From the Manhattan Project to Elliptic Curves: Introduction to Random Matrix Theory

Steven J Miller
Dept of Math/Stats, Williams College

sjm1@williams.edu, Steven.Miller.MC.96@aya.yale.edu
http://www.williams.edu/Mathematics/sjmiller

Williams College, July 27, 2016
Introduction
Goals

- Determine correct scale and statistics to study eigenvalues and zeros of $L$-functions.
- See similar behavior in different systems.
- Discuss the tools and techniques needed to prove the results.
**Fundamental Problem: Spacing Between Events**

**General Formulation:** Studying system, observe values at $t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots$.

**Question:** What rules govern the spacings between the $t_i$?
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Sketch of proofs

In studying many statistics, often three key steps:

1. Determine correct scale for events.

2. Develop an explicit formula relating what we want to study to something we understand.

3. Use an averaging formula to analyze the quantities above.

It is not always trivial to figure out what is the correct statistic to study!
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**Eigenvalue Review: I**

\[ z \in \mathbb{C} : z = x + iy \text{ with } i = \sqrt{-1}; \bar{z} = z^H = x - iy. \]

**Eigenvalue/Eigenvector:** \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{v} \neq 0 : \)

\[ A \mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}. \]

Can find by \( \det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \) but computational nightmare!

**Real Symmetric:** \( A = A^T \); **Hermitian:** \( A = A^H \) (complex conjugate transpose).

**Length of** \( \mathbf{v} \) **is** \( \sqrt{\mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{v}} \); **length**\(^2\) **is** \( \mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{v} \); \( \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{w} \).
A real implies eigenvalues real: If \( A \overrightarrow{v} = \lambda \overrightarrow{v} \) then

\[

\overrightarrow{v}^H A^H \overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{v}^H A \overrightarrow{v}
\]

\[

(A \overrightarrow{v})^H \overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{v}^H (A \overrightarrow{v})
\]

\[

(\lambda \overrightarrow{v})^H \overrightarrow{v} = \overrightarrow{v}^H (\lambda \overrightarrow{v})
\]

\[

\overline{\lambda} \overrightarrow{v}^H \overrightarrow{v} = \lambda \overrightarrow{v}^H \overrightarrow{v}
\]

\[

\overline{\lambda} \| \overrightarrow{v} \|^2 = \lambda \| \overrightarrow{v} \|^2,
\]

and thus as length is non-zero have \( \lambda = \overline{\lambda} \) and is real, and then get coefficients of \( \overrightarrow{v} \) real.

A complex Hermitian: similar proof shows eigenvalues real (coefficients can be complex).
**Eigenvalue Review: III**

Orthogonal: $Q^TQ = QQ^T = I$; Unitary: $U^HU = UU^H = I$.

**Spectral Theorem:** If $A$ is real symmetric or complex Hermitian than can diagonalize (real symmetric: $A = Q^T\Lambda Q$, complex Hermitian $A = U^H\Lambda U$).

*Proof:* ‘Trivial’ if distinct eigenvalues as each has an eigenvector, mutually orthogonal, choose unit length and let these be columns of $Q$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{v}_1^T A^T \vec{v}_2 &= \vec{v}_1^T A \vec{v}_2 \\
(A\vec{v}_1)^T \vec{v}_2 &= \vec{v}_1^T (A\vec{v}_2) \\
\lambda_1 \vec{v}_1^T \vec{v}_2 &= \lambda_2 \vec{v}_1^T \vec{v}_2.
\end{align*}
$$
Classical Random Matrix Theory

With Olivia Beckwith, Leo Goldmakher, Chris Hammond, Steven Jackson, Cap Khoury, Murat Koloğlu, Gene Kopp, Victor Luo, Adam Massey, Eve Ninsuwan, Vincent Pham, Karen Shen, Jon Sinsheimer, Fred Strauch, Nicholas Triantafillou, Wentao Xiong
Origins of Random Matrix Theory

Classical Mechanics: 3 Body Problem intractable.
Origins of Random Matrix Theory

Classical Mechanics: 3 Body Problem intractable.

Heavy nuclei (Uranium: 200+ protons / neutrons) worse!

Get some info by shooting high-energy neutrons into nucleus, see what comes out.

**Fundamental Equation:**

\[ H \psi_n = E_n \psi_n \]

- \( H \): matrix, entries depend on system
- \( E_n \): energy levels
- \( \psi_n \): energy eigenfunctions
Origins of Random Matrix Theory

- Statistical Mechanics: for each configuration, calculate quantity (say pressure).
- Average over all configurations – most configurations close to system average.
- Nuclear physics: choose matrix at random, calculate eigenvalues, average over matrices (real Symmetric \( A = A^T \), complex Hermitian \( \overline{A}^T = A \)).
Random Matrix Ensembles

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1N} \\
a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{1N} & a_{2N} & a_{3N} & \cdots & a_{NN}
\end{pmatrix} = A^T, \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji}
\]

Fix \( p \), define

\[
\text{Prob}(A) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} p(a_{ij}).
\]

This means

\[
\text{Prob} \left( A : a_{ij} \in [\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}] \right) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} \int_{x_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}}^{\beta_{ij}} p(x_{ij}) \, dx_{ij}.
\]

Want to understand eigenvalues of \( A \).


Eigenvalue Distribution

\[ \delta(x - x_0) \text{ is a unit point mass at } x_0: \]
\[ \int f(x) \delta(x - x_0) \, dx = f(x_0). \]
δ(x − x₀) is a unit point mass at x₀:
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\int f(x)\delta(x - x₀)dx = f(x₀).
\]

To each A, attach a probability measure:

\[
\mu_{A,N}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta \left( x - \frac{\lambda_i(A)}{2\sqrt{N}} \right)
\]
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**Eigenvalue Distribution**

\( \delta(x - x_0) \) is a unit point mass at \( x_0 \):
\[
\int f(x)\delta(x - x_0)\,dx = f(x_0).
\]

To each \( A \), attach a probability measure:

\[
\mu_{A,N}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta \left( x - \frac{\lambda_i(A)}{2\sqrt{N}} \right)
\]

\[
\int_{a}^{b} \mu_{A,N}(x)\,dx = \frac{\# \left\{ \lambda_i : \frac{\lambda_i(A)}{2\sqrt{N}} \in [a, b] \right\}}{N}
\]

\[
\text{k^{th} moment} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i(A)^k}{2^k N^{k+1/2}} = \frac{\text{Trace}(A^k)}{2^k N^{k+1/2}}.
\]
Wigner’s Semi-Circle Law

$N \times N$ real symmetric matrices, entries i.i.d.r.v. from a fixed $p(x)$ with mean 0, variance 1, and other moments finite. Then for almost all $A$, as $N \to \infty$

$$\mu_{A,N}(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - x^2} & \text{if } |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
SKETCH OF PROOF: Eigenvalue Trace Lemma

Want to understand the eigenvalues of $A$, but choose the matrix elements randomly and independently.

**Eigenvalue Trace Lemma**

Let $A$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_i(A)$. Then

$$\text{Trace}(A^k) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_i(A)^k,$$

where

$$\text{Trace}(A^k) = \sum_{i_1=1}^{N} \cdots \sum_{i_k=1}^{N} a_{i_1i_2} a_{i_2i_3} \cdots a_{i_Ni_1}.$$
SKETCH OF PROOF: Correct Scale

\[
\text{Trace}(A^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i(A)^2.
\]

By the Central Limit Theorem:

\[
\text{Trace}(A^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}a_{ji} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}^2 \sim N^2
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i(A)^2 \sim N^2
\]

Gives \( N\text{Ave}(\lambda_i(A)^2) \sim N^2 \) or \( \text{Ave}(\lambda_i(A)) \sim \sqrt{N} \).
SKETCH OF PROOF: Averaging Formula

Recall $k$-th moment of $\mu_{A,N}(x)$ is $\text{Trace}(A^k)/2^k N^{k/2+1}$.

Average $k$-th moment is

$$\int \cdots \int \frac{\text{Trace}(A^k)}{2^k N^{k/2+1}} \prod_{i \leq j} p(a_{ij}) \, da_{ij}.$$

Proof by method of moments: Two steps

- Show average of $k$-th moments converge to moments of semi-circle as $N \to \infty$;
- Control variance (show it tends to zero as $N \to \infty$).
SKETCH OF PROOF: Averaging Formula for Second Moment

Substituting into expansion gives

$$\frac{1}{2^2 N^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}^2 \cdot p(a_{11}) da_{11} \cdots p(a_{NN}) da_{NN}$$

Integration factors as

$$\int_{a_{ij}=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ij}^2 p(a_{ij}) da_{ij} \cdot \prod_{(k,l) \neq (i,j)} \int_{a_{kl}=-\infty}^{\infty} p(a_{kl}) da_{kl} = 1.$$ 

Higher moments involve more advanced combinatorics (Catalan numbers).
SKETCH OF PROOF: Averaging Formula for Higher Moments

Higher moments involve more advanced combinatorics (Catalan numbers).

\[
\frac{1}{2^k N^{k/2+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i_1=1}^{N} \cdots \sum_{i_k=1}^{N} a_{i_1 i_2} \cdots a_{i_k i_1} \prod_{i \leq j} p(a_{ij}) da_{ij}.
\]

Main contribution when the \(a_{i_{\ell} i_{\ell+1}}\)'s matched in pairs, not all matchings contribute equally (if did would get a Gaussian and not a semi-circle; this is seen in Real Symmetric Palindromic Toeplitz matrices).


http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0512146
Numerical examples

500 Matrices: Gaussian $400 \times 400$

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}$$
Numerical examples

Cauchy Distribution: \( p(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)} \)


GOE Conjecture:

As $N \rightarrow \infty$, the probability density of the spacing b/w consecutive normalized eigenvalues approaches a limit independent of $p$.

Until recently only known if $p$ is a Gaussian.

$$\text{GOE}(x) \approx \frac{\pi}{2} xe^{-\pi x^2/4}.$$

Numerical Experiment: Uniform Distribution

Let $p(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $|x| \leq 1$. 

5000: $300 \times 300$ uniform on $[-1, 1]$
Cauchy Distribution

Let \( p(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)} \).

![The local spacings of the central 3/5 of the eigenvalues of 5000 100x100 Cauchy matrices, normalized in batches of 20.](image-url)
Cauchy Distribution

Let $p(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$.
Random Graphs

Degree of a vertex = number of edges leaving the vertex.

Adjacency matrix: $a_{ij} = \text{number edges b/w Vertex i and Vertex j.}$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\
1 & 0 & 2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

These are Real Symmetric Matrices.
McKay’s Law (Kesten Measure) with $d = 3$

Density of Eigenvalues for $d$-regular graphs

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{d}{2\pi(d^2-x^2)} \sqrt{4(d-1)-x^2} & |x| \leq 2\sqrt{d-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
McKay’s Law (Kesten Measure) with $d = 6$

Fat Thin: fat enough to average, thin enough to get something different than semi-circle (though as $d \to \infty$ recover semi-circle).
3-Regular Graph with 2000 Vertices: Comparison with the GOE

Spacings between eigenvalues of 3-regular graphs and the GOE:
Real Symmetric Toeplitz Matrices
Chris Hammond and Steven J. Miller
Toeplitz Ensembles

Toeplitz matrix is of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    b_0 & b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_{N-1} \\
    b_{-1} & b_0 & b_1 & \cdots & b_{N-2} \\
    b_{-2} & b_{-1} & b_0 & \cdots & b_{N-3} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    b_{1-N} & b_{2-N} & b_{3-N} & \cdots & b_0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

- Will consider Real Symmetric Toeplitz matrices.
- Main diagonal zero, \( N - 1 \) independent parameters.
- Normalize Eigenvalues by \( \sqrt{N} \).
The $k^{th}$ moment of $\mu_{A,N}(x)$ is

$$M_k(A, N) = \frac{1}{N^{k+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i^k(A) = \frac{\text{Trace}(A^k)}{N^{k+1}}.$$
Even Moments

\[ M_{2k}(N) = \frac{1}{N^{k+1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_{2k} \leq N} \mathbb{E}(b_{|i_1-i_2|} b_{|i_2-i_3|} \cdots b_{|i_{2k}-i_1|}). \]

Main Term: \( b_j \)'s matched in pairs, say

\[ b_{|i_m-i_{m+1}|} = b_{|i_n-i_{n+1}|}, \quad x_m = |i_m - i_{m+1}| = |i_n - i_{n+1}|. \]

Two possibilities:

\[ i_m - i_{m+1} = i_n - i_{n+1} \quad \text{or} \quad i_m - i_{m+1} = -(i_n - i_{n+1}). \]

\((2k - 1)!! \) ways to pair, \( 2^k \) choices of sign.
Main Term: All Signs Negative (else lower order contribution)

\[ M_{2k}(N) = \frac{1}{N^{k+1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_{2k} \leq N} \mathbb{E}(b_{|i_1-i_2|} b_{|i_2-i_3|} \cdots b_{|i_{2k}-i_1|}). \]

Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) be the values of the \(|i_j - i_{j+1}|'s\), \( \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k \) the choices of sign. Define \( \tilde{x}_1 = i_1 - i_2, \tilde{x}_2 = i_2 - i_3, \ldots \):

\[
\begin{align*}
i_2 & = i_1 - \tilde{x}_1 \\
i_3 & = i_1 - \tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_2 \\
& \vdots \\
i_1 & = i_1 - \tilde{x}_1 - \cdots - \tilde{x}_{2k}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\tilde{x}_1 + \cdots + \tilde{x}_{2k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (1 + \epsilon_j) \eta_j x_j = 0, \quad \eta_j = \pm 1.
\]
Even Moments: Summary

Main Term: paired, all signs negative.

\[ M_{2k}(N) \leq (2k - 1)!! + O_k \left( \frac{1}{N} \right). \]

Bounded by Gaussian.
The Fourth Moment

\[
M_4(N) = \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \leq N} \mathbb{E}(b_{i_1-i_2} b_{i_2-i_3} b_{i_3-i_4} b_{i_4-i_1})
\]

Let \( x_j = |i_j - i_{j+1}| \).
The Fourth Moment

Case One: $x_1 = x_2, x_3 = x_4$:

$$i_1 - i_2 = -(i_2 - i_3) \text{ and } i_3 - i_4 = -(i_4 - i_1).$$

Implies

$$i_1 = i_3, \quad i_2 \text{ and } i_4 \text{ arbitrary.}$$

Left with $\mathbb{E}[b_{x_1}^2 b_{x_3}^2]$:

$$N^3 - N \text{ times get 1, } N \text{ times get } p_4 = \mathbb{E}[b_{x_1}^4].$$

Contributes 1 in the limit.
The Fourth Moment

\[ M_4(N) = \frac{1}{N^3} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \leq N} \mathbb{E}(b_{i_1-i_2} b_{i_2-i_3} b_{i_3-i_4} b_{i_4-i_1}) \]

**Case Two: Diophantine Obstruction:** \( x_1 = x_3 \) and \( x_2 = x_4 \).

\[ i_1 - i_2 = -(i_3 - i_4) \quad \text{and} \quad i_2 - i_3 = -(i_4 - i_1). \]

This yields

\[ i_1 = i_2 + i_4 - i_3, \quad i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, \ldots, N\}. \]

If \( i_2, i_4 \geq \frac{2N}{3} \) and \( i_3 < \frac{N}{3}, i_1 > N \): at most \( (1 - \frac{1}{27})N^3 \) valid choices.
The Fourth Moment

**Theorem: Fourth Moment:** Let $p_4$ be the fourth moment of $p$. Then

$$M_4(N) = 2\frac{2}{3} + O_{p_4}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

500 Toeplitz Matrices, $400 \times 400$. 
Main Result

**Theorem: HM ’05**

For real symmetric Toeplitz matrices, the limiting spectral measure converges in probability to a unique measure of unbounded support which is not the Gaussian. If $p$ is even have strong convergence).

Massey, Miller and Sinsheimer ’07 proved that if first row is a palindrome converges to a Gaussian.
Poissonian Behavior?

Not rescaled. Looking at middle 11 spacings, 1000 Toeplitz matrices (1000 × 1000), entries iidrv from the standard normal.
Block Circulant Ensemble

With Murat Koloğlu, Gene Kopp, Fred Strauch and Wentao Xiong.
The Ensemble of $m$-Block Circulant Matrices

Symmetric matrices periodic with period $m$ on wrapped diagonals, i.e., symmetric block circulant matrices.

8-by-8 real symmetric 2-block circulant matrix:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & d_3 & c_2 & d_1 \\
  c_1 & d_0 & d_1 & d_2 & d_3 & d_4 & c_3 & d_2 \\
  c_2 & d_1 & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & d_3 \\
  c_3 & d_2 & c_1 & d_0 & d_1 & d_2 & d_3 & d_4 \\
  c_4 & d_3 & c_2 & d_1 & c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\
  d_3 & d_4 & c_3 & d_2 & c_1 & d_0 & d_1 & d_2 \\
  c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & d_3 & c_2 & d_1 & c_0 & c_1 \\
  d_1 & d_2 & d_3 & d_4 & c_3 & d_2 & c_1 & d_0 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Choose distinct entries i.i.d.r.v.
Oriented Matchings and Dualization

Compute moments of eigenvalue distribution (as \( m \) stays fixed and \( N \to \infty \)) using the combinatorics of pairings. Rewrite:

\[
M_n(N) = \frac{1}{N_n^{n+1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_n \leq N} \mathbb{E}(a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \cdots a_{i_n i_1}) = \frac{1}{N_n^{n+1}} \sum_{\sim} \eta(\sim) m_{d_1}(\sim) \cdots m_{d_l}(\sim),
\]

where the sum is over oriented matchings on the edges \{\((1, 2), (2, 3), \ldots, (n, 1)\)\} of a regular \( n \)-gon.
Oriented Matchings and Dualization

**Figure:** An oriented matching in the expansion for $M_n(N) = M_6(8)$. 
Contributing Terms

As \( N \to \infty \), the only terms that contribute to this sum are those in which the entries are matched in pairs and with opposite orientation.
Only Topology Matters

Think of pairings as topological identifications; the contributing ones give rise to orientable surfaces.

Contribution from such a pairing is $m^{-2g}$, where $g$ is the genus (number of holes) of the surface. Proof: combinatorial argument involving Euler characteristic.
Computing the Even Moments

**Theorem: Even Moment Formula**

\[ M_{2k} = \sum_{g=0}^{[k/2]} \varepsilon_g(k) m^{-2g} + O_k \left( \frac{1}{N} \right), \]

with \( \varepsilon_g(k) \) the number of pairings of the edges of a \((2k)\)-gon giving rise to a genus \( g \) surface.

J. Harer and D. Zagier (1986) gave generating functions for the \( \varepsilon_g(k) \).
Harer and Zagier

\[ \sum_{g=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \varepsilon_g(k) r^{k+1-2g} = (2k - 1)!! c(k, r) \]

where

\[ 1 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c(k, r) x^{k+1} = \left(\frac{1 + x}{1 - x}\right)^r. \]

Thus, we write

\[ M_{2k} = m^{-(k+1)} (2k - 1)!! c(k, m). \]
A multiplicative convolution and Cauchy’s residue formula yield the characteristic function of the distribution.

\[
\phi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^{2k} M_{2k}}{(2k)!} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t^2/2m)^k}{k!} c(k, m)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi im} \oint_{|z|=2} \frac{1}{2z^{-1}} \left( \left( \frac{1 + z^{-1}}{1 - z^{-1}} \right)^m - 1 \right) e^{-t^2z/2m} \frac{dz}{z}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{m} e^{-t^2/2m} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \binom{m}{\ell} \frac{1}{(\ell - 1)!} \left( \frac{-t^2}{m} \right)^{\ell-1}.
\]
Results

Fourier transform and algebra yields

**Theorem: Koloğlu, Kopp and Miller**

The limiting spectral density function $f_m(x)$ of the real symmetric $m$-block circulant ensemble is given by

$$f_m(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{mx^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi m}} \sum_{r=0}^{m} \frac{1}{(2r)!} \sum_{s=0}^{m-r} \binom{m}{r+s+1} \frac{(2r + 2s)!}{(r + s)!s!} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^s (mx^2)^r.$$ 

Fixed $m$ equals $m \times m$ GOE, as $m \to \infty$ converges to the semicircle distribution.
Results (continued)

**Figure:** Plot for $f_1$ and histogram of eigenvalues of 100 circulant matrices of size $400 \times 400$. 
Results (continued)

Figure: Plot for $f_2$ and histogram of eigenvalues of 100 2-block circulant matrices of size $400 \times 400$. 
Figure: Plot for $f_3$ and histogram of eigenvalues of 100 3-block circulant matrices of size $402 \times 402$. 
Results (continued)

**Figure:** Plot for $f_4$ and histogram of eigenvalues of 100 4-block circulant matrices of size $400 \times 400$. 
Results (continued)

**Figure:** Plot for $f_8$ and histogram of eigenvalues of 100 8-block circulant matrices of size $400 \times 400$. 
Figure: Plot for $f_{20}$ and histogram of eigenvalues of 100 20-block circulant matrices of size $400 \times 400$. 
Results (continued)

Figure: Plot of convergence to the semi-circle.

Checkerboard Matrices

An $N \times N$ matrix $A$ is a random real symmetric checkerboard matrix if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix}
w & b_{0,1} & w & b_{0,3} & w & \cdots & b_{0,N-1} \\
b_{0,1} & w & b_{1,2} & w & b_{1,4} & \cdots & w \\
w & b_{1,2} & w & b_{2,3} & w & \cdots & b_{2,N-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
b_{0,N-1} & w & b_{2,N-1} & w & b_{4,N-1} & \cdots & w
\end{pmatrix},$$

where the $b_{i,j}$ are i.i.d. r.v, and $w$ is a random variable.

It is $k$-checkerboard if $w$ occurs every $k$ entries in row starting with the entry at the index $(i \mod k)$ of the $i$th row.
Evolving Results

Last summer proved all but $k$ eigenvalues in bulk and converge to semi-circle, remaining $k$ in \textit{blip} of size $N/k$.

**Evolving Results:**

- Variance in blip like $1/N$.
- There are two peaks; for $k = 2$ from the $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and the $(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, 1, -1)$ eigenvectors.
- The limiting spectral distribution in blip is a double delta spike for any $k$. 
Evolving Results

Last summer proved all but $k$ eigenvalues in bulk and converge to semi-circle, remaining $k$ in *blip* of size $N/k$.

**Evolving Results:**

- Variance in blip like $1/N$. **False. Is order $k$.**
- There are two peaks; for $k = 2$ from the $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ and the $(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, 1, -1)$ eigenvectors. **False.**
- The limiting spectral distribution in blip is a double delta spike for any $k$. **False. Goes to nice $k \times k$ GOE!**
Weights: To concentrate in blip, let $f(n) = (n - 1)x^n - nx^{n-1}$, and set

$$\mu_{A;N}^{\text{blip}}(x) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\lambda} f \left( \frac{\lambda}{N/k} \right) \delta \left( x - \left( \lambda - \frac{N}{k} \right) \right).$$

Combinatorics: Reduce to $k \times k$ GOE.
Results

**Figure:** 2-Checkerboard: $N = 100$, 100 trials.
**Results**

**Figure:** 2-Checkerboard: $N = 150$, 100 trials.
Results

Figure: 2-Checkerboard: $N = 200$, 100 trials.
Results

**Figure:** 2-Checkerboard: $N = 250$, 100 trials.
**Results**

**Figure:** 2-Checkerboard: $N = 300$, 100 trials.
Results

**Figure:** 2-Checkerboard: $N = 350$, 100 trials.
Introduction to $L$-Functions
Riemann Zeta Function

\[ \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1. \]
Riemann Zeta Function

\[ \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{\text{prime } p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1. \]

Unique Factorization: \( n = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_m^{r_m}. \)
Riemann Zeta Function

\[
\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.
\]

Unique Factorization: \( n = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_m^{r_m} \).

\[
\prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} = \left[1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \left(\frac{1}{2^s}\right)^2 + \cdots\right] \left[1 + \frac{1}{3^s} + \left(\frac{1}{3^s}\right)^2 + \cdots\right] \cdots
\]

\[
= \sum_n \frac{1}{n^s}.
\]
Riemann Zeta Function (cont)

\[ \zeta(s) = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 \]

\[ \pi(x) = \# \{p : p \text{ is prime, } p \leq x\} \]

Properties of \(\zeta(s)\) and Primes:
Riemann Zeta Function (cont)

\[ \zeta(s) = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 \]

\[ \pi(x) = \#\{p : p \text{ is prime, } p \leq x\} \]

Properties of \( \zeta(s) \) and Primes:

- \( \lim_{s \to 1^+} \zeta(s) = \infty, \pi(x) \to \infty. \)
Riemann Zeta Function (cont)

\[ \zeta(s) = \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1 \]

\[ \pi(x) = \# \{ p : p \text{ is prime}, p \leq x \} \]

Properties of \( \zeta(s) \) and Primes:

- \( \lim_{s \to 1^+} \zeta(s) = \infty, \pi(x) \to \infty. \)
- \( \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \pi(x) \to \infty. \)
Riemann Zeta Function

\[ \zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1. \]

**Functional Equation:**

\[ \xi(s) = \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \zeta(s) = \xi(1 - s). \]

**Riemann Hypothesis (RH):**

All non-trivial zeros have \( \text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2} \); can write zeros as \( \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma \).

**Observation:** Spacings b/w zeros appear same as b/w eigenvalues of Complex Hermitian matrices \( \overline{A}^T = A \).
General $L$-functions

\[ L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} L_p(s, f)^{-1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1. \]

**Functional Equation:**

\[ \Lambda(s, f) = \Lambda_\infty(s, f)L(s, f) = \Lambda(1 - s, f). \]

**Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (RH):**

All non-trivial zeros have $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$; can write zeros as $\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$.

**Observation:** Spacings b/w zeros appear same as b/w eigenvalues of Complex Hermitian matrices $\overline{A^T} = A$. 

Elliptic Curves: Mordell-Weil Group

Elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ with rational solutions $P = (x_1, y_1)$ and $Q = (x_2, y_2)$ and connecting line $y = mx + b$.

Addition of distinct points $P$ and $Q$

Adding a point $P$ to itself

$E(\mathbb{Q}) \approx E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$
Elliptic curve $L$-function

$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, associate $L$-function

$$L(s, E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_E(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{\text{prime } p} L_E(p^{-s}),$$

where

$$a_E(p) = p - \# \{(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 : y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \mod p\}.$$
Elliptic curve $L$-function

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b,$$
associate $L$-function

$$L(s, E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_E(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} L_E(p^{-s}),$$

where

$$a_E(p) = p - \#\{(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 : y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \text{ mod } p\}.$$

Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture

Rank of group of rational solutions equals order of vanishing of $L(s, E)$ at $s = 1/2$. 
Properties of zeros of $L$-functions

- infinitude of primes, primes in arithmetic progression.

- Chebyshev’s bias: $\pi_{3,4}(x) \geq \pi_{1,4}(x)$ ‘most’ of the time.

- Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture.

- Goldfeld, Gross-Zagier: bound for $h(D)$ from $L$-functions with many central point zeros.

- Even better estimates for $h(D)$ if a positive percentage of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are at most $1/2 - \epsilon$ of the average spacing to the next zero.
Distribution of zeros

- $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for $\Re(s) = 1$: $\pi(x)$, $\pi_{a,q}(x)$.

- **GRH**: error terms.

- **GSH**: Chebyshev’s bias.

- Analytic rank, adjacent spacings: $h(D)$. 

---
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Explicit Formula (Contour Integration)

\[-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = -\frac{d}{ds} \log \zeta(s) = -\frac{d}{ds} \log \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}\]
Explicit Formula (Contour Integration)

\[ - \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = - \frac{d}{ds} \log \zeta(s) = - \frac{d}{ds} \log \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} \]

\[ = \frac{d}{ds} \sum_p \log (1 - p^{-s}) \]

\[ = \sum_p \frac{\log p \cdot p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}} = \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} + \text{Good}(s). \]
Explicit Formula (Contour Integration)

\[-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = -\frac{d}{ds} \log \zeta(s) = -\frac{d}{ds} \log \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}\]

\[= \frac{d}{ds} \sum_p \log (1 - p^{-s})\]

\[= \sum_p \log p \cdot \frac{p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}} = \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} + \text{Good}(s).\]

Contour Integration:

\[\int -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \frac{x^s}{s} \ ds \ vs \ \sum_p \log p \int \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)^s \frac{ds}{s}.\]
Explicit Formula (Contour Integration)

\[-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = -\frac{d}{ds} \log \zeta(s) = -\frac{d}{ds} \log \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}\]

\[= \frac{d}{ds} \sum_p \log (1 - p^{-s})\]

\[= \sum_p \log p \cdot \frac{p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}} = \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} + \text{Good}(s).\]

Contour Integration:

\[\int -\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \phi(s) ds \quad \text{vs} \quad \sum_p \log p \int \phi(s)p^{-s} ds.\]
Explicit Formula (Contour Integration)

\[-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \frac{d}{ds} \log \zeta(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \log \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}\]

\[= \frac{d}{ds} \sum_p \log (1 - p^{-s}) \]

\[= \sum_p \frac{\log p \cdot p^{-s}}{1 - p^{-s}} = \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^s} + \text{Good}(s).\]

Contour Integration (see Fourier Transform arising):

\[\int - \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \phi(s) ds \quad \text{vs} \quad \sum_p \log p \int \phi(s) e^{-\sigma \log p} e^{-it \log p} ds.\]

Knowledge of zeros gives info on coefficients.
Explicit Formula: Example

**Dirichlet $L$-functions:** Let $\phi$ be an even Schwartz function and $L(s, \chi) = \sum_n \chi(n)/n^s$ a Dirichlet $L$-function from a non-trivial character $\chi$ with conductor $m$ and zeros $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_\chi$. Then

$$\sum_{\rho} \phi \left( \gamma \chi \frac{\log(m/\pi)}{2\pi} \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y) dy$$

$$-2 \sum_{p} \log p \frac{\log(p)}{\log(m/\pi)} \hat{\phi} \left( \frac{\log(p)}{\log(m/\pi)} \right) \frac{\chi(p)}{p^{1/2}}$$

$$-2 \sum_{p} \log p \frac{\log(p)}{\log(m/\pi)} \hat{\phi} \left( 2 \frac{\log(p)}{\log(m/\pi)} \right) \frac{\chi^2(p)}{p} + O \left( \frac{1}{\log m} \right).$$
Takeaways

Very similar to Central Limit Theorem.

- Universal behavior: main term controlled by first two moments of Satake parameters, agrees with RMT.

- First moment zero save for families of elliptic curves.

- Higher moments control convergence and can depend on arithmetic of family.
Modeling lowest zero of $L_{E_{11}}(s, \chi_d)$ with $0 < d < 400,000$

Lowest zero for $L_{E_{11}}(s, \chi_d)$ (bar chart), lowest eigenvalue of SO(2N) with $N_{\text{eff}}$ (solid), standard $N_0$ (dashed).
Modeling lowest zero of $L_{E_{11}}(s, \chi_d)$ with $0 < d < 400,000$

Lowest zero for $L_{E_{11}}(s, \chi_d)$ (bar chart); lowest eigenvalue of $\text{SO}(2N)$: $N_{\text{eff}} = 2$ (solid) with discretisation, and $N_{\text{eff}} = 2.32$ (dashed) without discretisation.

http://arxiv.org/pdf/1005.1298

Open Questions and References
Open Questions: Low-lying zeros of $L$-functions

- Generalize excised ensembles for higher weight $GL_2$ families where expect different discretizations.

- Obtain better estimates on vanishing at the central point by finding optimal test functions for the second and higher moment expansions.

- Further explore $L$-function Ratios Conjecture to predict lower order terms in families, compute these terms on number theory side.

See Dueñez-Huynh-Keating-Miller-Snaith, Miller, and the Ratios papers.
Publications: Random Matrix Theory

1. **Distribution of eigenvalues for the ensemble of real symmetric Toeplitz matrices** (with Christopher Hammond), Journal of Theoretical Probability **18** (2005), no. 3, 537–566.  


3. **The distribution of the second largest eigenvalue in families of random regular graphs** (with Tim Novikoff and Anthony Sabelli), Experimental Mathematics **17** (2008), no. 2, 231–244.  


8. **The expected eigenvalue distribution of large, weighted d-regular graphs** (with Leo Goldmahker, Cap Khoury and Kesinee Ninsuwan), preprint.
Publications: \textit{L-Functions}

1. \textit{The low lying zeros of a GL(4) and a GL(6) family of \textit{L}-functions} (with Eduardo Dueñez), Compositio Mathematica \textbf{142} (2006), no. 6, 1403–1425. \url{http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0506462}


Publications: Elliptic Curves


Publications: $L$-Function Ratio Conjecture


