

THE "EINSTEIN MANIFOLD"

Ivo Terek

Fix once and for all a real pseudo-Euclidean vector space (V, g) with indefinite signature, i.e., g is not positive-definite nor negative-negative. This means that if the signature of g is (i_+, i_-) , we have $i_+, i_- \geq 1$. We'll also write $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ whenever convenient. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{x \in V \setminus \{0\} \mid \langle x, x \rangle = 0\}$ denote the lightcone of (V, g) . On \mathcal{C} , define an equivalence relation \sim by saying that $u \sim v$ if $v = \lambda u$ for some non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and consider the quotient $\mathbb{E} = \mathcal{C}/\sim$. Equivalently, \mathbb{E} is the quotient of \mathcal{C} under the linear action $\mathbb{R}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}$ given by multiplication. Geometrically, \mathbb{E} is the set of all lightrays in (V, g) , and it is called the *Einstein manifold*. This name is a historical accident, and is unrelated to the notion of an Einstein manifold, where the Ricci tensor is a constant multiple of the metric. The quotient projection $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ defines a principal \mathbb{R}^\times -bundle. Indeed, the action $\mathbb{R}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}$ is free and the (enriched) action map $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ is closed. Now, given $L \in \mathbb{E}$, we may choose a non-zero $u \in L$ and consider the derivative $d\pi_u: T_u\mathcal{C} = u^\perp \rightarrow T_L\mathbb{E}$. The vertical spaces are the kernels $\ker d\pi_u = \mathbb{R}u$, which establishes that $T_L\mathbb{E} \cong u^\perp/\mathbb{R}u = L^\perp/L$.

These identifications allow us to try and transfer geometric structures from (V, g) or \mathcal{C} to \mathbb{E} , but the problem is that the isomorphism $T_L\mathbb{E} \cong L^\perp/L$ is not natural, and depends on a choice of non-zero vector $u \in L$. Since the vector u is lightlike, the scalar product g passes to the quotient $T_L\mathbb{E} \cong u^\perp/\mathbb{R}u$ as a scalar product g_u , and has signature (p, q) , where $i_+ = p + 1$ and $i_- = q + 1$ (indeed, the degenerate metric signature of the lightlike hyperplane u^\perp is $(i_+ - 1, i_- - 1, 1)$, and modding out $\mathbb{R}u$ eliminates the degenerate dimension). However, this does not mean we have defined a pseudo-Riemannian metric on \mathbb{E} , as we'll see later that it is impossible to make a consistent choice of u 's for each L unless g has Lorentzian (or anti-Lorentzian) signature. To understand better what happens, consider a non-zero $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and let's show that $g_{\lambda u} = \lambda^2 g_u$ on $T_L\mathbb{E}$. To wit: g_u is the only scalar product in $T_L\mathbb{E}$ for which $(d\pi_u)^*(g_u) = g$, but if $m_\lambda: V \rightarrow V$ is the multiplication by λ , we have that $\pi \circ m_\lambda = \pi$, leading to $d\pi_{\lambda u} \circ m_\lambda = d\pi_u$, so that

$$g = (d\pi_u)^*(g_u) = (d\pi_{\lambda u} \circ m_\lambda)^*(g_u) = (m_\lambda)^*(d\pi_{\lambda u})^*(g_u) = (d\pi_{\lambda u})^*(\lambda^2 g_u).$$

But $g = (d\pi_{\lambda u})^*(g_{\lambda u})$ as well, and $(d\pi_{\lambda u})^*$ is injective (because π is a submersion), and thus $g_{\lambda u} = \lambda^2 g_u$, as required. This means that we have defined a field of pointwise conformal structures (i.e., inner products up to a positive scalar factor in each $T_L\mathbb{E}$) on \mathbb{E} , and "smoothness" follows from the fact that $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ admits smooth local sections: if ψ_1 and ψ_2 are two smooth local sections of π , then we may write $\psi_2 = \lambda \psi_1$ with some local smooth function λ , and the above says that on their common domain, the conformal factor λ^2 between the local pseudo-Riemannian metrics induced by ψ_2

and ψ_2 is smooth. Of course, one may glue local representatives via partitions of unity to obtain a global representative of the smooth conformal structure \mathcal{C} so defined.

Moving on, instead of considering the equivalence relation \sim previously defined, one can define on \mathcal{C} a second relation \approx by saying that $x \approx y$ if $y = \lambda x$ for some positive $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The quotient $\tilde{\mathbb{E}} = \mathcal{C}/\approx$ is the set of all lightlike half-lines in (V, g) . Equivalently, $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ is the quotient of \mathcal{C} under the linear action $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}$ given by multiplication. The projection $\tilde{\pi}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ defines a principal $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -bundle and everything claimed for \mathbb{E} remains true for $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ as well. In particular, the identity map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ induces a two-fold covering map $\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, which takes a lightlike half-line to the lightray it spans. With this in place, to understand \mathbb{E} it suffices to understand $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$, and for this we'll take an orthogonal decomposition $V = V_+ \oplus V_-$, where the restriction of g to V_+ is positive-definite and to V_- is negative-definite (and hence $\dim V_{\pm} = i_{\pm}$). The obvious map

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}} \ni \mathbb{R}_{>0}x \mapsto \left(\frac{x_+}{\|x_+\|}, \frac{x_-}{\|x_-\|} \right) \in \mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$$

is a diffeomorphism, with inverse

$$\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q \ni (u_+, u_-) \mapsto \mathbb{R}_{>0}(u_+ + u_-) \in \tilde{\mathbb{E}},$$

where \mathbb{S}^p is the unit sphere of V_+ and \mathbb{S}^q is the unit sphere of V_- (it is equipped with the induced negative-definite round metric). Note that here we cannot replace $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ with \mathbb{E} , as the tentative map $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$ would not be well-defined. However, on the other direction, the sum map $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q \rightarrow V$ is an isometric immersion, which happens to take values in \mathcal{C} — and composing with π , we obtain a two-fold covering map $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$. The non-trivial deck transformation $(u_+, u_-) \mapsto (-u_+, -u_-)$ is an isometry, so the metric in $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$ passes to the quotient, giving a global representative of \mathcal{C} . Since the sectional curvatures of \mathbb{S}^p and \mathbb{S}^q are constant and opposites, it follows that $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$ is conformally flat (and hence the conformal structure \mathcal{C} on \mathbb{E} is flat). Now, let's conclude the discussion with two remarks regarding the Lorentz case.

- The bundle $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is trivial if and only if g is Lorentzian or anti-Lorentzian. Say that g is Lorentzian and write, with the above notation, $V_- = \mathbb{R}w$ for a unit timelike vector $w \in V$, so that $\mathcal{C} \ni x \mapsto (\mathbb{R}x, \langle x, w \rangle) \in \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{R}^{\times}$ is a global trivialization of π . Conversely, assume that g is not Lorentzian or anti-Lorentzian, but that π defines a trivial bundle: since it is a line bundle, it is orientable, meaning that if we choose a null plane $\Pi \subseteq V$, the orientation of \mathcal{C} induces an orientation of the tautological line bundle of the projective line $P\Pi$, which is a contradiction (the total space of such tautological bundle is a Möbius strip).
- The bundle $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is trivial if and only if g is Lorentzian or anti-Lorentzian. This happens since the total space of two-fold covering map over a connected base space is disconnected if and only if the covering map is a trivial \mathbb{Z}_2 -bundle, and $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{S}^q$ is disconnected if and only if $p = 0$ (g is anti-Lorentzian) or $q = 0$ (g is Lorentzian).