

## THE SECOND DERIVATIVE TEST

LEO GOLDBAKHER

Suppose a function  $F(x)$  satisfies  $F'(a) = 0$ . In many situations, it is easy to determine whether  $F$  has a maximum, minimum, or neither at  $a$  by considering the behaviour of the derivative  $F'$  slightly to the left and right of  $a$ . For example, we saw in lecture that the function  $F(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2}$  must have a maximum at 0, since  $F'(x) > 0$  for all  $x < 0$  (so  $F$  is increasing to the left of 0), and  $F'(x) < 0$  for all  $x > 0$  (so  $F$  is decreasing to the right of 0).

This approach always works in principle, but is occasionally difficult in practice, as we saw with the example  $g(x) = x^5 + 10x^3 - 80x + 2$ . We determined easily enough that  $g'(x) = 0$  iff  $x = \pm\sqrt{2}$ . The next natural question is, what is the behaviour of  $g$  at these points? In principle, one can do the same procedure as above: determine the behaviour of  $g'(x)$  slightly to the right and left of  $\pm\sqrt{2}$ , and go from there. This is not so easy to do without a calculator! However, there's a trick. Instead of considering the first derivative near  $\sqrt{2}$ , for example, we considered the second derivative at  $\sqrt{2}$ . We have  $g''(x) = 20x(x^2 + 3)$ , whence  $g''(\sqrt{2}) > 0$ . This tells us that  $g'(x)$  is increasing in a neighbourhood of  $\sqrt{2}$ . Since  $g'(\sqrt{2}) = 0$ , we deduce that  $g'(x) < 0$  for  $x$  slightly less than  $\sqrt{2}$ , and  $g'(x) > 0$  for  $x$  slightly larger than  $\sqrt{2}$ . This in turn implies that  $g$  is decreasing to the left of  $\sqrt{2}$ , and increasing to the right of  $\sqrt{2}$ . So,  $g$  must have a minimum at  $\sqrt{2}$ !

More generally, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem** (Second Derivative Test). *Suppose  $g'(a) = 0$  and  $g''(a) > 0$ . Then  $g$  has a local minimum at  $a$ . Similarly, if  $g'(a) = 0$  and  $g''(a) < 0$ , then  $g$  has a local maximum at  $a$ .*

Here's a not entirely rigorous proof of this. It is a great exercise to think through how to make it completely formal. After doing this on your own, check out Spivak's version of the proof.

**“Proof”**. Suppose  $g''(a) > 0$ . Then  $g'(x)$  is increasing at  $a$ . It follows that for all  $x$  slightly to the left of  $a$ ,  $g'(x) < g'(a)$ , and for all  $x$  slightly to the right of  $a$ ,  $g'(x) > g'(a)$ . Since  $g'(a) = 0$ , this means  $g'(x) < 0$  for  $x$  slightly less than  $a$ , and  $g'(x) > 0$  for  $x$  slightly larger than  $a$ . But this implies that  $g$  is decreasing to the left of  $a$ , and increasing to the right of  $a$ . Finally, we deduce that  $g$  must have a minimum at  $a$ .

A similar argument gives the corresponding result when  $g''(a) < 0$ .

“QED”

[HTTP://WEB.WILLIAMS.EDU/MATHEMATICS/LG5/](http://web.williams.edu/mathematics/LG5/)

E-mail address: [Leo.Goldmakher@williams.edu](mailto:Leo.Goldmakher@williams.edu)