

Instructor: Leo Goldmakher

Williams College  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics

**MATH 250 : LINEAR ALGEBRA**

**Problem Set 5 – KEY**

**5.1** Let  $\vec{v} := 2\vec{e}_1 - 3\vec{e}_2$ ,  $\vec{w} := \vec{e}_1 + \vec{e}_2$ .

(a) What is the change of basis matrix from  $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2$  to  $\vec{v}, \vec{w}$ ?

The change of basis map is  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

(b) Use the change-of-basis matrix (as we did in the lecture 17–18 summary) to express the vector  $\vec{e}_1 + 2\vec{e}_2$  as a linear combination of  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$ . (You should *not* solve a system of equations.)

$P^{-1} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

Thus

$$P^{-1}(1, 2) = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/5 \\ 7/5 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that  $\vec{e}_1 + 2\vec{e}_2 = -\frac{1}{5}\vec{v} + \frac{7}{5}\vec{w}$ .

**5.2** The goal of this exercise is to explore linear maps which fix the unit circle  $U$ . Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear map with  $\det f > 0$  and  $f(U) = U$ . Write the matrix of  $f$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ .

(a) Prove that  $a^2 + c^2 = 1$  and  $b^2 + d^2 = 1$ . [*Hint: Consider  $f(1, 0)$  and  $f(0, 1)$ .*]

Since  $(1, 0) \in U$  and  $f(U) = U$ , we see that  $(a, c) = f(1, 0) \in U$ . It follows that  $a^2 + c^2 = 1$ . Similarly, we have  $(b, d) = f(0, 1) \in U$ , whence  $b^2 + d^2 = 1$ .

(b) Prove that  $a^2 + b^2 = c^2 + d^2$ . [*Hint: Consider  $f^{-1}(1, 0)$  and  $f^{-1}(0, 1)$ .*]

Since  $f$  is invertible and  $f(U) = U$ , we see that  $f^{-1}(U) = U$ . We know that  $f^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det f} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$  whence  $\left(\frac{d}{\det f}, \frac{-c}{\det f}\right) = f^{-1}(1, 0) \in U$ . It follows that

$$c^2 + d^2 = (\det f)^2.$$

Similarly, we have  $\left(\frac{-b}{\det f}, \frac{a}{\det f}\right) = f^{-1}(0, 1) \in U$ , whence

$$a^2 + b^2 = (\det f)^2 = c^2 + d^2.$$

(c) Prove that  $\det f = 1$ .

From above, we know that  $a^2 + b^2 = (\det f)^2 = c^2 + d^2$ . We also know that  $a^2 + c^2 = 1 = b^2 + d^2$ . From all this we deduce that

$$2(\det f)^2 = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 2,$$

whence  $\det f = \pm 1$ . Since we're assuming  $\det f > 0$ , we conclude that  $\det f = 1$ .

(d) Prove that  $f = R_\theta$  for some  $\theta$ .

First note that, since  $a^2 + c^2 = 1$ , there must exist some  $\theta$  such that  $a = \cos \theta$  and  $c = \sin \theta$ . Thus, to conclude the proof it suffices to show the following:

**Lemma 1.**  $a = d$  and  $b = -c$ .

*Proof.* From (c), we know that

$$ad - bc = 1. \tag{†}$$

From our proof of (b) we know that  $a^2 + b^2 = (\det f)^2$  and  $c^2 + d^2 = (\det f)^2$ ; plugging in (†) shows that  $a^2 + b^2 = 1 = c^2 + d^2$ . From this it follows that

$$(ad - bc)^2 = (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2).$$

Expanding both sides and simplifying yields

$$(ac + bd)^2 = 0,$$

whence

$$ac + bd = 0. \tag{‡}$$

Our strategy is to combine (†) and (‡) to obtain the claimed relationships between  $a, b, c, d$ .

- (†) and (‡) imply

$$d(ad - bc) + c(ac + bd) = d;$$

expanding and simplifying the left side yields  $a = d$ .

- (†) and (‡) imply

$$-c(ad - bc) + d(ac + bd) = -c;$$

expanding and simplifying the left side yields  $b = -c$ .

We conclude that  $a = d$  and  $b = -c$  as claimed. □

(e) Now suppose  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear map such that  $\det g < 0$  and  $g(U) = U$ . Prove that there exists some angle  $\theta$  such that  $g = R_\theta \rho$ , where  $\rho$  is the reflection across the horizontal axis. [Hint: If you use part (d), the proof is quite short.]

Given  $g$  as in the problem, set

$$f := g \circ \rho.$$

Note that

$$\det f = (\det g)(\det \rho) = -\det g > 0.$$

Moreover,  $f(U) = U$  (see Lemma below). Part (d) therefore implies that  $f = R_\theta$ . Thus, since  $\rho^2$  is the identity, we have

$$g = f\rho = R_\theta\rho$$

as claimed. It remains only to prove:

**Lemma 2.**  $f(U) = U$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $\rho(U) = U$ , since this would imply

$$f(U) = g\rho(U) = g(U) = U.$$

We will do this by showing that  $\rho(U)$  is a subset of  $U$ , and also that  $U$  is a subset of  $\rho(U)$ ; this is only possible if the two are equal. (This is a common trick for proving two sets are equal.)

Pick any point  $(a, b) \in U$ , so that  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ . Then  $\rho(a, b) = (a, -b)$  is in  $U$  as well, since  $a^2 + (-b)^2 = 1$ . This shows that  $\rho(U)$  is a subset of  $U$ , since every point in  $\rho(U)$  lives in  $U$ . On the other hand, since  $\rho = \rho^{-1}$ , this implies  $\rho^{-1}(U)$  is a subset of  $U$  as well. But then  $U$  must be a subset of  $\rho(U)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

(f) Suppose a linear map  $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  satisfies  $h(U) = U$ . Prove that either  $h = R_\theta$  or  $h = R_\theta \rho$ . [Hint: The proof is short, but there is something to check.]

Observe that  $h$  must be nonsingular (since otherwise the image of  $h$  would be contained in some line, contradicting that  $h(U) = U$ ). It follows that  $\det h$  is either positive or negative. Part (d) implies that if  $\det h > 0$ , then  $h = R_\theta$ . Part (e) implies that if  $\det h < 0$ , then  $h = R_\theta \rho$ .  $\square$

- 5.3** Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear map with  $\det f < 0$ . Prove that  $f$  admits a singular value decomposition. (State a precise theorem, analogous to Theorem 4 from the lectures 17–18 summary.)

**Theorem 3.** Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is nonsingular. Then  $\exists \alpha, \beta, k, \ell \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f = R_\alpha \circ \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} \circ R_\beta.$$

*Proof.* In class, we proved this in the case  $\det f > 0$ , so it remains to prove the case  $\det f < 0$ . Consider the linear map  $f \circ \rho$ . We have  $\det(f \circ \rho) = (\det f)(\det \rho) > 0$ , whence (from class) we deduce the existence of  $\alpha, \beta, k, \ell \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f \circ \rho = R_\alpha \circ \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} \circ R_\beta.$$

Composing both sides on the right by  $\rho$ , we find

$$f = R_\alpha \circ \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} \circ R_\beta \circ \rho.$$

By problem **M1–4(a)** from Midterm 1, we know that

$$R_\beta \rho = \rho R_{-\beta}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f &= R_\alpha \circ \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} \circ \rho \circ R_{-\beta} \\ &= R_\alpha \circ \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & -\ell \end{pmatrix} \circ R_{-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the the proof. □

- 5.4** Let  $f := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . The goal of this exercise is to determine and apply the singular value decomposition of  $f$ . It turns out the SVD of  $f$  is intimately linked to the so-called *golden ratio*:

$$\varphi := \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

As usual, let  $U$  denote the unit circle centered at the origin.

- (a) As discussed in class,  $f(U)$  is an ellipse centered at the origin. Determine the lengths of the major and minor radii of this ellipse. Express your answer in terms of  $\varphi$ . [*Hint: this is a calculus problem.*]

We are trying to maximize and minimize the magnitude of  $f(x)$  where  $x \in U$ . Any point on  $U$  has the form  $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$  for some  $\theta$ , so we are trying to find the extreme values of  $|f(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)|$ . The strategy is to use calculus to determine the  $\theta$  where these extreme values occur. Set

$$d(\theta) := |f(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)|^2 = (\cos \theta + \sin \theta)^2 + \cos^2 \theta.$$

A bit of double-angle formula magic gives

$$d(\theta) = \frac{3}{2} + \sin 2\theta + \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\theta.$$

Note that  $\sqrt{d(\theta)}$  is maximized (or minimized) iff  $d(\theta)$  is maximized (or minimized). We therefore set out to determine all  $\theta$  for which  $d(\theta)$  has an extreme value. To do this, we find where  $d'(\theta) = 0$ . We have

$$d'(\theta) = 2 \cos 2\theta - \sin 2\theta = 0.$$

This immediately implies that  $\cos 2\theta \neq 0$ . Dividing both sides by  $\cos 2\theta$  and rearranging yields

$$\tan 2\theta = 2.$$

We have therefore found that  $|f(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)|$  has an extreme value at  $\theta_m = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} 2$ . Now that we know  $\tan 2\theta_m = 2$ , it's fairly straightforward to determine the radii. Simple geometric arguments give

$$\sin 2\theta_m = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos 2\theta_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$$

This allows us to compute  $d(\theta_m)$ ; some simple algebra gives

$$d(\theta_m) = \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

Set

$$\vec{v} := f(\cos \theta_m, \sin \theta_m).$$

Since  $\theta_m > 0$ , we see that  $\vec{v}$  is the radius of the ellipse located in the first quadrant. The magnitude of the radius is

$$|\vec{v}| = \sqrt{d(\theta_m)} = \varphi.$$

Now set

$$\vec{w} := f(\cos(\theta_m + \frac{\pi}{2}), \sin(\theta_m + \frac{\pi}{2}));$$

I claim  $\vec{w}$  is perpendicular to  $\vec{v}$  (and must therefore be the second radius of the ellipse). To see this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} &= f(\cos \theta_m, \sin \theta_m) \cdot f(\cos(\theta_m + \frac{\pi}{2}), \sin(\theta_m + \frac{\pi}{2})) \\ &= f(\cos \theta_m, \sin \theta_m) \cdot f(-\sin \theta_m, \cos \theta_m) \\ &= (\cos \theta_m + \sin \theta_m, \cos \theta_m) \cdot (\cos \theta_m - \sin \theta_m, -\sin \theta_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\cos 2\theta_m)(2 - \tan 2\theta_m) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation gives

$$|\vec{w}| = \sqrt{d(\theta_m + \pi/2)} = \varphi - 1.$$

Thus  $\vec{v}$  is the major radius (with magnitude  $\varphi$ ) and  $\vec{w}$  is the minor radius (with magnitude  $\varphi - 1$ ).

(b) Let  $\alpha$  denote the tilt of the ellipse  $f(U)$ , i.e., the angle formed by the positive horizontal axis and the radius of the ellipse in the first quadrant. Prove that  $\tan \alpha = \varphi - 1$ .

In part (a) we proved that the major radius of the ellipse is

$$\vec{v} = f(\cos \theta_m, \sin \theta_m) = (\cos \theta_m + \sin \theta_m, \cos \theta_m).$$

By the half-angle formula,

$$\tan \theta_m = \frac{\sin 2\theta_m}{1 + \cos 2\theta_m} = \varphi - 1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tan \alpha &= \frac{\cos \theta_m}{\cos \theta_m + \sin \theta_m} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \tan \theta_m} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi} \\ &= \varphi - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\tan \alpha = \tan \theta_m$ , whence

$$\alpha = \theta_m.$$

(c) As discussed in lecture, there exists a square grid which gets mapped by  $f$  to a rectangular grid. Describe (as precisely as possible) these two grids for  $f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . [Note:  $\det f < 0$ !]

We saw above that  $f(\cos \theta_m, \sin \theta_m)$  is the major radius of the ellipse. Let

$$\mathcal{S} := \{(R_{\theta_m}(x\vec{e}_1 + y\vec{e}_2) : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In other words,  $\mathcal{S}$  is the canonical square grid, tilted by an angle of  $\theta_m$  counterclockwise. Our work above shows that  $\mathcal{R} := f(\mathcal{S})$  is the rectangular grid

$$\mathcal{R} = \{x\vec{v} + y\vec{w} : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

By our work above,  $\vec{v}$  is the vector of length  $\varphi$  at an angle  $\theta_m$ , and  $\vec{w}$  is a vector perpendicular to  $\vec{v}$  of length  $\varphi - 1$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{R}$  is the rectangular grid built out of  $\varphi \times (\varphi - 1)$  rectangles, tilted at an angle  $\theta_m$ .

(d) Determine the singular value decomposition of  $f$ , i.e., determine  $\alpha, \beta, k, \ell$  such that

$$f = R_\alpha \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & \ell \end{pmatrix} R_\beta.$$

By our work in problem **5.3** and the above parts, we know that

$$f = R_{\theta_m} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \varphi \end{pmatrix} R_\beta$$

for some angle  $\beta$ . To determine this angle  $\beta$ , note that

$$\vec{v} = R_{\theta_m}(\varphi \vec{e}_1) = R_{\theta_m} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \varphi \end{pmatrix} \vec{e}_1$$

On the other hand,

$$f^{-1}(\vec{v}) = R_{\theta_m} \vec{e}_1,$$

whence

$$\vec{v} = f(R_{\theta_m} \vec{e}_1) = R_{\theta_m} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \varphi \end{pmatrix} R_\beta R_{\theta_m} \vec{e}_1$$

Putting the above together gives

$$R_\beta R_{\theta_m} \vec{e}_1 = \vec{e}_1$$

whence  $\beta = -\theta_m$ . Thus, we conclude that the Singular Value Decomposition of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = R_{\theta_m} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \varphi \end{pmatrix} R_{-\theta_m}$$

where  $\theta_m = \tan^{-1}(\varphi - 1)$ .