Unitary similarity to a complex symmetric matrix and its extension to orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra

Brice Merlin Nguelifack

January 4, 2012

Abstract

Some characterizations of a square complex matrix being unitarily similar to a symmetric matrix are given. Our approach uses singular value decomposition. A result of Vermeer is extended in the context of orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of the compact type.

Outline

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

æ

Introduction

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

æ

- Introduction
- Some Characterizations

□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

3

- Introduction
- Some Characterizations
- Extension to orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of the compact type

< ∃ >

- ∢ ≣ ▶

bmn0003@auburn.edu Unitary similarity to a complex symmetric matrix and its extension

▶ ▲ 문 ▶ ▲ 문 ▶

bmn0003@auburn.edu Unitary similarity to a complex symmetric matrix and its extension

▶ ▲ 문 ▶ ▲ 문 ▶

It is well known that every $n \times n$ complex matrix is similar to a complex symmetric matrix, but it is often difficult to tell whether or not a given matrix is unitarily similar to a complex symmetric matrix. Vermeer obtained the following characterizations.

It is well known that every $n \times n$ complex matrix is similar to a complex symmetric matrix, but it is often difficult to tell whether or not a given matrix is unitarily similar to a complex symmetric matrix. Vermeer obtained the following characterizations.

Theorem (Vermeer 2008)

It is well known that every $n \times n$ complex matrix is similar to a complex symmetric matrix, but it is often difficult to tell whether or not a given matrix is unitarily similar to a complex symmetric matrix. Vermeer obtained the following characterizations.

Theorem (Vermeer 2008)

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- A is unitarily similar to a complex symmetric matrix.
- **2** UAU^* is symmetric for some symmetric unitary matrix U.
- A = SU for some symmetric matrix S and symmetric unitary matrix U.
- $VAV^* = A^T$ for some symmetric unitary matrix V.

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Image: Image:

Notations

bmn0003@auburn.edu Unitary similarity to a complex symmetric matrix and its extension

Let U(n) and O(n) denote the unitary group and orthogonal group respectively.

Let U(n) and O(n) denote the unitary group and orthogonal group respectively.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ and let $A = V \Sigma U^*$ be a singular value decomposition (SVD) of A, where $\Sigma = diag(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ and $\sigma_1 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n$ are the singular values of A. Notice that $U, V \in U(n)$ are not uniquely determined. However, if the singular values are distinct, then U and V are respectively unique up to the post-multiplication of a diagonal unitary matrix. Clearly $A^*AU = U\Sigma^2$ and $AA^*V = V\Sigma^2$.

Let U(n) and O(n) denote the unitary group and orthogonal group respectively.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ and let $A = V \Sigma U^*$ be a singular value decomposition (SVD) of A, where $\Sigma = diag(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ and $\sigma_1 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n$ are the singular values of A. Notice that $U, V \in U(n)$ are not uniquely determined. However, if the singular values are distinct, then U and V are respectively unique up to the post-multiplication of a diagonal unitary matrix. Clearly $A^*AU = U\Sigma^2$ and $AA^*V = V\Sigma^2$.

Lemma(Autonne Decomposition)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

-

Let U(n) and O(n) denote the unitary group and orthogonal group respectively.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ and let $A = V \Sigma U^*$ be a singular value decomposition (SVD) of A, where $\Sigma = diag(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ and $\sigma_1 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n$ are the singular values of A. Notice that $U, V \in U(n)$ are not uniquely determined. However, if the singular values are distinct, then U and V are respectively unique up to the post-multiplication of a diagonal unitary matrix. Clearly $A^*AU = U\Sigma^2$ and $AA^*V = V\Sigma^2$.

Lemma(Autonne Decomposition)

If $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ is symmetric, then there is $U \in U(n)$ such that $A = U\Sigma U^T$. In particular, if A is symmetric unitary, then $A = UU^T$ for some $U \in U(n)$.

(a)

ъ

Image: Image:

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

3

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ and let $\Sigma = \sigma_1 I_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_m I_{n_m}$, where $\sigma_1 > \cdots > \sigma_m$ are the distinct singular values of A with multiplicities n_1, \ldots, n_m respectively. The following statements are equivalent.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ and let $\Sigma = \sigma_1 I_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_m I_{n_m}$, where $\sigma_1 > \cdots > \sigma_m$ are the distinct singular values of A with multiplicities n_1, \ldots, n_m respectively. The following statements are equivalent.

A is unitarily similar to a complex symmetric matrix.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ and let $\Sigma = \sigma_1 I_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_m I_{n_m}$, where $\sigma_1 > \cdots > \sigma_m$ are the distinct singular values of A with multiplicities n_1, \ldots, n_m respectively. The following statements are equivalent.

- A is unitarily similar to a complex symmetric matrix.
- Output: There are X, Y ∈ U(n) such that X*Y is symmetric for some SVD A = YΣX*.

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ and let $\Sigma = \sigma_1 I_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_m I_{n_m}$, where $\sigma_1 > \cdots > \sigma_m$ are the distinct singular values of A with multiplicities n_1, \ldots, n_m respectively. The following statements are equivalent.

- A is unitarily similar to a complex symmetric matrix.
- Output: There are X, Y ∈ U(n) such that X*Y is symmetric for some SVD A = YΣX*.
- For any SVD $A = V\Sigma U^*$, the matrix $(UQ)^*VQ'$ is symmetric for some $Q := Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_m \in U(n)$ and $Q' := Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_{m-1} \oplus Q'_m \in U(n)$, with $Q_i \in U(n_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$, and $Q_m, Q'_m \in U(n_m)$. If, in addition, $\sigma_m > 0$, then $Q'_m = Q_m$, i.e., Q' = Q.

Image: Image:

Proof

Proof

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that, $A = W^*SW$ with $W \in U(n)$. Then $S = Z^T \Sigma Z$ for some $Z \in U(n)$ by the above Lemma. Thus $A = (W^*Z^T)\Sigma(ZW)$ is a SVD of A with $(ZW)(W^*Z^T) = ZZ^T$ symmetric.

Proof

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that, $A = W^*SW$ with $W \in U(n)$. Then $S = Z^T \Sigma Z$ for some $Z \in U(n)$ by the above Lemma. Thus $A = (W^*Z^T)\Sigma(ZW)$ is a SVD of A with $(ZW)(W^*Z^T) = ZZ^T$ symmetric. (2) \Rightarrow (3).

Proof

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that, $A = W^*SW$ with $W \in U(n)$. Then $S = Z^T \Sigma Z$ for some $Z \in U(n)$ by the above Lemma. Thus $A = (W^*Z^T)\Sigma(ZW)$ is a SVD of A with $(ZW)(W^*Z^T) = ZZ^T$ symmetric.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Since $U, X \in U(n)$ and the columns of U and X are eigenvectors of A^*A corresponding to the eigenvalues σ_i^2 's, we have X = UQ for some $Q := Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_m$, where $Q_j \in U(n_j)$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Similarly Y = VQ' for some $Q' := Q'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q'_m$, where $Q'_j \in U(n_j), j = 1, \ldots, m$. Thus $(UQ)^*(VQ') = X^*Y$ is symmetric. Notice that

$$A = Y\Sigma X^* = VQ'\Sigma(UQ)^* = V\Sigma Q'Q^*U^*$$

so that $\Sigma Q'Q^* = V^*AU = \Sigma$. Since $\sigma_{m-1} > 0$, $Q'_iQ^*_i = I_{n_i}$, i.e., $Q'_i = Q_i$, for i = 1, ..., m-1. In addition, if $\sigma_m > 0$, then $Q'_m = Q_m$ as well, i.e., Q' = Q.

• • = • • = •

Proof Cont'd

$$(3) \Rightarrow (1).$$

bmn0003@auburn.edu Unitary similarity to a complex symmetric matrix and its extension

• • = • • = •

3

(3)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (1). Notice that $Q'\Sigma Q^* = \Sigma$ so that

$$A = V\Sigma U^* = (VQ')\Sigma(UQ)^*$$

is a SVD of A. Since $(UQ)^*VQ'$ is symmetric unitary, $(UQ)^*VQ' = ZZ^T$ for some $Z \in U(n)$ by Autonne Decomposition Lemma. Set $W := Z^*(UQ)^* \in U(n)$ so that $(UQ)^* = ZW$ and $VQ' = (UQ)ZZ^T = W^*Z^T$. Thus $A = W^*(Z^T\Sigma Z)W$, i.e., A is unitarily similar to the complex symmetric matrix $Z^T\Sigma Z$.

(3)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (1). Notice that $Q'\Sigma Q^* = \Sigma$ so that

$$A = V\Sigma U^* = (VQ')\Sigma(UQ)^*$$

is a SVD of A. Since $(UQ)^*VQ'$ is symmetric unitary, $(UQ)^*VQ' = ZZ^T$ for some $Z \in U(n)$ by Autonne Decomposition Lemma. Set $W := Z^*(UQ)^* \in U(n)$ so that $(UQ)^* = ZW$ and $VQ' = (UQ)ZZ^T = W^*Z^T$. Thus $A = W^*(Z^T\Sigma Z)W$, i.e., A is unitarily similar to the complex symmetric matrix $Z^T\Sigma Z$.

Corollary

(3)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (1). Notice that $Q'\Sigma Q^* = \Sigma$ so that

$$A = V\Sigma U^* = (VQ')\Sigma(UQ)^*$$

is a SVD of A. Since $(UQ)^*VQ'$ is symmetric unitary, $(UQ)^*VQ' = ZZ^T$ for some $Z \in U(n)$ by Autonne Decomposition Lemma. Set $W := Z^*(UQ)^* \in U(n)$ so that $(UQ)^* = ZW$ and $VQ' = (UQ)ZZ^T = W^*Z^T$. Thus $A = W^*(Z^T\Sigma Z)W$, i.e., A is unitarily similar to the complex symmetric matrix $Z^T\Sigma Z$.

Corollary

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$ be nonsingular and $A = V\Sigma U^*$ a SVD of A. Then A is unitarily similar to a complex symmetric matrix if and only if $A_d := V(\Sigma - dI)U^*$ is unitarily similar to a complex symmetric matrix for any $d \leq \sigma_m$, where σ_m is the smallest singular value of A.

Extension to orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of the compact type

In this section, we extend the result of Vermeer in some form that makes sense in the context of orthogonal symmetric pair of the compact type. In this section, we extend the result of Vermeer in some form that makes sense in the context of orthogonal symmetric pair of the compact type.

Some Definitions:

In this section, we extend the result of Vermeer in some form that makes sense in the context of orthogonal symmetric pair of the compact type.

Some Definitions:

Let u be a compact semisimple Lie algebra and θ an involutive automorphism of u. Then u = t₀ ⊕ p_{*}, where t₀ and p_{*} are the +1 and -1 eigenspaces of θ respectively. It is easy to see that

$$[\mathfrak{k}_0,\mathfrak{k}_0]\subset\mathfrak{k}_0,\quad [\mathfrak{k}_0,\mathfrak{p}_*]\subset\mathfrak{p}_*,\quad [\mathfrak{p}_*,\mathfrak{p}_*]\subset\mathfrak{k}_0.$$

Some Definitions Cont'd:

- < ∃ →

Some Definitions Cont'd:

- < ∃ →

Some Definitions Cont'd:

 Let (U, K) be a pair associated with (u, θ). The pair (u, θ) or (U, K) is said to be an orthogonal symmetric pair of the compact type, and U/K is a Riemannian locally symmetric space.

Some Definitions Cont'd:

- Let (U, K) be a pair associated with (u, θ). The pair (u, θ) or (U, K) is said to be an orthogonal symmetric pair of the compact type, and U/K is a Riemannian locally symmetric space.
- The extension (also denoted by θ) of θ to g := u_C = u ⊕ iu defined by

$$\theta(X + iY) = \theta(X) + i\theta(Y),$$
 for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}$

is a (complex) involutive automorphism of \mathfrak{g} .

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

The statements of the following theorem are counterparts of (1), (2), (4) of Vermmer result.

The statements of the following theorem are counterparts of (1), (2), (4) of Vermmer result.

Theorem:

The statements of the following theorem are counterparts of (1), (2), (4) of Vermmer result.

Theorem:

Let (\mathfrak{u}, θ) be an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of the compact type. Let $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_*$ be the direct decomposition of \mathfrak{u} into ± 1 -eigenspaces of θ . Let (U, K) be a pair associated with (\mathfrak{u}, θ) . The following statements are equivalent for any $A \in \mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{u} \oplus i\mathfrak{u}$.

The statements of the following theorem are counterparts of (1), (2), (4) of Vermmer result.

Theorem:

Let (\mathfrak{u}, θ) be an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of the compact type. Let $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_*$ be the direct decomposition of \mathfrak{u} into ± 1 -eigenspaces of θ . Let (U, K) be a pair associated with (\mathfrak{u}, θ) . The following statements are equivalent for any $A \in \mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{u} \oplus i\mathfrak{u}$.

 Ad_U(u)A is invariant under −θ (θ, respectively) for some u ∈ U.

The statements of the following theorem are counterparts of (1), (2), (4) of Vermmer result.

Theorem:

Let (\mathfrak{u}, θ) be an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of the compact type. Let $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_*$ be the direct decomposition of \mathfrak{u} into ± 1 -eigenspaces of θ . Let (U, K) be a pair associated with (\mathfrak{u}, θ) . The following statements are equivalent for any $A \in \mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{u} \oplus i\mathfrak{u}$.

- $Ad_U(u)A$ is invariant under $-\theta$ (θ , respectively) for some $u \in U$.
- Q Ad_U(u)A is invariant under −θ (θ, respectively) for some u ∈ exp p_{*}.

The statements of the following theorem are counterparts of (1), (2), (4) of Vermmer result.

Theorem:

Let (\mathfrak{u}, θ) be an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of the compact type. Let $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_*$ be the direct decomposition of \mathfrak{u} into ± 1 -eigenspaces of θ . Let (U, K) be a pair associated with (\mathfrak{u}, θ) . The following statements are equivalent for any $A \in \mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{u} \oplus i\mathfrak{u}$.

- Ad_U(u)A is invariant under −θ (θ, respectively) for some u ∈ U.
- Q Ad_U(u)A is invariant under −θ (θ, respectively) for some u ∈ exp p_{*}.
- $Ad_U(u)A = -\theta(A) \ (\theta(A), \text{ respectively}) \text{ for some } u \in \exp \mathfrak{p}_*.$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

The statements of the following theorem are counterparts of (1), (2), (4) of Vermmer result.

Theorem:

Let (\mathfrak{u}, θ) be an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of the compact type. Let $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_*$ be the direct decomposition of \mathfrak{u} into ± 1 -eigenspaces of θ . Let (U, K) be a pair associated with (\mathfrak{u}, θ) . The following statements are equivalent for any $A \in \mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{u} \oplus i\mathfrak{u}$.

- Ad_U(u)A is invariant under −θ (θ, respectively) for some u ∈ U.
- Q Ad_U(u)A is invariant under −θ (θ, respectively) for some u ∈ exp p_{*}.
- $Ad_U(u)A = -\theta(A) \ (\theta(A), \text{ respectively}) \text{ for some } u \in \exp \mathfrak{p}_*.$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Example

Example

The previous Theorem is essentially corresponding to the orthogonal symmetric pair (\mathfrak{u}, θ) with $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{su}(n)$ and $\theta(X) = \overline{X}$, for which we have that $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(n)$, \mathfrak{p}_* consists of all purely imaginary symmetric traceless matrices, U = SU(n), K = SO(n), and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{su}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{isu}(n)$. The extension of θ to \mathfrak{g} is defined by

$$\theta(X+iY) = \theta X + i\theta Y = -(\bar{X})^* - i(\bar{Y})^* = -(X+iY)^T, X, Y \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$$

Thus $\theta(A) = -A^T$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ so that taking transpose on $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ amounts to $-\theta$ in the previous Theorem . Thus the $-\theta$ -invariant set Ω in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ consists of all traceless symmetric matrices.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

We remark that if we consider θ in the previous Theorem instead of $-\theta$, where $\theta(A) = -A^T$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we then have the following result, with an appropriate translation.

We remark that if we consider θ in the previous Theorem instead of $-\theta$, where $\theta(A) = -A^T$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we then have the following result, with an appropriate translation.

Theorem

We remark that if we consider θ in the previous Theorem instead of $-\theta$, where $\theta(A) = -A^T$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we then have the following result, with an appropriate translation.

Theorem

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent.

We remark that if we consider θ in the previous Theorem instead of $-\theta$, where $\theta(A) = -A^T$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we then have the following result, with an appropriate translation.

Theorem

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent.

A is unitarily similar to the sum of (trA/n)I and a complex skew symmetric matrix.

We remark that if we consider θ in the previous Theorem instead of $-\theta$, where $\theta(A) = -A^T$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we then have the following result, with an appropriate translation.

Theorem

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- A is unitarily similar to the sum of (trA/n)I and a complex skew symmetric matrix.
- Output: UAU* is the sum of (trA/n)I and a complex skew symmetric matrix for some symmetric unitary matrix U.

We remark that if we consider θ in the previous Theorem instead of $-\theta$, where $\theta(A) = -A^T$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we then have the following result, with an appropriate translation.

Theorem

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_{n \times n}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- A is unitarily similar to the sum of (trA/n)I and a complex skew symmetric matrix.
- UAU* is the sum of (trA/n)I and a complex skew symmetric matrix for some symmetric unitary matrix U.
- $VAV^* = 2(trA/n)I A^T$ for some symmetric unitary matrix V.

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

References

- Gantmacher, F.R., *The Theory of Matrices, Vol. 2*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1959.
- Garcia, S.R., Poore, D.E., Wyse, M.K., Unitary equivalence to a complex symmetric matrix: a modulus criterion, Oper. Matrices, **5** (2011), 273-287.
- Garcia, S.R., Tener, J.E., Unitary equivalence of a matrix to its transpose, to appear in J. Operator Theory.
- Helgason, S., *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- Horn, R.A., Johnson, C.R., *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- Horn, R.A., Johnson, C.R., *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.

- McIntosh, A., The Toeplitz-Hausdorff theorem and ellipticity conditions, Amer. Math. Monthly, **85** (1978), 475–477.
- Tam, T.Y., Yan, W., Unitary completions of complex symmetric and skew symmetric matrices, Appl. Math. E-Notes, 7 (2007), 84–92.
- Tener, J.E., Unitary equivalence to a complex symmetric matrix: an algorithm, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 341 (2008), 640–648.
- Vermeer, J., Orthogonal similarity of a real matrix and its transpose, Linear Algebra Appl., 428 (2008), 382–392.