

# Finite conductor models for zeros near the central point of elliptic curve $L$ -functions

Steven J Miller  
Williams College

Steven.J.Miller@williams.edu

<http://www.williams.edu/Mathematics/sjmilller>

Joint with E. Dueñez, D. Huynh, J. P. Keating,  
N. C. Snaith, appendix by Siman Marshall

Maine - Québec Number Theory Conference  
University of Maine, October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011

## Introduction

## Riemann Zeta Function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

### Functional Equation:

$$\xi(s) = \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \zeta(s) = \xi(1-s).$$

### Riemann Hypothesis (RH):

All non-trivial zeros have  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ ; can write zeros as  $\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$ .

## General $L$ -functions

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} L_p(s, f)^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

### Functional Equation:


$$\Lambda(s, f) = \Lambda_{\infty}(s, f)L(s, f) = \Lambda(1-s, f).$$

### Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH):


All non-trivial zeros have  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ ; can write zeros as  $\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$ .

## Mordell-Weil Group

Elliptic curve  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  with rational solutions  $P = (x_1, y_1)$  and  $Q = (x_2, y_2)$  and connecting line  $y = mx + b$ .



Addition of distinct points  $P$  and  $Q$



Adding a point  $P$  to itself

$$E(\mathbb{Q}) \approx E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^r$$

## Elliptic curve $L$ -function

$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ , associate  $L$ -function

$$L(s, E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_E(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} L_E(p^{-s}),$$

where

$$a_E(p) = p - \#\{(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2 : y^2 \equiv x^3 + ax + b \pmod{p}\}.$$

### Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture

Rank of group of rational solutions equals order of vanishing of  $L(s, E)$  at  $s = 1/2$ .

## One parameter family

$$\mathcal{E} : y^2 = x^3 + A(T)x + B(T), A(T), B(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T].$$

### Silverman's Specialization Theorem

Assume (geometric) rank of  $\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{Q}(T)$  is  $r$ . Then for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  sufficiently large, each  $E_t : y^2 = x^3 + A(t)x + B(t)$  has (geometric) rank at least  $r$ .

### Average rank conjecture

For a generic one-parameter family of rank  $r$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ , expect in the limit half the specialized curves have rank  $r$  and half have rank  $r + 1$ .

## Measures of Spacings: $n$ -Level Density and Families

Let  $g_j$  be even Schwartz functions whose Fourier Transform is compactly supported,  $L(s, f)$  an  $L$ -function with zeros  $\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_f$  and conductor  $Q_f$ :

$$D_{n,f}(g) = \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ j_i \neq \pm j_k}} g_1 \left( \gamma_{f, j_1} \frac{\log Q_f}{2\pi} \right) \cdots g_n \left( \gamma_{f, j_n} \frac{\log Q_f}{2\pi} \right)$$

- Properties of  $n$ -level density:
  - ◇ Individual zeros contribute in limit
  - ◇ Most of contribution is from low zeros
  - ◇ Average over similar  $L$ -functions (family)



## $n$ -Level Density

**$n$ -level density:**  $\mathcal{F} = \cup \mathcal{F}_N$  a family of  $L$ -functions ordered by conductors,  $g_k$  an even Schwartz function:  $D_{n,\mathcal{F}}(g) =$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ j_i \neq \pm j_k}} g_1 \left( \frac{\log Q_f}{2\pi} \gamma_{j_1;f} \right) \cdots g_n \left( \frac{\log Q_f}{2\pi} \gamma_{j_n;f} \right)$$

As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $n$ -level density converges to

$$\int g(\vec{x}) \rho_{n,g(\mathcal{F})}(\vec{x}) d\vec{x} = \int \hat{g}(\vec{u}) \hat{\rho}_{n,g(\mathcal{F})}(\vec{u}) d\vec{u}.$$

### Conjecture (Katz-Sarnak)

(In the limit) Scaled distribution of zeros near central point agrees with scaled distribution of eigenvalues near 1 of a classical compact group.

## Testing Random Matrix Theory Predictions


Know the right model for large conductors, searching for the correct model for finite conductors.

In the limit must recover the independent model, and want to explain data on:

- 1 **Excess Rank:** Rank  $r$  one-parameter family over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ : observed percentages with rank  $\geq r + 2$ .
- 2 **First (Normalized) Zero above Central Point:** Influence of zeros at the central point on the distribution of zeros near the central point.

## Theory and Models

## Zeros of $\zeta(s)$ vs GUE



70 million spacings b/w adjacent zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ , starting at the  $10^{20}$ th zero (from Odlyzko) versus RMT prediction.

## Orthogonal Random Matrix Models

**RMT:**  $SO(2N)$ :  $2N$  eigenvalues in pairs  $e^{\pm i\theta_j}$ , probability measure on  $[0, \pi]^N$ :

$$d\epsilon_0(\theta) \propto \prod_{j < k} (\cos \theta_k - \cos \theta_j)^2 \prod_j d\theta_j.$$

**Independent Model:**

$$\mathcal{A}_{2N, 2r} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{2r \times 2r} & \\ & g \end{pmatrix} : g \in SO(2N - 2r) \right\}.$$

**Interaction Model:** Sub-ensemble of  $SO(2N)$  with the last  $2r$  of the  $2N$  eigenvalues equal  $+1$ :  $1 \leq j, k \leq N - r$ :

$$d\epsilon_{2r}(\theta) \propto \prod_{j < k} (\cos \theta_k - \cos \theta_j)^2 \prod_j (1 - \cos \theta_j)^{2r} \prod_j d\theta_j,$$

## Random Matrix Models and One-Level Densities

Fourier transform of 1-level density:

$$\hat{\rho}_0(u) = \delta(u) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(u).$$

Fourier transform of 1-level density (Rank 2, Indep):

$$\hat{\rho}_{2,\text{Independent}}(u) = \left[ \delta(u) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(u) + 2 \right].$$

Fourier transform of 1-level density (Rank 2, Interaction):

$$\hat{\rho}_{2,\text{Interaction}}(u) = \left[ \delta(u) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(u) + 2 \right] + 2(|u| - 1)\eta(u).$$

## Comparing the RMT Models

### Theorem: M- '04

For small support, one-param family of rank  $r$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ :

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{E_t \in \mathcal{F}_N} \sum_j \varphi \left( \frac{\log C_{E_t}}{2\pi} \gamma_{E_t, j} \right)$$

$$= \int \varphi(x) \rho_{\mathcal{G}}(x) dx + r\varphi(0)$$

where


$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{cases} \text{SO} & \text{if half odd} \\ \text{SO(even)} & \text{if all even} \\ \text{SO(odd)} & \text{if all odd.} \end{cases}$$

**Supports Katz-Sarnak, B-SD, and Independent model in limit.**

Data




## RMT: Theoretical Results ( $N \rightarrow \infty$ )



1st normalized evalue above 1: SO(even)

## RMT: Theoretical Results ( $N \rightarrow \infty$ )



1st normalized eval above 1: SO(odd)

## Rank 0 Curves: 1st Norm Zero: 14 One-Param of Rank 0




Figure 4a: 209 rank 0 curves from 14 rank 0 families,  $\log(\text{cond}) \in [3.26, 9.98]$ , median = 1.35, mean = 1.36

## Rank 0 Curves: 1st Norm Zero: 14 One-Param of Rank 0




Figure 4b: 996 rank 0 curves from 14 rank 0 families,  $\log(\text{cond}) \in [15.00, 16.00]$ , median = .81, mean = .86.

## Spacings b/w Norm Zeros: Rank 0 One-Param Families over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$

- All curves have  $\log(\text{cond}) \in [15, 16]$ ;
- $z_j =$  imaginary part of  $j^{\text{th}}$  normalized zero above the central point;
- 863 rank 0 curves from the 14 one-param families of rank 0 over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ ;
- 701 rank 2 curves from the 21 one-param families of rank 0 over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ .

	863 Rank 0 Curves	701 Rank 2 Curves	t-Statistic
<b>Median</b> $z_2 - z_1$	1.28	1.30	
<b>Mean</b> $z_2 - z_1$	1.30	1.34	-1.60
<b>StDev</b> $z_2 - z_1$	0.49	0.51	
<b>Median</b> $z_3 - z_2$	1.22	1.19	
<b>Mean</b> $z_3 - z_2$	1.24	1.22	0.80
<b>StDev</b> $z_3 - z_2$	0.52	0.47	
<b>Median</b> $z_3 - z_1$	2.54	2.56	
<b>Mean</b> $z_3 - z_1$	2.55	2.56	-0.38
<b>StDev</b> $z_3 - z_1$	0.52	0.52	

## Spacings b/w Norm Zeros: Rank 2 one-param families over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$

- All curves have  $\log(\text{cond}) \in [15, 16]$ ;
- $z_j =$  imaginary part of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  norm zero above the central point;
- 64 rank 2 curves from the 21 one-param families of rank 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ ;
- 23 rank 4 curves from the 21 one-param families of rank 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ .

	64 Rank 2 Curves	23 Rank 4 Curves	t-Statistic
<b>Median</b> $z_2 - z_1$	1.26	1.27	0.59
<b>Mean</b> $z_2 - z_1$	1.36	1.29	
<b>StDev</b> $z_2 - z_1$	0.50	0.42	
<b>Median</b> $z_3 - z_2$	1.22	1.08	1.35
<b>Mean</b> $z_3 - z_2$	1.29	1.14	
<b>StDev</b> $z_3 - z_2$	0.49	0.35	
<b>Median</b> $z_3 - z_1$	2.66	2.46	2.05
<b>Mean</b> $z_3 - z_1$	2.65	2.43	
<b>StDev</b> $z_3 - z_1$	0.44	0.42	

## Rank 2 Curves from Rank 0 & Rank 2 Families over $\mathbb{Q}(T)$

- All curves have  $\log(\text{cond}) \in [15, 16]$ ;
- $z_j =$  imaginary part of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  norm zero above the central point;
- 701 rank 2 curves from the 21 one-param families of rank 0 over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ ;
- 64 rank 2 curves from the 21 one-param families of rank 2 over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$ .


	701 Rank 2 Curves	64 Rank 2 Curves	t-Statistic
<b>Median</b> $z_2 - z_1$	1.30	1.26	0.69
<b>Mean</b> $z_2 - z_1$	1.34	1.36	
<b>StDev</b> $z_2 - z_1$	0.51	0.50	
<b>Median</b> $z_3 - z_2$	1.19	1.22	1.39
<b>Mean</b> $z_3 - z_2$	1.22	1.29	
<b>StDev</b> $z_3 - z_2$	0.47	0.49	
<b>Median</b> $z_3 - z_1$	2.56	2.66	1.93
<b>Mean</b> $z_3 - z_1$	2.56	2.65	
<b>StDev</b> $z_3 - z_1$	0.52	0.44	

## New Model for Finite Conductors

- **Replace conductor  $N$  with  $N_{\text{effective}}$ .**
  - ◇ Arithmetic info, predict with  $L$ -function Ratios Conj.
  - ◇ Do the number theory computation.
  
- **Excised Orthogonal Ensembles.**
  - ◇  $L(1/2, E)$  discretized.
  - ◇ Study matrices in  $SO(2N_{\text{eff}})$  with  $|\Lambda_A(1)| \geq ce^N$ .
  
- **Painlevé VI differential equation solver.**
  - ◇ Use explicit formulas for densities of Jacobi ensembles.
  - ◇ Key input: Selberg-Aomoto integral for initial conditions.




# Modeling lowest zero of $L_{E_{11}}(s, \chi_d)$ with $0 < d < 400,000$



Lowest zero for  $L_{E_{11}}(s, \chi_d)$  (bar chart), lowest eigenvalue of  $SO(2N)$  with  $N_{\text{eff}}$  (solid), standard  $N_0$  (dashed).

## Modeling lowest zero of $L_{E_{11}}(s, \chi_d)$ with $0 < d < 400,000$



Lowest zero for  $L_{E_{11}}(s, \chi_d)$  (bar chart); lowest eigenvalue of  $SO(2N)$ :  $N_{\text{eff}} = 2$  (solid) with discretisation, and  $N_{\text{eff}} = 2.32$  (dashed) without discretisation.

## Ratio's Conjecture and Excised Orthogonal Ensembles

## History

- Farmer (1993): Considered

$$\int_0^T \frac{\zeta(s + \alpha)\zeta(1 - s + \beta)}{\zeta(s + \gamma)\zeta(1 - s + \delta)} dt,$$

conjectured (for appropriate values)

$$T \frac{(\alpha + \delta)(\beta + \gamma)}{(\alpha + \beta)(\gamma + \delta)} - T^{1-\alpha-\beta} \frac{(\delta - \beta)(\gamma - \alpha)}{(\alpha + \beta)(\gamma + \delta)}.$$

## History

- Farmer (1993): Considered

$$\int_0^T \frac{\zeta(s + \alpha)\zeta(1 - s + \beta)}{\zeta(s + \gamma)\zeta(1 - s + \delta)} dt,$$

conjectured (for appropriate values)

$$T \frac{(\alpha + \delta)(\beta + \gamma)}{(\alpha + \beta)(\gamma + \delta)} - T^{1-\alpha-\beta} \frac{(\delta - \beta)(\gamma - \alpha)}{(\alpha + \beta)(\gamma + \delta)}.$$

- Conrey-Farmer-Zirnbauer (2007): conjecture formulas for averages of products of  $L$ -functions over families:

$$R_{\mathcal{F}} = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \omega_f \frac{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, f\right)}{L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma, f\right)}.$$

## Uses of the Ratios Conjecture

- **Applications:**

- ◇  $n$ -level correlations and densities;
- ◇ mollifiers;
- ◇ moments;
- ◇ vanishing at the central point;

- **Advantages:**

- ◇ RMT models often add arithmetic ad hoc;
- ◇ predicts lower order terms, often to square-root level.

## Inputs for 1-level density

- **Approximate Functional Equation:**

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{a_m}{m^s} + \epsilon \mathbb{X}_L(s) \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{a_n}{n^{1-s}};$$

- ◇  $\epsilon$  sign of the functional equation,
- ◇  $\mathbb{X}_L(s)$  ratio of  $\Gamma$ -factors from functional equation.

## Inputs for 1-level density

- **Approximate Functional Equation:**

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{a_m}{m^s} + \epsilon \mathbb{X}_L(s) \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{a_n}{n^{1-s}};$$

- ◇  $\epsilon$  sign of the functional equation,
- ◇  $\mathbb{X}_L(s)$  ratio of  $\Gamma$ -factors from functional equation.

- **Explicit Formula:**  $g$  Schwartz test function,

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \omega_f \sum_{\gamma} g\left(\gamma \frac{\log N_f}{2\pi}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} - \int_{(1-c)} R'_{\mathcal{F}}(\dots) g(\dots)$$

$$\diamond R'_{\mathcal{F}}(r) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} R_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha, \gamma) \right|_{\alpha=\gamma=r}.$$



## Procedure (Recipe)

- Use approximate functional equation to expand numerator.

## Procedure (Recipe)

- Use approximate functional equation to expand numerator.
- Expand denominator by generalized Mobius function: cusp form

$$\frac{1}{L(s, f)} = \sum_h \frac{\mu_f(h)}{h^s},$$

where  $\mu_f(h)$  is the multiplicative function equaling 1 for  $h = 1$ ,  $-\lambda_f(p)$  if  $h = p$ ,  $\chi_0(p)$  if  $h = p^2$  and 0 otherwise.

## Procedure (Recipe)

- Use approximate functional equation to expand numerator.
- Expand denominator by generalized Mobius function: cusp form

$$\frac{1}{L(s, f)} = \sum_h \frac{\mu_f(h)}{h^s},$$

where  $\mu_f(h)$  is the multiplicative function equaling 1 for  $h = 1$ ,  $-\lambda_f(p)$  if  $h = p$ ,  $\chi_0(p)$  if  $h = p^2$  and 0 otherwise.

- Execute the sum over  $\mathcal{F}$ , keeping only main (diagonal) terms.

## Procedure (Recipe)

- Use approximate functional equation to expand numerator.
- Expand denominator by generalized Mobius function: cusp form

$$\frac{1}{L(s, f)} = \sum_h \frac{\mu_f(h)}{h^s},$$

where  $\mu_f(h)$  is the multiplicative function equaling 1 for  $h = 1$ ,  $-\lambda_f(p)$  if  $h = p$ ,  $\chi_0(p)$  if  $h = p^2$  and 0 otherwise.

- Execute the sum over  $\mathcal{F}$ , keeping only main (diagonal) terms.
- Extend the  $m$  and  $n$  sums to infinity (complete the products).

## Procedure (Recipe)

- Use approximate functional equation to expand numerator.
- Expand denominator by generalized Mobius function: cusp form

$$\frac{1}{L(s, f)} = \sum_h \frac{\mu_f(h)}{h^s},$$

where  $\mu_f(h)$  is the multiplicative function equaling 1 for  $h = 1$ ,  $-\lambda_f(p)$  if  $h = p$ ,  $\chi_0(p)$  if  $h = p^2$  and 0 otherwise.

- Execute the sum over  $\mathcal{F}$ , keeping only main (diagonal) terms.
- Extend the  $m$  and  $n$  sums to infinity (complete the products).
- Differentiate with respect to the parameters.

## Procedure ('Illegal Steps')

- Use approximate functional equation to expand numerator.
- Expand denominator by generalized Mobius function: cusp form

$$\frac{1}{L(s, f)} = \sum_h \frac{\mu_f(h)}{h^s},$$

where  $\mu_f(h)$  is the multiplicative function equaling 1 for  $h = 1$ ,  $-\lambda_f(p)$  if  $h = p$ ,  $\chi_0(p)$  if  $h = p^2$  and 0 otherwise.

- Execute the sum over  $\mathcal{F}$ , keeping only main (diagonal) terms.
- Extend the  $m$  and  $n$  sums to infinity (complete the products).
- Differentiate with respect to the parameters.

# 1-Level Prediction from Ratio's Conjecture

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A_E(\alpha, \gamma) \\
 = & Y_E^{-1}(\alpha, \gamma) \times \prod_{p|M} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\lambda(p^m) \omega_E^m}{p^{m(1/2+\alpha)}} - \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^{1/2+\gamma}} \frac{\lambda(p^m) \omega_E^{m+1}}{p^{m(1/2+\alpha)}} \right) \right) \times \\
 & \prod_{p \nmid M} \left( 1 + \frac{p}{p+1} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(p^{2m})}{p^{m(1+2\alpha)}} - \frac{\lambda(p)}{p^{1+\alpha+\gamma}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(p^{2m+1})}{p^{m(1+2\alpha)}} \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{p^{1+2\gamma}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(p^{2m})}{p^{m(1+2\alpha)}} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Y_E(\alpha, \gamma) = \frac{\zeta(1+2\gamma) L_E(\text{sym}^2, 1+2\alpha)}{\zeta(1+\alpha+\gamma) L_E(\text{sym}^2, 1+\alpha+\gamma)}.$$


Huynh, Morrison and Miller confirmed Ratios' prediction, which is

# 1-Level Prediction from Ratio's Conjecture

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{X^*} \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(X)} \sum_{\gamma_d} g\left(\frac{\gamma_d L}{\pi}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2LX^*} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\tau) \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(X)} \left[ 2 \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{M}|d|}{2\pi}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(1 + \frac{i\pi\tau}{L}\right) + \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(1 - \frac{i\pi\tau}{L}\right) \right] d\tau \\
 &+ \frac{1}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\tau) \left( -\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}\left(1 + \frac{2\pi i\tau}{L}\right) + \frac{L'_E}{L_E}\left(\text{sym}^2, 1 + \frac{2\pi i\tau}{L}\right) - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{(M^\ell - 1) \log M}{M^{(2 + \frac{2i\pi\tau}{L})\ell}} \right) d\tau \\
 &- \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\tau) \frac{\log M}{M^{(k+1)(1 + \frac{i\pi\tau}{L})}} d\tau + \frac{1}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\tau) \sum_{p \nmid M} \frac{\log p}{(p+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(p^{2k+2}) - \lambda(p^{2k})}{p^{(k+1)(1 + \frac{2\pi i\tau}{L})}} d\tau \\
 &- \frac{1}{LX^*} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\tau) \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(X)} \left[ \left(\frac{\sqrt{M}|d|}{2\pi}\right)^{-2i\pi\tau/L} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{i\pi\tau}{L})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{i\pi\tau}{L})} \frac{\zeta(1 + \frac{2i\pi\tau}{L}) L_E(\text{sym}^2, 1 - \frac{2i\pi\tau}{L})}{L_E(\text{sym}^2, 1)} \right. \\
 &\left. \times A_E\left(-\frac{i\pi\tau}{L}, \frac{i\pi\tau}{L}\right) \right] d\tau + O(X^{-1/2+\varepsilon});
 \end{aligned}$$



# Numerics (J. Stopple): 1,003,083 negative fundamental discriminants $-d \in [10^{12}, 10^{12} + 3.3 \cdot 10^6]$



Histogram of normalized zeros ( $\gamma \leq 1$ , about 4 million).

- ◇ Red: main term.
- ◇ Blue: includes  $O(1/\log X)$  terms.
- ◇ Green: all lower order terms.

## Excised Orthogonal Ensemble: Preliminaries

Characteristic polynomial of  $A \in \text{SO}(2N)$  is

$$\Lambda_A(e^{i\theta}, N) := \det(I - Ae^{-i\theta}) = \prod_{k=1}^N (1 - e^{i(\theta_k - \theta)})(1 - e^{i(-\theta_k - \theta)}),$$

with  $e^{\pm i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{\pm i\theta_N}$  the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

Motivated by the arithmetical size constraint on the central values of the  $L$ -functions, consider **Excised Orthogonal Ensemble**  $T_{\mathcal{X}}$ :  $A \in \text{SO}(2N)$  with  $|\Lambda_A(1, N)| \geq \exp(\mathcal{X})$ .

## One-Level Densities

One-level density  $R_1^{G(N)}$  for a (circular) ensemble  $G(N)$ :

$$R_1^{G(N)}(\theta) = N \int \dots \int P(\theta, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N) d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_N,$$

where  $P(\theta, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_N)$  is the joint probability density function of eigenphases.  
The one-level density excised orthogonal ensemble:

$$R_1^{T\mathcal{X}}(\theta_1) = \frac{C_{\mathcal{X}}}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} 2^{Nr} \frac{\exp(-r\mathcal{X})}{r} R_1^{J_N}(\theta_1; r-1/2, -1/2) dr$$

where  $C_{\mathcal{X}}$  is a normalization constant and

$$R_1^{J_N}(\theta_1; r-1/2, -1/2) = N \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi \prod_{j=1}^N w^{(r-1/2, -1/2)}(\cos \theta_j) \\ \times \prod_{j < k} (\cos \theta_j - \cos \theta_k)^2 d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_N$$

is the one-level density for the Jacobi ensemble  $J_N$  with weight function

$$w^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \theta) = (1 - \cos \theta)^{\alpha+1/2} (1 + \cos \theta)^{\beta+1/2}, \quad \alpha = r - 1/2 \text{ and } \beta = -1/2.$$

## Results

- With  $C_{\mathcal{X}}$  normalization constant and  $P(N, r, \theta)$  defined in terms of Jacobi polynomials,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1^{T_{\mathcal{X}}}(\theta) &= \frac{C_{\mathcal{X}}}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\exp(-r\mathcal{X})}{r} 2^{N^2+2Nr-N} \times \\
 &\times \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(2+j)\Gamma(1/2+j)\Gamma(r+1/2+j)}{\Gamma(r+N+j)} \times \\
 &\times (1 - \cos \theta)^r \frac{2^{1-r}}{2N+r-1} \frac{\Gamma(N+1)\Gamma(N+r)}{\Gamma(N+r-1/2)\Gamma(N-1/2)} P(N, r, \theta) dr.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Residue calculus implies  $R_1^{T_{\mathcal{X}}}(\theta) = 0$  for  $d(\theta, \mathcal{X}) < 0$  and

$$R_1^{T_{\mathcal{X}}}(\theta) = R_1^{\text{SO}(2N)}(\theta) + C_{\mathcal{X}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \exp((k+1/2)\mathcal{X}) \quad \text{for } d(\theta, \mathcal{X}) \geq 0,$$

where  $d(\theta, \mathcal{X}) := (2N-1)\log 2 + \log(1 - \cos \theta) - \mathcal{X}$  and  $b_k$  are coefficients arising from the residues. As  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow -\infty$ ,  $\theta$  fixed,

$$R_1^{T_{\mathcal{X}}}(\theta) \rightarrow R_1^{\text{SO}(2N)}(\theta).$$

Another Explanation  
(This section is by Simon Marshall)

## Waldspurger's special value formula

Can explain observed repulsion using Waldspurger's formula and some complex analysis.

### Waldspurger's formula

$$L(1/2, E \times \chi_d) = \kappa_E c_E(|d|)^2 |d|^{-1/2}, \text{ where } c_E(|d|) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

## Waldspurger's special value formula

Can explain observed repulsion using Waldspurger's formula and some complex analysis.

### Waldspurger's formula

$$L(1/2, E \times \chi_d) = \kappa_E c_E(|d|)^2 |d|^{-1/2}, \text{ where } c_E(|d|) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{If } L(1/2, E \times \chi_d) \neq 0, \text{ then } L(1/2, E \times \chi_d) \gg_E |d|^{-1/2}.$$

## Waldspurger's special value formula

Can explain observed repulsion using Waldspurger's formula and some complex analysis.

### Waldspurger's formula

$L(1/2, E \times \chi_d) = \kappa_E c_E(|d|)^2 |d|^{-1/2}$ , where  $c_E(|d|) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  If  $L(1/2, E \times \chi_d) \neq 0$ , then  $L(1/2, E \times \chi_d) \gg_E |d|^{-1/2}$ .

By combining this with Jensen's formula obtain

### Theorem: Marshall, '11

Define  $\gamma_d$  to be the height of the lowest nonreal zero of  $L(s, E \times \chi_d)$ . If  $L(1/2, E \times \chi_d) \neq 0$  then we have

$$\frac{\ln |\gamma_d|}{\ln |d|} \geq -1/4 + O_{E,\epsilon}(\ln \ln |d|^{-1+\epsilon}).$$



## Connection with large-scale zero repulsion

- Repulsion  $|\gamma_d| \gg d^{-1/4+o(1)}$  on a much smaller scale than the mean zero spacing  $(\ln |d|)^{-1}$ . Why should it imply anything about the rescaled limiting density of zeros?

## Connection with large-scale zero repulsion

- Repulsion  $|\gamma_d| \gg d^{-1/4+o(1)}$  on a much smaller scale than the mean zero spacing  $(\ln |d|)^{-1}$ . Why should it imply anything about the rescaled limiting density of zeros?
- The answer is because it holds for every member of a (conjecturally) large family of  $L$ -functions.

### The family of nonvanishing quadratic twists

Define  $\mathcal{D}^0(E)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_D$  by

$$\mathcal{D}^0(E) = \{d \mid d \text{ fundamental, } L(1/2, E \times \chi_d) \neq 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \{|\gamma_d| \ln |d| : d \in \mathcal{D}^0(E), D/2 \leq |d| \leq D\}.$$

By ‘minimal rank conjecture’, expect  $\mathcal{D}^0(E)$  to have  $\gg D$  elements of size at most  $D$ , so  $|\mathcal{L}_D| \gg D$ .

## The limiting distribution vanishes at the origin

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}_D$  has limiting distribution of the form  $\rho(x)dx$ ,  $\rho$  a smooth function on  $[0, \infty)$ . If  $\rho$  vanishes to order  $r$  at the origin, then for sufficiently large  $D$  we have

$$\text{Prob}(\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}_D : \mathbf{x} \leq D^{-1/(r+1)}) \geq \delta > 0.$$

## The limiting distribution vanishes at the origin

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}_D$  has limiting distribution of the form  $\rho(x)dx$ ,  $\rho$  a smooth function on  $[0, \infty)$ . If  $\rho$  vanishes to order  $r$  at the origin, then for sufficiently large  $D$  we have

$$\text{Prob}(\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}_D : \mathbf{x} \leq D^{-1/(r+1)}) \geq \delta > 0.$$

Contradicts  $|\gamma_d| \gg d^{-1/4+o(1)}$  if  $r \leq 2$ , thus  $r \geq 3$ .

## The limiting distribution vanishes at the origin

Suppose  $\mathcal{L}_D$  has limiting distribution of the form  $\rho(x)dx$ ,  $\rho$  a smooth function on  $[0, \infty)$ . If  $\rho$  vanishes to order  $r$  at the origin, then for sufficiently large  $D$  we have

$$\text{Prob}(\exists \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}_D : \mathbf{x} \leq D^{-1/(r+1)}) \geq \delta > 0.$$

Contradicts  $|\gamma_d| \gg d^{-1/4+o(1)}$  if  $r \leq 2$ , thus  $r \geq 3$ .

- Disagrees with the Katz-Sarnak heuristics, as they predict a limiting distribution which does not vanish at the origin.
- Similar results hold in the case of first order vanishing, using the Gross-Zagier formula in place of Waldspurger. One again finds a disagreement with Katz-Sarnak.