miller-Zhang)

- **Solution:** Average over Laplace eigenvalues

$$\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_j^2.$$

- two choices for the weight function  $h_T$ :

$$h_{1,T}(t_j) = \exp(-t_j^2/T^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues near the origin, or

$$h_{2,T}(t_j) = \exp(-(t_j - T)^2/L^2) + \exp(-(t_j + T)^2/L^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues centered at  $\pm T$ .

## *n*-level over a family: Last Year (Amersi-Iyer-Lazarev-Miller-Zhang)

- **Solution:** Average over Laplace eigenvalues

$$\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_j^2.$$

- two choices for the weight function  $h_T$ :

$$h_{1,T}(t_j) = \exp(-t_j^2/T^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues near the origin, or

$$h_{2,T}(t_j) = \exp(-(t_j - T)^2/L^2) + \exp(-(t_j + T)^2/L^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues centered at  $\pm T$ .

- Weighted 1-level density becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} D_{n,u_j}(\phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \prod_i \phi_i \left( \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \log R \right) \end{aligned}$$

## 1-level density: new test function

Joint with Levent Alpoge.

Work with a better test function.

Not as general, but can exploit holomorphicity.

$$h(r) = rh(ir) / \sinh(\pi r).$$

**Results**  
**Amersi-Iyer-Lazarev-Miller-Zhang 2011**

# 1-Level Density

## 1-level density for one function

$$\begin{aligned} D(u_j; \phi) &= \hat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1 + t_j^2)}{\log R} + \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p) \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p^2) \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- 1 Explicit formula.
- 2 Gamma function identities
- 3 Prime Number Theorem

## Average 1-level density

The weighted 1-level density becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} D(u_j; \phi) \\
 &= \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + o\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) + \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \hat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1+t_j^2)}{\log R} \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_p \frac{2 \log p}{p^2 \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(p) \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_p \frac{2 \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(p^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

## Average 1-level density

The weighted 1-level density becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} D(u_j; \phi) \\
 &= \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + o\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) + \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \hat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1+t_j^2)}{\log R} \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_p \frac{2 \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(p) \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_p \frac{2 \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(p^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

## Kuznetsov Trace Formula

To tackle terms with  $\lambda_j(p)$  and  $\lambda_j(p^2)$  we need the Kuznetsov Trace Formula:

## Kuznetsov Trace Formula

To tackle terms with  $\lambda_j(p)$  and  $\lambda_j(p^2)$  we need the Kuznetsov Trace Formula:

$$\sum_j \frac{h(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(m) \overline{\lambda_j(n)}$$

= some function that depends just on h, m, and n

## Kuznetsov Trace Formula

$$\sum_j \frac{h(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(m) \overline{\lambda_j(n)} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tau(m,r)} \tau(n,r) \frac{h(r)}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr =$$

$$\frac{\delta_{n,m}}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} r \tanh(r) h(r) dr + \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{S(n,m;c)}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{ir} \left( \frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c} \right) \frac{h(r)r}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr$$

where

$$\tau(m,r) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}+ir} \Gamma(1/2 + ir)^{-1} \zeta(1 + 2ir)^{-1} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{ab=|m|} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{ir}.$$

$$S(n,m;c) = \sum_{0 \leq x \leq c-1, \gcd(x,c)=1} e^{2\pi i(nx+mx^*)/c}$$

$$J_{ir}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \Gamma(m + ir + 1)} \left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{2m+ir}.$$

## Kuznetsov Formula

- The only  $\lambda(m)\overline{\lambda(n)}$  term that contributes is when  $m = n = 1$ .
- The  $m = 1, n = p$  and  $m = 1, n = p^2$  terms do not contribute because of the  $\delta_{m,n}$  function.

## Result: 1-level density

### Theorem (AILMZ, 2011)

If  $h_T = h_{1,T}$  or  $h_{2,T}$ ,  $T \rightarrow \infty$  and  $L \ll T/\log T$ , and  $\sigma < 1/6$  then 1-level density is

$$\frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} D(u_j; \phi) = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}(0) + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) + O(T^{3\sigma/2-1/4+\epsilon} + T^{\sigma/2-1/4+\epsilon}).$$

- This matches with the **orthogonal family** density as predicted by Katz-Sarnak.

## Support

Main reason support so small due to bounds on Bessel-Kloosterman piece.

Can distinguish unitary and symplectic from the 3 orthogonal groups, but 1-level density cannot distinguish the orthogonal groups from each other if support in  $(-1, 1)$ .

2-level density can distinguish orthogonal groups with arbitrarily small support; additional term depending on distribution of signs of functional equations.

## 2- Level Density

To differentiate between even and odd in orthogonal family, we calculated the 2-level density:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_2^*(\phi) &:= \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \sum_{j_1, j_2} \phi_1(\gamma^{(j_1)}) \overline{\phi_2(\gamma^{(j_2)})} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \prod_{i=1}^2 \left| \frac{\phi_i(0)}{2} + \hat{\phi}_i(0) \frac{\log(1+t_j^2)}{\log R} + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p) \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi}_i\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p^2) \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi}_i\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

25 terms, handled by Cauchy-Schwarz or Kuznetsov.

## Result: 2-level density

### Theorem (AILMZ, 2011)

Same conditions as before, for  $\sigma < 1/12$  have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{2,\mathcal{F}}^* &= \prod_{i=1}^2 \left[ \frac{\phi_i(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}_i(0) \right] + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |z| \widehat{\phi}_1(z) \widehat{\phi}_2(z) dz \\
 &\quad - \phi_1(0) \phi_1(0) - 2 \widehat{\phi}_1 \widehat{\phi}_2(0) + (\phi_1 \phi_2)(0) \mathcal{N}(-1) \\
 &\quad + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{N}(-1)$  is the weighted percent that have odd sign in functional equation.

Results  
Alpoge-Miller 2012

## Sketch of proof

### Theorem (Alpoge-Miller 2012)

For  $h(r) = rh(ir) / \sinh(\pi r)$  the Katz-Sarnak conjecture holds for level 1 cuspidal Maass forms for test functions whose Fourier transform is supported in  $(-4/3, 4/3)$ .

- Express  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2ir}(X) \frac{rh_T(r)}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr$  as a sum of  $J_{2k+1}(X)$  and  $h_T$  at imaginary arguments and  $J_{2kT}(X)$  and  $h$  at  $k$ .
- Bound contributions from sums. Apply Poisson summation, analyze, and Poisson summation again.
- In calculations key steps are Taylor expanding exponentials and invoking Fourier transform identities (especially relating differentiation and multiplication)

Conclusion

## Recap

- Understand compound families in terms of simple ones.
- Choose combinatorics to simplify calculations.
- Extending support often related to deep arithmetic questions.