

Test time

"Prove" that $l(A \cap [0, 1]) = 0$.
To formalize this, write down properties ~~for~~ any reasonable notion of length should have.

(0) length is a function $l: P(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$.

- (1) $\forall A, B$ disjoint,
 $l(A \cup B) = l(A) + l(B)$
(2) $\forall A, B, l(A \cup B) \leq l(A) + l(B)$

$l([0, 1] \cup [5, 6]) \neq 6$?
 $l([0, 2] \cup [5, 6])$
Maybe "measure" is better word than length.

~~(3)~~ If $A \subseteq B$, then $l(A) \leq l(B)$.

Relationships between different properties.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) ? HW
 $\forall A, B, l(A \cup B) = l(A) + l(B) - l(A \cap B)$
(1) \Rightarrow (2) HW

(2') $\exists A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $l(A) > 0$

(3') $l(A + \lambda) = l(A)$
 $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

"translation invariant"

(4') $l([0, 1]) = 1$

(5') $l(\emptyset) = 0$,
 $l(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$

~~4~~ We know $l([a, b])$ should be $b - a \dots$

so need something of the flavor (4').

(6') Should be able to scale length.

(7') l is surjective

~~5~~ (4') \Rightarrow (2')
(7') \Rightarrow (2')

~~6~~ Stephen questions (6')...
(1) \Rightarrow (5') \checkmark Ben doesn't.

--- x ---

1

Parse down to

(0) $\mathcal{L}: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$

(1) $\mathcal{L}(A \cup B) = \mathcal{L}(A) + \mathcal{L}(B)$
 $\forall A, B$ disjoint

(2'') $\mathcal{L}([a, b]) = b - a$

$\mathcal{L}([0, 1])$

$\mathcal{L}(\{1/2\}) = \mathcal{L}([1/2, 3/2])$
 $= 0$. by (2'')

2

$\mathcal{L}([0, 1]) + \mathcal{L}([0, 1]) = \mathcal{L}([0, 1])$

$\mathcal{L}([0, 0]) = 0 = \mathcal{L}([1, 1])$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}([0, 1]) + 0 + 0 = 1$ ✓

Recap of proof that
 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Q} \cap [0, 1]) = 0$.

Write $\mathcal{Q} \cap [0, 1] = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots\}$

Pick interval $I_1 \ni q_1$ w/ $\mathcal{L}(I_1) = \frac{\epsilon}{4}$

Pick interval $I_2 \ni q_2$ w/ $\mathcal{L}(I_2) = \frac{\epsilon}{8}$

3

$\forall k$, pick interval
 $I_k \ni q_k$ w/ $\mathcal{L}(I_k) = \frac{\epsilon}{2^{k+1}}$

Then $\mathcal{Q} \cap [0, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Q} \cap [0, 1]) \leq \mathcal{L}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k)$

$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(I_k)$

$= \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$ ◉

4

Lemma: If $A \subseteq B$,
then $\mathcal{L}(A) \leq \mathcal{L}(B)$.

Proved as HW.

We need another lemma:

Lemma: $\mathcal{L}(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(A_k)$

We already know this
for two sets:

$\mathcal{L}(A_1 \cup A_2) \leq \mathcal{L}(A_1) + \mathcal{L}(A_2)$

5

Adam points out
an easier proof:
cover $\mathcal{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ by
 $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} [q_k, q_k]$.

To approach countable
subadditivity lemma,
can we prove

$\mathcal{L}(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) \leq$
 $\leq \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cup A_2) + \mathcal{L}(A_3)$

6

$\leq \mathcal{L}(A_1) + \mathcal{L}(A_2) + \mathcal{L}(A_3)$

By induction, we
deduce

$\mathcal{L}(\bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \mathcal{L}(A_k)$

~~But~~ Recall:

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(A_k) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \mathcal{L}(A_k)$

We know

$$r\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^N r(A_k)$$

And $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(A_k) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N r(A_k)$

Want:

$$r\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(A_k)$$

$$r\left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k\right)$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} r\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^N r(A_k)$$

$$\leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N r(A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(A_k)$$

Thus, if

$$r\left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k\right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} r\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^N A_k\right)$$

then we can prove
countable subadditivity.