

Instructor: Leo Goldmakher

Williams College  
Department of Mathematics and Statistics

MATH 250 : LINEAR ALGEBRA

Problem Set 6 – KEY

6.1 Recall (from Lecture 21) the notion of an *equivalence relation*. Decide whether each of the following binary relations is an equivalence relation. If it is, prove it. If not, give an example of how it fails.

(a)  $\sim$  (matrix similarity)

This is an equivalence relation, because it satisfies all three of the defining properties:

- Reflexivity.  $f = I^{-1}gI$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , whence  $f \sim f$ .
- Symmetry. Suppose  $f \sim g$ . Then  $\exists P$  such that  $f = P^{-1}gP$ . But this implies that  $g = PfP^{-1} = (P^{-1})^{-1}fP^{-1}$  whence  $g \sim f$ .
- Transitivity. Suppose  $f \sim g$  and  $g \sim h$ . Then  $\exists P, Q$  such that  $f = P^{-1}gP$  and  $g = Q^{-1}hQ$ . It follows that  $f = P^{-1}Q^{-1}hQP = (QP)^{-1}h(QP)$ , whence  $f \sim h$ .

(b)  $\leq$  (less than or equal to)

This is *not* an equivalence relation. Although it is reflexive and transitive, it is not symmetric:  $3 \leq 5$  but  $5 \not\leq 3$ .

(c)  $\approx$  (given two sets  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  we write  $A \approx B$  if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  differ by finitely many elements. For example,  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \approx \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  since they differ by one element, while  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\} \not\approx \{2, 4, 6, \dots\}$  since they differ by infinitely many elements.)

This is an equivalence relation, since it satisfies the three requisite properties. Prior to verifying these, we introduce a useful notation: let

$$A \setminus B := \{x \in A : x \notin B\}$$

so that  $A \approx B$  iff both  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus A$  are finite.

- $A \approx A$ , since  $A \setminus A$  is empty (hence finite).
- Suppose  $A \approx B$ , so that  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus A$  are both finite. It immediately follows that  $B \approx A$ .
- Suppose  $A \approx B$  and  $B \approx C$ . Then all of

$$A \setminus B, \quad B \setminus A, \quad B \setminus C, \quad \text{and} \quad C \setminus B$$

are finite. I claim that  $A \setminus C \subseteq (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus C)$ . To see this, pick any  $x \in A \setminus C$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $x \in B \setminus C$ . If  $x \notin B$ , then  $x \in A \setminus B$ . Since both  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus C$  are finite, we conclude that  $A \setminus C$  must be finite. In exactly the same way, we see that  $C \setminus A$  must be finite. It follows that  $A \approx C$ .

**6.2** Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are nonsingular linear maps from  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ .

(a) Show by example that  $fg$  might not equal  $gf$ .

There are many examples. One simple one is  $R_{\pi/2}\rho \neq \rho R_{\pi/2}$ .

(b) Prove that  $fg \sim gf$  (matrix similarity).

We have  $fg = g^{-1}(gf)g$ .

**6.3** Suppose  $P$  is a nonsingular linear map, and that  $f = P \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}$ .

(a) Prove that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are eigenvalues of  $f$ .

Let  $\vec{v}_1 := P(\vec{e}_1)$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 := P(\vec{e}_2)$ . Then

$$f(\vec{v}) = P \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} P^{-1}P(\vec{e}_1) = P \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} (\vec{e}_1) = P(\lambda_1 \vec{e}_1) = \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1.$$

Thus  $\lambda_1$  was an eigenvalue, and the corresponding eigenvector is  $P(\vec{e}_1)$ .

(b) Find (with proof) an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ ?

We just did this above: it's  $P(\vec{e}_1)$ .

**6.4** For each of the following linear functions, (i) determine all eigenvalues, (ii) for each eigenvalue, find a corresponding eigenvector of unit length, and (iii) if possible, write down a spectral decomposition of  $f$ .

(a)  $f = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

(a)  $\lambda_1 = 3, \lambda_2 = 2$

(b)  $\vec{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

(c)  $f = B \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1}$  with  $B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}$ .

(b)  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

(a)  $\lambda_1 = -1, \lambda_2 = 1$

(b)  $\vec{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

(c)  $f = B \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1}$  with  $B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(c)  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

(a)  $\lambda = 3$

(b)  $\vec{v} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

(c) We have only one eigenvector, so there is no spectral decomposition.

(d)  $k = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

(a)  $\lambda_1 = 3, \lambda_2 = 2$

(b)  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

(c)  $f = I \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} I^{-1}$

(e)  $f^2$ , where  $f$  is the function from part (a) of this question.

(a)  $\lambda_1 = 9, \lambda_2 = 4$

(b)  $\vec{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

(c)  $f = B \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1}$  where  $B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \end{pmatrix}$ .

**6.5** Let  $f_n$  denote the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number (with  $f_1 = f_2 = 1$ ).

(a) Determine  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n}$  [Hint: How big is  $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$  ?]

From class we know that  $f_n = \frac{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$ , where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} = \frac{\lambda_1^{n+1} - \lambda_2^{n+1}}{\lambda_1^n - \lambda_2^n}$$

Note that  $|\lambda_2| < 1$ . Thus in the limit  $\lambda_2^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , whence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n} = \lambda_1.$$

(b) Evaluate  $f_n^2 + f_{n+1}^2$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Conjecture a formula.

2, 5, 13, 34. Conjecture:  $f_n^2 + f_{n+1}^2 = f_{2n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

(c) Prove your conjectured formula. [Hint: consider  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{2n}$ ]

Calculate  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{2n}$  in two different ways. On one hand, it's

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{2n+1} & f_{2n} \\ f_{2n} & f_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

On the other it's

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^n \right)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_{n+1} & f_n \\ f_n & f_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} f_n^2 + f_{n+1}^2 & f_n(f_{n-1} + f_{n+1}) \\ f_n(f_{n-1} + f_{n+1}) & f_n^2 + f_{n-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Comparing the top left entry in these proves the conjecture. □

**6.6 (Bonus)** Prove that any positive integer can be written as the sum of distinct Fibonacci numbers, no two of which are consecutive. For example,  $16 = f_4 + f_7$ . (In fact, every positive integer has a unique representation in this form!)

Keep playing around with this when you have a chance!