PRESENTATION:	INTRODUCTION	Model 000	Methodology 00	DATA ANALYSIS	Conclusions o

Culture Shocks and Consequences:

the connection between the arts and urban economic growth

Stephen Sheppard Williams College



Arts, New Growth Theory, and Economic Development Symposium

The Brookings Institution, Washington, DC

May 10, 2012

Paper and slides available at http://www.c-3-d.org/paper.pdf and http://www.c-3-d.org/slides.pdf

PRESENTATION:	INTRODUCTION	Model	METHODOLOGY	DATA ANALYSIS	CONCLUSIONS
	0000	000	00	000	0

DOES CULTURE PRODUCTION AFFECT THE ECONOMY?

- The answer might seem obvious
 - Arts and culture production is a large and growing sector of the economy
 - Many studies demonstrate this:
 - Wassall (1997)
 - UNCTAD (2008)
 - Markusen et al (2008)
 - Lawton et al (2011)
- But ... size of the sector does not imply a causal connection between culture and prosperity
 - Is the creative economy a source, or a byproduct of a growing economy?
 - Do policies supporting the arts also promote economic growth, even if this is not the primary objective?
 - Perhaps this has already been demonstrated?

PRESENTATION:	INTRODUCTION	Model	METHODOLOGY	DATA ANALYSIS	CONCLUSIONS
	000	000	00	000	0

THERE IS AN OBSERVABLE RELATIONSHIP ...



 PRESENTATION:
 INTRODUCTION
 MODEL
 METHODOLOGY
 Data analysis
 Conclusions

 00 00
 000
 00
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000

THIS RELATIONSHIP EXISTS FOR MANY SECTORS





THE PROBLEM

- Correlation does not imply causation
- Need a clear model supported by empirical validation
- Perhaps studies based on inter-industry models?
 - Input-output models and others
 - These provide 'multipliers' that are widely used
 - Skepticism models always predict positive impact
 - Models may be appropriate for short or medium term
 - Models don't account for opportunity cost of resources
 - Models don't address the long run impact on prosperity
- The goal:
 - A model that accounts for opportunity costs
 - A model that allows for culture to cause growth
 - Allows for empirical testing of causality and long-run impact



MODEL OF CULTURE AND GROWTH

• Aggregate income depends on capital, culture and labor

$$Y_t = A_t \cdot K_t^{\alpha} C_t^{\beta} L_t^{1-\alpha-\beta}$$

- Where:
 - Total factor productivity *A_t* evolves randomly over time with a possible trend
 - Local culture production C_t claims a share τ_t of income not consumed and is subject to random shocks
 - Capital K_t claims the remainder $(1 \tau_t)$ of income not consumed
 - Labor *L_t* grows at a rate that is a constant plus random shocks
- Culture production subject to 'shocks' in philanthropy or public support
- Culture has an opportunity cost: could be used to provide capital K_t



CULTURE SHOCKS

- The central question: do positive shocks to culture production generate changes to steady-state income?
- We express the model in per capita terms:

$$\left(\frac{Y}{L}\right)_{t+1} = A_{t+1} \cdot s^{\alpha+\beta} (1-\tau_t)^{\alpha} \tau_t^{\beta} \cdot \left(\frac{Y}{L}\right)_t^{\alpha+\beta} \cdot \left(\frac{L_t}{L_{t+1}}\right)^{\alpha+\beta}$$

- This implies that per capita income depends on:
 - Total factor productivity
 - Shares of income devoted to culture and capital
 - Lagged per capita income -
 - Labor growth ~

 PRESENTATION:
 INTRODUCTION
 MODEL
 METHODOLOGY
 Data analysis
 Conclusions

 0000
 00
 00
 00
 000
 00
 0

CONSEQUENCES OF CULTURE SHOCKS

In this model if $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and if A_t is stable so that economic growth is endogenous, then:

- The log of *per capita* income and culture production will have a stability property known as having a *unit root*
- The log of *per capita* income and culture production will be closely linked via a property known as *cointegration*
- There will be a share of GDP for culture production s · τ* that will maximize growth



 For cities with
 τ < τ*, shocks to
 culture production
 will cause increases
 in steady-state GDP



ARE THE DATA CONSISTENT WITH THE MODEL?

- Our model assumes random processes that generate data on *per capita* income y_t and culture production c_t
- We must determine if observed data on *y_t* and *c_t* are consistent with the assumptions made in our model
- Test hypothesis that y_t and c_t have a unit root
 - Must hold in every city
 - Implies that first differences Δy_t and Δc_t are stationary
- Test hypothesis that y_t and c_t are cointegrated
 - Implies a close connection between y_t and c_t
 - There exists a weighted sum of y_t and c_t that is stationary
 - At least one of the variables must Granger cause the other

	PRESENTATION:	INTRODUCTION	Model	METHODOLOGY	DATA ANALYSIS	CONCLUSIONS
6666 66 66 6		0000	000	0•	000	0

• We estimate the cointegrating relationship:

$$\boldsymbol{c}_t = \boldsymbol{a} + \beta_t \cdot \boldsymbol{y}_t + \boldsymbol{e}_t$$

- Requires only data on culture production and local GDP
- Estimated for each city, permits heterogeneity
- Estimate a vector error correction model:

$$\Delta c_t = b_1 + \lambda_1 \cdot \hat{e}_t + \sum_{j=1}^K R_{11} \Delta c_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^K R_{12} \Delta y_{t-j} + \epsilon_1$$
$$\Delta y_t = b_2 + \lambda_2 \cdot \hat{e}_t + \sum_{j=1}^K R_{21} \Delta c_{t-j} + \sum_{j=1}^K R_{22} \Delta y_{t-j} + \epsilon_2$$

 Use estimates of λ₁, λ₂ and the ratio ^{-λ₂}/_{λ₁} to test causality and long-run impact



AGGREGATE DATA FROM CULTURAL NON-PROFITS



PRESENTATION:	INTRODUCTION	Model	Methodology	DATA ANALYSIS	CONCLUSIONS
	0000	000	00	000	0

TEST FOR COINTEGRATION

Test	Value
Panel tes	sts
ν	14.94***
ho	-7.49***
Phillips-Perron	-9.63***
ADF	-10.05***
Group te	sts
ho	-1.41*
Phillips-Perron	-10.01***
ADF	-12.65***
*** - 1%, * -	10%

- These tests are all consistent with the predictions of our model
- This implies a substantial connection between culture production and prosperity







- The tests imply a pervasive causal connection between c_t and y_t
- We cannot reject the hypothesis that ct → yt is zero on average
- The sign test indicates that positive shocks to *c*_t generate increases in steady-state income



CONCLUSIONS

- There is a short-run relationship between culture production and economic output
- Neither this relationship nor the size of the sector imply that increases in arts support will cause economic growth
- Evaluation of the ability of culture to cause growth requires a new type of model and data analysis
- We provide a growth model in which shocks to culture may generate economic growth
- Using data from cultural non-profits in US MSAs, we find the data to be consistent with our model
- Arts and culture production has a pervasive causal impact on steady-state income
- A positive shock to culture production causes economic growth
- In this sense we can say ART WORKS.