Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography

When Almost All Sets Are Difference Dominated

Steven J Miller Williams College

Steven.J.Miller@williams.edu

http://web.williams.edu/Mathematics/sjmiller/public_html/

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Number Theory Seminar, March 26, 2013

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations 000000000000	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
Gam	eplan				

- History of the subject.
- Main results and proofs:
 - Constructing Families
 - Phase transition
 - More summands
 - ◊ k-Generational.
- Describe open problems.

Joint with: Peter Hegarty, Ginny Hogan, Geoffrey Iyer, Oleg Lazarev, Brooke Orosz, Dan Scheinerman, Liyang Zhang.



Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography

Introduction



A finite set of integers, |A| its size. Form

- Sumset: $A + A = \{a_i + a_j : a_j, a_j \in A\}$.
- Difference set: $A A = \{a_i a_j : a_j, a_j \in A\}$.

Arise in Goldbach's Problem, Twin Primes, Fermat's Last Theorem,



A finite set of integers, |A| its size. Form

- Sumset: $A + A = \{a_i + a_j : a_j, a_j \in A\}$.
- Difference set: $A A = \{a_i a_j : a_j, a_j \in A\}$.

Arise in Goldbach's Problem, Twin Primes, Fermat's Last Theorem,

Definition

We say *A* is difference dominated if |A - A| > |A + A|, balanced if |A - A| = |A + A| and sum dominated (or an MSTD set) if |A + A| > |A - A|.



Intro ○●	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Que	stions				

Expect generic set to be difference dominated:

- addition is commutative, subtraction isn't:
- Generic pair (x, y) gives 1 sum, 2 differences.

Intro ⊙●	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Ques	stions				

Expect generic set to be difference dominated:

- addition is commutative, subtraction isn't:
- Generic pair (x, y) gives 1 sum, 2 differences.

Questions

- Do there exist sum-dominated sets?
- If yes, how many?

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
00	000000	00000000000000	0000000000000	00000	000

Examples

R

Intro 00	Examples ●○○○○○	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Eva	mplas				

- Conway: {0, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 14}.
- Marica (1969): {0, 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 12, 14, 15}.
- Freiman and Pigarev (1973): {0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 21, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29}.
- Computer search of random subsets of {1,...,100}: {2,6,7,9,13,14,16,18,19,22,23,25,30,31,33,37,39, 41,42,45,46,47,48,49,51,52,54,57,58,59,61,64,65, 66,67,68,72,73,74,75,81,83,84,87,88,91,93,94,95, 98,100}.
- Recently infinite families (Hegarty, Nathanson).

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
	00000				

Infinite Families

Key observation

If A is an arithmetic progression, |A + A| = |A - A|.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
	00000				

Infinite Families

Key observation

If A is an arithmetic progression, |A + A| = |A - A|.

Proof:

• WLOG,
$$A = \{0, 1, ..., n\}$$
 as $A \rightarrow \alpha A + \beta$ doesn't change $|A + A|$, $|A - A|$.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
	00000				

Infinite Families

Key observation

If A is an arithmetic progression, |A + A| = |A - A|.

Proof:

- WLOG, $A = \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ as $A \rightarrow \alpha A + \beta$ doesn't change |A + A|, |A A|.
- $A + A = \{0, ..., 2n\}, A A = \{-n, ..., n\}$, both of size 2n + 1.

Drov		notructions			
Intro 00	Examples 00000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000

Most constructions perturb an arithmetic progression.

Example:

• MSTD set $A = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 12, 14\}.$

• $A = \{0,2\} \cup \{3,7,11\} \cup (14 - \{0,2\}) \cup \{4\}.$

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
	000000				

Example (Nathanson)

Theorem

 $\begin{array}{l} m, d, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m \geq 4, 1 \leq d \leq m-1, d \neq m/2, k \geq 3 \text{ if} \\ d < m/2 \text{ else } k \geq 4. \text{ Let} \\ \bullet B = [0, m-1] \setminus \{d\}. \\ \bullet L = \{m-d, 2m-d, \ldots, km-d\}. \\ \bullet a^* = (k+1)m-2d. \\ \bullet A^* = B \cup L \cup (a^*-B). \\ \bullet A = A^* \cup \{m\}. \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} h = A^* \cup \{m\}. \\ Then A \text{ is an MSTD set.} \end{array}$

Note: gives exponentially low density of MSTD sets.

New Explicit Constructions: Results and Notation

Previous best explicit sub-family of $\{1, ..., n\}$ gave density of $C_1 n^d / 2^{n/2}$.

Our new family gives C_2/n^2 , almost a positive percent.

Current record by Zhao: C_3/n .

Notation:

- $[a, b] = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : a \le k \le b\}.$
- A is a P_n-set if its sumset and difference sets contain all but the first and last n possible elements (may or may not contain some of these fringe elements).

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
	000000				

New Construction

Theorem (Miller-Orosz-Scheinerman '09)

- $A = L \cup R$ be a P_n , MSTD set where $L \subset [1, n]$, $R \subset [n + 1, 2n]$, and $1, 2n \in A$.
- Fix a $k \ge n$ and let m be arbitrary.
- M any subset of [n + k + 1, n + k + m] st no run of more than k missing elements. Assume n + k + 1 ∉ M.
- Set $A(M) = L \cup O_1 \cup M \cup O_2 \cup R'$, where $O_1 = [n+1, n+k]$, $O_2 = [n+k+m+1, n+2k+m]$, and R' = R + 2k + m.

Then A(M) is an MSTD set, and $\exists C > 0$ st the percentage of subsets of $\{0, ..., r\}$ that are in this family (and thus are MSTD sets) is at least C/r^2 .

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography

Phase Transition



X random variable with density f(x) means

•
$$f(x) \geq 0;$$

•
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x}) = 1;$$

•
$$\operatorname{Prob}(X \in [a, b]) = \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Key quantities:

- Expected (Average) Value: $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int xf(x)dx$.
- Variance: $\sigma^2 = \int (x \mathbb{E}[X])^2 f(x) dx$.

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography

Binomial model

Binomial model, parameter p(n)

Each $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ is in *A* with probability p(n).

Consider uniform model (p(n) = 1/2):

• Let $A \in \{0, ..., n\}$. Most elements in $\{0, ..., 2n\}$ in A + A and in $\{-n, ..., n\}$ in A - A.

•
$$\mathbb{E}[|A+A|] = 2n - 11$$
, $\mathbb{E}[|A-A|] = 2n - 7$.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
		000000000000			

Martin and O'Bryant '06

Theorem

Let A be chosen from $\{0, ..., N\}$ according to the binomial model with constant parameter p (thus $k \in A$ with probability p). At least $k_{SD;p}2^{N+1}$ subsets are sum dominated.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
		000000000000			

Martin and O'Bryant '06

Theorem

Let A be chosen from $\{0, ..., N\}$ according to the binomial model with constant parameter p (thus $k \in A$ with probability p). At least $k_{SD;p}2^{N+1}$ subsets are sum dominated.

•
$$k_{\text{SD};1/2} \ge 10^{-7}$$
, expect about 10^{-3} .

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
		00000000000000			

Martin and O'Bryant '06

Theorem

Let A be chosen from $\{0, ..., N\}$ according to the binomial model with constant parameter p (thus $k \in A$ with probability p). At least $k_{SD;p}2^{N+1}$ subsets are sum dominated.

•
$$k_{\text{SD};1/2} \ge 10^{-7}$$
, expect about 10^{-3} .

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Nota	ation				

•
$$X \sim f(N)$$
 means $\forall \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0, \exists N_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2} \text{ st } \forall N \ge N_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$
 $\operatorname{Prob} (X \notin [(1 - \epsilon_1)f(N), (1 + \epsilon_1)f(N)]) < \epsilon_2.$

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition ○○○●○○○○○○○○○	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Nota	ation				

•
$$X \sim f(N)$$
 means $\forall \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$, $\exists N_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$ st $\forall N \ge N_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$
Prob $(X \notin [(1 - \epsilon_1)f(N), (1 + \epsilon_1)f(N)]) < \epsilon_2$.

•
$$S = |A + A|, D = |A - A|,$$

 $S^{c} = 2N + 1 - S, D^{c} = 2N + 1 - D.$

Intro 00	Examples	Phase Transition ○○○●○○○○○○○○○	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
No	otation				

•
$$X \sim f(N)$$
 means $\forall \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$, $\exists N_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$ st $\forall N \ge N_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}$

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(X \notin \left[(1-\epsilon_1)f(N), (1+\epsilon_1)f(N)\right]\right) < \epsilon_2.$$

•
$$S = |A + A|, D = |A - A|,$$

 $S^{c} = 2N + 1 - S, D^{c} = 2N + 1 - D.$

New model: Binomial with parameter p(N):

•
$$1/N = o(p(N))$$
 and $p(N) = o(1)$;

•
$$\operatorname{Prob}(k \in A) = p(N).$$

Conjecture (Martin-O'Bryant)

As $N \to \infty$, A is a.s. difference dominated.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
		0000000000000000			

Main Result

Theorem (Hegarty-Miller)

$$p(N) \text{ as above, } g(x) = 2 \frac{e^{-x} - (1-x)}{x}.$$

• $p(N) = o(N^{-1/2}): \mathcal{D} \sim 2S \sim (Np(N))^2;$
• $p(N) = cN^{-1/2}: \mathcal{D} \sim g(c^2)N, S \sim g\left(\frac{c^2}{2}\right)N$
 $(c \to 0, \mathcal{D}/S \to 2; c \to \infty, \mathcal{D}/S \to 1);$
• $N^{-1/2} = o(p(N)): S^c \sim 2\mathcal{D}^c \sim 4/p(N)^2.$

Can generalize to binary linear forms or arbitrarily many summands, still have critical threshold.

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition ○○○○●○○○○○○○	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Inpu	ts				

Key input: recent strong concentration results of Kim and Vu (Applications: combinatorial number theory, random graphs, ...).

Need to allow dependent random variables.

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition ○○○○●○○○○○○	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Inpu	ıts				

Key input: recent strong concentration results of Kim and Vu (Applications: combinatorial number theory, random graphs, ...).

Need to allow dependent random variables.

Sketch of proofs: $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}^c, \mathcal{D}^c\}$.

- Prove E[X] behaves asymptotically as claimed;
- 2 Prove \mathcal{X} is strongly concentrated about mean.



Only need new strong concentration for $N^{-1/2} = o(p(N))$.

Will assume $p(N) = o(N^{-1/2})$ as proofs are elementary (i.e., Chebyshev: $Prob(|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| \ge k\sigma_Y) \le 1/k^2)$).



Only need new strong concentration for $N^{-1/2} = o(p(N))$.

Will assume $p(N) = o(N^{-1/2})$ as proofs are elementary (i.e., Chebyshev: $Prob(|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| \ge k\sigma_Y) \le 1/k^2)$).

For convenience let $p(N) = N^{-\delta}$, $\delta \in (1/2, 1)$.

IID binary indicator variables:

$$X_{n;N} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } N^{-\delta} \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - N^{-\delta} \end{cases}$$

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{n;N}, \mathbb{E}[X] = N^{1-\delta}.$$

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition ○○○○○○●○○○○○	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography

Proof

Lemma

$$P_{1}(N) = 4N^{-(1-\delta)},$$

$$\mathcal{O} = \#\{(m,n) : m < n \in \{1, \dots, N\} \cap A\}$$

With probability at least $1 - P_{1}(N)$ have

$$X \in \left[\frac{1}{2}N^{1-\delta}, \frac{3}{2}N^{1-\delta}\right].$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}N^{1-\delta}(\frac{1}{2}N^{1-\delta}-1)}{2} \le \mathcal{O} \le \frac{\frac{3}{2}N^{1-\delta}(\frac{3}{2}N^{1-\delta}-1)}{2}.$$

Intro	Examples 000000	Phase Transition ○○○○○○●○○○○○	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000

Proof

Lemma

$$P_{1}(N) = 4N^{-(1-\delta)},$$

$$\mathcal{O} = \#\{(m,n) : m < n \in \{1, \dots, N\} \cap A\}$$

With probability at least $1 - P_{1}(N)$ have

$$X \in \left[\frac{1}{2}N^{1-\delta}, \frac{3}{2}N^{1-\delta}\right].$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{2}N^{1-\delta}(\frac{1}{2}N^{1-\delta}-1)}{2} \le \mathcal{O} \le \frac{\frac{3}{2}N^{1-\delta}(\frac{3}{2}N^{1-\delta}-1)}{2}.$$

Proof:

- (1) is Chebyshev: $\operatorname{Var}(X) = N\operatorname{Var}(X_{n;N}) \leq N^{1-\delta}$.
- (2) follows from (1) and $\binom{r}{2}$ ways to choose 2 from *r*.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliograp
		00000000000000			

Concentration

Lemma

•
$$f(\delta) = \min\left(rac{1}{2}, rac{3\delta - 1}{2}
ight)$$
, $g(\delta)$ satisfies $0 < g(\delta) < f(\delta)$.

•
$$p(N) = N^{-\delta}, \ \delta \in (1/2, 1), \ P_1(N) = 4N^{-(1-\delta)}, \ P_2(N) = CN^{-(f(\delta)-g(\delta))}.$$

With probability at least $1 - P_1(N) - P_2(N)$ have $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{S} = 2 + O(N^{-g(\delta)})$.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliog
		00000000000000			

aphy

Concentration

Lemma

•
$$f(\delta) = \min\left(rac{1}{2}, rac{3\delta - 1}{2}
ight)$$
, $g(\delta)$ satisfies $0 < g(\delta) < f(\delta)$.

•
$$p(N) = N^{-\delta}, \ \delta \in (1/2, 1), \ P_1(N) = 4N^{-(1-\delta)}, \ P_2(N) = CN^{-(f(\delta)-g(\delta))}.$$

With probability at least $1 - P_1(N) - P_2(N)$ have $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{S} = 2 + O(N^{-g(\delta)})$.

Proof: Show
$$\mathcal{D} \sim 2\mathcal{O} + O(N^{3-4\delta})$$
, $\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{O} + O(N^{3-4\delta})$.

As O is of size $N^{2-2\delta}$ with high probability, need $2-2\delta > 3-4\delta$ or $\delta > 1/2$.



Contribution from 'diagonal' terms lower order, ignore.

Difficulty: (m, n) and (m', n') could yield same differences.



Contribution from 'diagonal' terms lower order, ignore.

Difficulty: (m, n) and (m', n') could yield same differences.

Notation: $m < n, m' < n', m \le m'$,

$$Y_{m,n,m',n'} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n-m=n'-m' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$


Contribution from 'diagonal' terms lower order, ignore.

Difficulty: (m, n) and (m', n') could yield same differences.

Notation: $m < n, m' < n', m \le m'$,

$$Y_{m,n,m',n'} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n-m=n'-m' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[Y] &\leq N^3 \cdot N^{-4\delta} + N^2 \cdot N^{-3\delta} \leq 2N^{3-4\delta}. \text{ As } \delta > 1/2, \\ \#\{\text{bad pairs}\} \lll \mathcal{O}. \end{split}$$

Claim: $\sigma_Y \leq N^{r(\delta)}$ with $r(\delta) = \frac{1}{2} \max(3 - 4\delta, 5 - 7\delta)$. This and Chebyshev conclude proof of theorem.



Cannot use CLT as $Y_{m,n,m',n'}$ are not independent.

Use $\operatorname{Var}(U + V) \leq 2\operatorname{Var}(U) + 2\operatorname{Var}(V)$.



Cannot use CLT as $Y_{m,n,m',n'}$ are not independent.

Use $\operatorname{Var}(U + V) \leq 2\operatorname{Var}(U) + 2\operatorname{Var}(V)$.

Write

$$\sum Y_{m,n,m',n'} = \sum U_{m,n,m',n'} + \sum V_{m,n,n'}$$

with all indices distinct (at most one in common, if so must be n = m').

$$\operatorname{Var}(U) = \sum \operatorname{Var}(U_{m,n,m',n'}) + 2 \sum_{\substack{(m,n,m',n') \neq \\ (\widetilde{m},\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m'},\widetilde{n'})}} \operatorname{CoVar}(U_{m,n,m',n'}, U_{\widetilde{m},\widetilde{n},\widetilde{m'},\widetilde{n'}})$$

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition ○○○○○○○○○●○	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Anal	l yzing Va	$r(U_{m,n,m',n'})$			

At most N³ tuples.

Each has variance $N^{-4\delta} - N^{-8\delta} \leq N^{-4\delta}$.

Thus $\sum \operatorname{Var}(U_{m,n,m',n'}) \leq N^{3-4\delta}$.

Intro Examples occord by the second second

- All 8 indices distinct: independent, covariance of 0.
- 7 indices distinct: At most N³ choices for first tuple, at most N² for second, get

$$\mathbb{E}[U_{(1)}U_{(2)}] - \mathbb{E}[U_{(1)}]\mathbb{E}[U_{(2)}] = N^{-7\delta} - N^{-4\delta}N^{-4\delta} \le N^{-7\delta}$$

• Argue similarly for rest, get $\ll N^{5-7\delta} + N^{3-4\delta}$.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography

Generalizations

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations ●○○○○○○○○○○	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Nota	ation				

• As adding sets and not multiplying, set

$$kA = \underbrace{A + \cdots + A}_{\text{k times}}$$
.

•
$$[a, b] = \{a, a+1, ..., b\}.$$

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations ○●○○○○○○○○○	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
01105	tions				

• Can we find a set A such that |kA + kA| > |kA - kA|?

- Can we find a set A such that |A + A| > |A A| and |2A + 2A| > |2A 2A|?
- Can we find a set A such that |kA + kA| > |kA kA| for all k?

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations ○o●○○○○○○○○○	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Que	stions				

- Can we find a set A such that |kA + kA| > |kA kA|? Yes.
- Can we find a set *A* such that |A + A| > |A A| and |2A + 2A| > |2A 2A|? Yes.
- Can we find a set A such that |kA + kA| > |kA kA| for all k? No. (No such set exists, but can do for arbitrarily many k.)

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000		

Initial Observations

Question: Can we find A with |kA + kA| > |kA - kA|?



 One set gives infinitely many (generalize Miller-Orosz-Scheinerman), more work get positive percentage.



- One set gives infinitely many (generalize Miller-Orosz-Scheinerman), more work get positive percentage.
- How do we find one set?



- One set gives infinitely many (generalize Miller-Orosz-Scheinerman), more work get positive percentage.
- How do we find one set?
- Computer simulations? We couldn't find a set for k = 2; the probability of finding some of these sets is less than 10^{-16} .



- One set gives infinitely many (generalize Miller-Orosz-Scheinerman), more work get positive percentage.
- How do we find one set?
- Computer simulations? We couldn't find a set for k = 2; the probability of finding some of these sets is less than 10^{-16} .

If A is symmetric (A = c - A for some c) then

$$|\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}| = |\mathbf{A} + (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{A})| = |\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}|.$$

Intro Examples Phase Transition Generalizations Ongoing Research / Open Problems

Bibliography

Example: |2A + 2A| > |2A - 2A|

$A = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\} \cup [33, 56] \cup \{79, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89\}$



Example: |2A + 2A| > |2A - 2A|

$A \;=\; \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\} \cup [33, 56] \cup \{79, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89\}$



 Intro
 Examples
 Phase Transition
 Generalizations
 Ongoing Research / Open Problems
 Bibliography

 oo
 oococoo
 oocoo
 oocoo

Example: |2A + 2A| > |2A - 2A|

$A = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\} \cup [33, 56] \cup \{79, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89\}$ A + A + A(89 - 33)(89 - 10)33 145 (178-33) 15 168 178 (178-20) (178-10) 27 33 234 237 247 257 (0+9) (0+18) (0+27)(0+33)(267 - 30)(267-20) (267 - 10)

Example: |2A + 2A| > |2A - 2A|

$A = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\} \cup [33, 56] \cup \{79, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89\}$ A + A + A + A33 (89 - 33)(89 - 10)145 (178-33) 15 168 178 (178-20) (178-10) 234 237 247 257 (0+9) (0+18) (0+27)(0+33)(267 - 30)(267-20) (267-10) 356 (0+9) (0+18) (0+27)(0+33)(356-30) (356-20) (356-10)

Intro Examples Phase Transition Generalizations Ongoing Research / Open Problems

Bibliography

Example: |2A + 2A| > |2A - 2A|

$A \;=\; \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\} \cup [33, 56] \cup \{79, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89\}$



 Intro
 Examples
 Phase Transition
 Generalizations
 Ongoing Research / Open Problems
 Bibliography

 00
 000000
 000000000000
 000000
 000000
 00000

Example: |2A + 2A| > |2A - 2A|

$A = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\} \cup [33, 56] \cup \{79, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89\}$ A + A - A(89-33) (89-10) 33 145 (178-33) 158 168 178 (178-20) (178-10) 145 158 168 178 (-89+9)(-89+18)(-89+27) (-89 ± 33) (178 - 30)(178 - 20)(178 - 10)

Example: |2A + 2A| > |2A - 2A|

$A = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9\} \cup [33, 56] \cup \{79, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 89\}$ A + A - A - A(89-33) (89-10) 33 145 (178-33) 15 168 178 (178-20) (178 - 10)-71 148 158 168 178 (-89 ± 9) (-89+18)(-89+27) (-89 ± 33) (178 - 30)(178 - 20)(178 - 10)-178 158 178 -169-145148 (-178+9)(-178+18)(-178+27)(-178+33)(178 - 30)(178 - 20)(178 - 10)

Why the construction worked: Generalization to xA - yA

• Write $A = L \sqcup R$ (left and right).

Why the construction worked: Generalization to xA - yA

- Write $A = L \sqcup R$ (left and right).
- *L*, *R* almost symmetric, *R* slightly longer.

Why the construction worked: Generalization to xA - yA

- Write $A = L \sqcup R$ (left and right).
- *L*, *R* almost symmetric, *R* slightly longer.
- Left of *xA* − *yA* is *xL* − *yR* (right is *yL* − *xR*). As |*R*| > |*L*|, length of fringe depends on number of copies of *L*, *R*.

Why the construction worked: Generalization to xA - yA

- Write $A = L \sqcup R$ (left and right).
- *L*, *R* almost symmetric, *R* slightly longer.
- Left of xA yA is xL yR (right is yL xR). As |R| > |L|, length of fringe depends on number of copies of L, R.
- Our example: (1) In A + A + A + A right hits middle, no gaps, left 1 gap. (2) In A + A A A left is L + L R R, length b/w L + L + L + L and R + R + R + R and 1 gap. Right is R + R L L, also 1 short, so A + A A A misses 2.

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations ○○○○○○●○○○○	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Gen	eralizatio	on			

After dealing with some technical obstructions, we can generalize:

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations ○○○○○○●○○○○	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Gen	eralizatio	on			

After dealing with some technical obstructions, we can generalize:

Theorem

For all nontrivial choices of s_1 , d_1 , s_2 , d_2 , $\exists A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|s_1A - d_1A| > |s_2A - d_2A|$.

Intro oo	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations ○○○○○○●○○○○	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000
Gen	eralizatio	on			

After dealing with some technical obstructions, we can generalize:

Theorem

For all nontrivial choices of s_1 , d_1 , s_2 , d_2 , $\exists A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|s_1A - d_1A| > |s_2A - d_2A|$.

Example: We can have |A + A + A + A| > |A + A + A - A|:

 $A = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 33, 34, 35, 50, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60\}.$

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000		

Question: Does a set A exist such that |A + A| > |A - A|and |A + A + A + A| > |A + A - A - A|?

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
00	000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000	000

Question: Does a set A exist such that |A + A| > |A - A|and |A + A + A + A| > |A + A - A - A|?

Equivalently: *A*, 2*A* are sum-dominant. We say *A* is 2-generational.

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography

Question: Does a set A exist such that |A + A| > |A - A|and |A + A + A + A| > |A + A - A - A|?

Equivalently: *A*, 2*A* are sum-dominant. We say *A* is 2-generational.

More generally, A is k-generational if |cA + cA| > |cA - cA| for all $1 \le c \le k$.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
			00000000000000		

Question: Does a set A exist such that |A + A| > |A - A|and |A + A + A + A| > |A + A - A - A|?

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
			000000000000000000000000000000000000000		

Question: Does a set A exist such that |A + A| > |A - A|and |A + A + A + A| > |A + A - A - A|? Yes!

 $\begin{aligned} & A = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 26, 27, 29, 30, 33, 37, 38, 40, 41, 42, 43, \\ & 46, 49, 50, 52, 53, 54, 72, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80 \} \end{aligned}$

Theorem

We can find a k-generational set for all k.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
			0000000000000		

Question: Does a set A exist such that |A + A| > |A - A|and |A + A + A + A| > |A + A - A - A|? Yes!

 $\begin{aligned} & A = \{0, 1, 3, 4, 7, 26, 27, 29, 30, 33, 37, 38, 40, 41, 42, 43, \\ & 46, 49, 50, 52, 53, 54, 72, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80 \} \end{aligned}$

Theorem

We can find a k-generational set for all k.

Idea of proof: Find A_j such that $|jA_j + jA_j| > |jA_j - jA_j|$ for each $1 \le j \le k$.

Combine the A_j 's using the method of base expansion.



Base Expansion: For sets A_1, A_2 and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large (relative to A_1, A_2) the set

$$A = m \cdot A_1 + A_2$$

behaves like the direct product $A_1 \times A_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. (here multiplication is the usual scalar multiplication)



Base Expansion: For sets A_1, A_2 and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large (relative to A_1, A_2) the set

$$A = m \cdot A_1 + A_2$$

behaves like the direct product $A_1 \times A_2 \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. (here multiplication is the usual scalar multiplication)

In particular:

$$|\mathbf{x}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{y}\mathbf{A}| = |\mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}_1 - \mathbf{y}\mathbf{A}_1| \cdot |\mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}_2 - \mathbf{y}\mathbf{A}_2|$$

whenever x + y is small relative to *m*.
Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations ○○○○○○○○○○●	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000

Generalization

Theorem

For nontrivial x_j , y_j , w_j , z_j ($2 \le j \le k$), we can find an A such that $|x_jA - y_jA| > |w_jA - z_jA|$ for all j.

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography 000

Generalization

Theorem

For nontrivial
$$x_j$$
, y_j , w_j , z_j ($2 \le j \le k$), we can find an A such that $|x_jA - y_jA| > |w_jA - z_jA|$ for all j .

Example: We can find an A such that

$$|A + A| > |A - A|$$

$$|A + A - A| > |A + A + A|$$

$$|5A - 2A| > |A - 6A|$$

$$\vdots$$

1870A - 141A| > |1817A - 194A|

.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography

Open Problems

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
				0000	

Current and Open Problems

- Similar results for arbitrary finite groups (with Kevin Vissuet).
- Generalize phase transition results for more summands (SMALL '13 hopefully).
- Generalize to subsets of Z⁺ × Z⁺ (SMALL '13 hopefully).
- Study the dependence of the divot on p(N).

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
				0000	

Divot: Lazarev - Miller - O'Bryant

Let m(k) be the probability a uniformly drawn subset A of [0, n] has A + A missing exactly k summands as $n \to \infty$.



Figure: Experimental values of m(k), vertical bars error (often smaller than dot!).

What happens if draw A from binomial with parameter p(N)?

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
				00000	

Generalization of main result

Theorem (Hegarty-M): Binomial model with parameter p(N) as before, u, v be non-zero integers with $u \ge |v|$, gcd(u, v) = 1 and $(u, v) \ne (1, 1)$. Put f(x, y) := ux + vy and let \mathcal{D}_f denote the random variable |f(A)|. Then the following three situations arise:

()
$$p(N) = o(N^{-1/2})$$
: Then

$$\mathcal{D}_f \sim (N \cdot p(N))^2.$$

2 $p(N) = c \cdot N^{-1/2}$ for some $c \in (0, \infty)$: Define the function $g_{u,v}: (0, \infty) \to (0, u + |v|)$ by

$$g_{u,v}(x) := (u+|v|) - 2|v|\left(rac{1-e^{-x}}{x}
ight) - (u-|v|)e^{-x}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{D}_f \sim g_{u,v}\left(\frac{c^2}{u}\right) N.$$

3 $N^{-1/2} = o(p(N))$: Let $\mathcal{D}_f^c := (u + |v|)N - \mathcal{D}_f$. Then $\mathcal{D}_f^c \sim \frac{2u|v|}{p(N)^2}$.

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
				00000	

Generalization of Hegarty-Miller

Let *f*, *g* be two binary linear forms. Say *f* dominates *g* for the parameter p(N) if, as $N \to \infty$, |f(A)| > |g(A)| almost surely when *A* is a random subset (binomial model with parameter p(N)). Theorem (Hegarty-M): $f(x, y) = u_1x + u_2y$ and $g(x, y) = u_2x + g_2y$, where $u_i \ge |v_i| > 0$, $gcd(u_i, v_i) = 1$ and $(u_2, v_2) \ne (u_1, \pm v_1)$. Let

$$\alpha(u,v):=\frac{1}{u^2}\left(\frac{|v|}{3}+\frac{u-|v|}{2}\right)=\frac{3u-|v|}{6u^2}.$$

The following two situations can be distinguished :

- $u_1 + |v_1| \ge u_2 + |v_2|$ and $\alpha(u_1, v_1) < \alpha(u_2, v_2)$. Then *f* dominates *g* for all *p* such that $N^{-3/5} = o(p(N))$ and p(N) = o(1). In particular, every other difference form dominates the form x y in this range.
- $u_1 + |v_1| > u_2 + |v_2|$ and $\alpha(u_1, v_1) > \alpha(u_2, v_2)$. Then there exists $c_{f,g} > 0$ such that one form dominates for $p(N) < cN^{-1/2}$ ($c < c_{f,g}$) and other dominates for $p(N) > cN^{-1/2}$ ($c > c_{f,g}$).

Intro oo	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems ○○○○●	Bibliography 000
Ope	n Proble	ms			

- One unresolved matter is the comparison of arbitrary difference forms in the range where $N^{-3/4} = O(p)$ and $p = O(N^{-3/5})$. Note that the property of one binary form dominating another is not monotone, or even convex.
- A very tantalizing problem is to investigate what happens while crossing a sharp threshold.
- One can ask if the various concentration estimates can be improved (i.e., made explicit).

Intro 00	Examples 000000	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems 00000	Bibliography 000
			Bibliograp	hy	



Bibliography

- P. V. Hegarty, Some explicit constructions of sets with more sums than differences (2007). Acta Arithmetica 130 (2007), no. 1, 61–77. http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0611582
- P. V. Hegarty and S. J. Miller, When almost all sets are difference dominated, Random Structures and Algorithms 35 (2009), no. 1, 118–136. http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.3417
- G. Hogan and S. J. Miller, *When Generalized Sumsets are Difference Dominated*, preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1301.5703
- G. Iyer, O. Lazarev, S. J. Miller and L. Zhang, *Finding and Counting MSTD sets*, to appear in the conference proceedings of the 2011 Combinatorial and Additive Number Theory Conference. http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.2719
- G. Iyer, O. Lazarev, S. J. Miller and L. Zhang, *Generalized More Sums Than Differences Sets*, Journal of Number Theory **132** (2012), no. 5, 1054–1073. http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.4500
- O. Lazarev, S. J. Miller and K. O'Bryant, *Distribution of Missing Sums in Sumsets*, to appear in Experimental Mathematics. http://arxiv.org/abs/1109.4700

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
					000

Bibliography (cont)

- J. Marica, On a conjecture of Conway, Canad. Math. Bull. 12 (1969), 233–234.
- G. Martin and K. O'Bryant, Many sets have more sums than differences. To appear in : Proceedings of CRM-Clay Conference on Additive Combinatorics, Montréal 2006.

http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0608131

- S. J. Miller, B. Orosz and D. Scheinerman, *Explicit constructions of infinite families of MSTD sets*, Journal of Number Theory **130** (2010), 1221–1233. http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.4621
- S. J. Miller, S. Pegado and S. Robinson, *Explicit Constructions of Large Families of Generalized More Sums Than Differences Sets*, Integers 12 (2012), #A30. http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.0605
- M. B. Nathanson, Problems in additive number theory, 1. To appear in : Proceedings of CRM-Clay Conference on Additive Combinatorics, Montréal 2006. http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0604340
- M. B. Nathanson, Sets with more sums than differences, Integers : Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory 7 (2007), Paper A5 (24pp). http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0608148

Intro	Examples	Phase Transition	Generalizations	Ongoing Research / Open Problems	Bibliography
					000

Bibliography (cont)

- M. B. Nathanson, K. O'Bryant, B. Orosz, I. Ruzsa and M. Silva, *Binary linear forms over finite sets of integers* (2007). To appear in Acta Arithmetica. http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0701001
- I. Z. Ruzsa, On the cardinality of A + A and A A, Combinatorics year (Keszthely, 1976), vol. 18, Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, North-HollandBolyai Tarsulat, 1978, 933–938.
- V. H. Vu, New bounds on nearly perfect matchings of hypergraphs: Higher codegrees do help, Random Structures and Algorithms 17 (2000), 29–63.
- V. H. Vu, Concentration of non-Lipschitz functions and Applications, Random Structures and Algorithms 20 (2002), no. 3, 262-316.
- Y. Zhao, Constructing MSTD Sets Using Bidirectional Ballot Sequences, Journal of Number Theory 130 (2010), no. 5, 1212–1220. http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.4442
- Y. Zhao, Sets Characterized by Missing Sums and Differences, Journal of Number Theory **131** (2011), 2107–2134.

http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.2292