

Last time:

$\int_0^1 f = \int_0^\infty m(\{f \geq y\}) dy$

↑ Lebesgue ↑ Riemann

where $\{f \geq y\} := \{x \in [0, 1] \mid f(x) \geq y\}$

When

$$\{f \geq y\} := \{x \in [0, 1] \mid f(x) \geq y\}$$

$$= f^{-1}([y, \infty))$$

(assuming $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$)

For Lebesgue's approach to work well, we want

$$\{f \geq y\} \in \mathcal{M} \quad (\text{= set of all Lebesgue measurable sets})$$

$\forall y$

Def: A measurable (Lebesgue) measurable function is $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $E \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f^{-1}([y, \infty)) \in \mathcal{M} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$

Prop: f msble iff

$$\{f \geq y\} \in \mathcal{M} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{f > y\} \in \mathcal{M} \quad \forall y$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{f \leq y\} \in \mathcal{M} \quad \forall y$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{f < y\} \in \mathcal{M} \quad \forall y$$

$\Leftrightarrow \{a < f < b\} \in \mathcal{M} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

Pf:

\mathcal{O} s'pose $\{f \geq y\} \in \mathcal{M} \quad \forall y$.

$\Rightarrow \{f > y\} \in \mathcal{M} \quad \forall y$

b/c $\{f > y\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f \geq y + \frac{1}{n}\}$

$\{f \geq y\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{f \geq y + \frac{1}{n}\}$

$\in \mathcal{M}$

...

Riemann \int : cts. f 's

as Lebesgue \int : msble f 's

To explore this analogy, we first recall some true facts about continuous f 's.

Given cts. $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

Q: Does f preserve openness? i.e.

if $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X$ is open, must $f(\mathcal{O})$ be open?

No: $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f(x) = 1 \quad \forall x$$

$$\Rightarrow f((0, 1)) = [1, 1].$$

Q: Must f preserve closedness?

No: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is
on f and n

• $f(x) = e^x$

$f((-\infty, 0]) = (0, 1]$

• $\arctan(\mathbb{R}) = (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$

Fact: cts f's preserve
compactness

Looks like cts f's
don't play well w/
topological properties,

BUT

Propⁿ

$f: X \rightarrow Y$ is
cts. $\iff f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \text{open}$

$\iff f^{-1}(C) = \text{closed} \forall \text{open } \emptyset, \forall \text{closed } C.$

Q: $f^{-1}(K) = \text{compact}$

$\forall \text{compact } K?$

No: $f(x) = 1 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

$\implies f^{-1}([1, 1]) = \mathbb{R}.$

$f: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$x \mapsto 1$

$f^{-1}([1, 1]) = (0, 1)$

Pf of Propⁿ



Pick \emptyset open.

Pick $x \in f^{-1}(\emptyset)$.

Want: $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$B_\delta(x) \subseteq f^{-1}(\emptyset)$

Since \emptyset is open,

$\exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $B_\varepsilon(f(x)) \subseteq \emptyset$

$\implies \exists \delta > 0$ s.t. $f(B_\delta(x)) \subseteq B_\varepsilon(f(x))$

by cty of f .

$\implies f(B_\delta(x)) \subseteq \emptyset$

$\implies B_\delta(x) \subseteq f^{-1}(\emptyset).$

This proves \implies .

\Leftarrow is exercise. \square

Propⁿ: f is msble

iff $f^{-1}(\emptyset) \in \mathcal{M} \forall \text{open } \emptyset$

iff $f^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{M} \forall \text{closed } C.$

Corollary: f cts $\implies f$ msble.

Other analogues of
ch properties:

Propⁿ: Finite sums
and products of msble
f's are msble.

Quasi-analogues of cts props

Recall if f, g cts,
then $f \circ g$ is cts.

What about if f, g msble,
must $f \circ g$ msble?

Half-yes:

It's possible for
msble \circ cts \neq msble.

But

Propⁿ: cts \circ msble = msble.

More precisely:

If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cts
and $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ msble

then $f \circ g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is msble.

Pf: E.T.S. $(f \circ g)^{-1}(0) \in M$

i.e. $g^{-1}(f^{-1}(0)) \in M$ ^{Open} \emptyset .

And it is!

b/c f cts

$$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(0) = \text{open}$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{-1}(f^{-1}(0)) \in \text{add.}$$

Non-analogues of cts fⁿ
props

Q: Given $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cts
s.t. $f(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \forall x$
exists $\forall x \in [0, 1]$. Must

f be cts on $[0, 1]$?

No: $f_n(x) := x^n$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n = \begin{cases} 1 & x=1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\forall x \in [0, 1] = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

which isn't cts on $[0, 1]$.

What if we weaken
the hypothesis?

Q: Since $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
cts

s.t. $\forall x \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(x) := \sup \{f_n(x)\}$$

Must f be cts on $[0, 1]$?

No: $f_n(x) = 1 - x^n$,

$$\text{then } \sup f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases} \text{ on } [0, 1]$$

which isn't
cts.

Also, cty ~~isn't~~ isn't
preserved under \limsup
or \liminf .

But:

Propⁿ: If $\{f_n\}$ msble

then

$\sup f_n(x)$, $\inf f_n(x)$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x), \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$$

are all msble when they
exist.