

# Why is the Hessian of a function well-defined only at its critical points?

Ivo Terek Couto

## Defining $d^2f_p$ :

Let  $M^n$  be a differentiable manifold,  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function, and  $p \in M$  be a critical point of  $f$ , that is, satisfying  $df_p = 0$ . This means that the partial derivatives of  $f$  with respect to any chart around  $p$  vanish when evaluated at  $p$ . This allows us to write the:

**Definition.** The *Hessian* of  $f$  at  $p$  is the bilinear form  $d^2f_p: T_pM \times T_pM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$d^2f_p(v, w) \doteq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\alpha^i \partial x_\alpha^j}(p) v_\alpha^i w_\alpha^j,$$

where  $(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha = (x_\alpha^1, \dots, x_\alpha^n))$  is a chart around  $p$  for which we write

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^i} \Big|_p \quad \text{and} \quad w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^i} \Big|_p.$$

To make this definition valid, we have to verify that the expression does not depend on the choice of chart around  $p$ . For this end, assume that we are given a second chart  $(U_\beta, \varphi_\beta = (x_\beta^1, \dots, x_\beta^n))$  around  $p$ . Then  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$  is an *open* set around  $p$  (hence we are able to take derivatives), and we may assume without loss of generality (and to simplify the writing) that  $\varphi_\alpha(p) = \varphi_\beta(p) = \mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The relation between the coordinate vector fields along  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , evaluated at the correct points, is just

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta^j} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\alpha^\ell}{\partial x_\beta^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha^\ell}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Seeing this as an equality between differential operators, it follows that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\beta^j} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\alpha^\ell}{\partial x_\beta^j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\alpha^\ell}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Applying  $\partial/\partial x_\beta^i$  on both sides and applying the product rule, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\beta^i \partial x_\beta^j} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 x_\alpha^\ell}{\partial x_\beta^i \partial x_\beta^j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\alpha^\ell} + \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\alpha^k}{\partial x_\beta^i} \frac{\partial x_\alpha^\ell}{\partial x_\beta^j} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\alpha^k \partial x_\alpha^\ell}.$$

Evaluating the above at the point  $p$  kills the first sum in the right hand side, in view of the condition  $df_p = 0$  (which implies that  $(\partial f / \partial x_\alpha^\ell)(p) = 0$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), resulting in

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\beta^i \partial x_\beta^j}(p) = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\alpha^k}{\partial x_\beta^i}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\partial x_\alpha^\ell}{\partial x_\beta^j}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\alpha^k \partial x_\alpha^\ell}(p).$$

Now, to compute the Hessian of  $f$  according to the chart  $(U_\beta, \varphi_\beta)$ , we need to know the components of the tangent vectors  $v$  and  $w$  with respect to this new coordinate basis. Using self-evident notation, we have that

$$v_\beta^i = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\beta^i}{\partial x_\alpha^r}(\mathbf{0}) v_\alpha^r \quad \text{and} \quad w_\beta^j = \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\beta^j}{\partial x_\alpha^s}(\mathbf{0}) w_\alpha^s, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Putting everything together, we finally compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\beta^i \partial x_\beta^j}(p) v_\beta^i w_\beta^j &= \sum_{i,j,k,\ell,r,s=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\alpha^k}{\partial x_\beta^i}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\partial x_\alpha^\ell}{\partial x_\beta^j}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\alpha^k \partial x_\alpha^\ell}(p) \frac{\partial x_\beta^i}{\partial x_\alpha^r}(\mathbf{0}) v_\alpha^r \frac{\partial x_\beta^j}{\partial x_\alpha^s}(\mathbf{0}) w_\alpha^s \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell,r,s=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\alpha^k}{\partial x_\beta^i}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\partial x_\beta^i}{\partial x_\alpha^r}(\mathbf{0}) \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\alpha^\ell}{\partial x_\beta^j}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\partial x_\beta^j}{\partial x_\alpha^s}(\mathbf{0}) \right) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\alpha^k \partial x_\alpha^\ell}(p) v_\alpha^r w_\alpha^s \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell,r,s=1}^n \delta_r^k \delta_s^\ell \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\alpha^k \partial x_\alpha^\ell}(p) v_\alpha^r w_\alpha^s \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\alpha^k \partial x_\alpha^\ell}(p) v_\alpha^k w_\alpha^\ell, \end{aligned}$$

as wanted. This means that the Hessian is indeed well-defined if  $p$  is a critical point of the function  $f$ . Perhaps a more elegant approach for checking this last part, avoiding picking the tangent vectors  $v$  and  $w$  (but which obviously boils down to the same computation), is to write the transformation law for the differentials at the point  $p$  instead:

$$dx_\beta^i|_p = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\beta^i}{\partial x_\alpha^r}(\mathbf{0}) dx_\alpha^r|_p \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

setting up

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\beta^i \partial x_\beta^j}(\mathbf{0}) dx_\beta^i|_p \otimes dx_\beta^j|_p = \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\alpha^k}{\partial x_\beta^i}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\partial x_\alpha^\ell}{\partial x_\beta^j}(\mathbf{0}) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_\alpha^k \partial x_\alpha^\ell}(p) \left( \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\beta^i}{\partial x_\alpha^r}(\mathbf{0}) dx_\alpha^r|_p \right) \otimes \left( \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\partial x_\beta^j}{\partial x_\alpha^s}(\mathbf{0}) dx_\alpha^s|_p \right)$$

and recognizing  $\delta_r^k$  and  $\delta_s^\ell$  to again obtain the same conclusion.

## Generalizations:

Everything here uses in a crucial way the fact that  $df_p = 0$ . So this raises the natural question: is it possible to define such a Hessian for arbitrary points of the manifold  $M$ ?

Without additional structure, the answer is *no*. If you do, however, have some extra structure to work with, here's what happens: let  $\nabla$  be a (Koszul) connection in the tangent bundle  $TM$ , and define the *covariant Hessian* of  $f$  with respect to  $\nabla$  at  $p$  as the map  $\text{Hess}^\nabla(f)_p: T_pM \times T_pM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\text{Hess}^\nabla(f)_p(v, w) = v(\tilde{w}(f)) - \text{d}f_p(\nabla_v \tilde{w}),$$

where  $\tilde{w}$  is some extension of  $w$  to a neighborhood of  $p$  (i.e., a vector field defined in a neighborhood of  $p$  such that  $\tilde{w}_p = w$ ). By the Leibniz rule for  $\nabla$  and its local character, we see that the right hand side above is actually independent of the choice of extension for  $w$ , and defines a bilinear form on  $T_pM$ . Note that if  $p$  happens to be a critical point of  $f$ , we recover  $\text{Hess}^\nabla(f)_p = \text{d}^2f_p$ .

This actually induces a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -bilinear map  $\text{Hess}^\nabla(f): \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ , which is given in local coordinates  $(U, (x^1, \dots, x^n))$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}^\nabla(f)(\partial_i, \partial_j) &= \partial_i \partial_j f - \text{d}f(\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j) \\ &= \partial_i \partial_j f - \text{d}f \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k \right) \\ &= \partial_i \partial_j f - \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k f, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $n^3$  functions  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  are the connection components of  $\nabla$ . Writing it in its full glory, we have

$$\text{Hess}^\nabla(f) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \sum_{k=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^k} \right) dx^i \otimes dx^j.$$

One might also recognize the object  $\text{Hess}^\nabla(f)$  as the covariant differential  $\nabla(\text{d}f)$  of the  $(0,1)$ -tensor  $\text{d}f$ , which is then a  $(0,2)$ -tensor. But despite all these ways of looking at the Hessian, we cannot expect it to necessarily have good properties, since the connection  $\nabla$  was so arbitrary. In fact, recall that the *torsion* of the connection  $\nabla$  is the  $(0,2)$ -tensor field  $\tau^\nabla: \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$  given by

$$\tau^\nabla(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Y} - \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X} - [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}],$$

where  $[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$  is the Lie bracket of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$ . The presence of  $[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$  has the purpose of making the torsion  $\tau^\nabla$   $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -bilinear. We'll conclude the discussion with the following characterization of this torsion:

**Proposition.**  $\tau^\nabla = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Hess}^\nabla(f)$  is a symmetric tensor, for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ .

**Proof:** Given vector fields  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we compute directly that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}^\nabla(f)(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}) &= \mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{X}(f)) - \text{d}f(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{Y}(f)) - [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}](f) - \text{d}f(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X}) \\ &= \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{Y}(f)) - \text{d}f(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X} + [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{Y}(f)) - \text{d}f(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Y} - \tau^\nabla(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})) \\ &= \text{Hess}^\nabla(f)(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) + \tau^\nabla(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})(f). \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows. □