

Low-lying zeros of cuspidal Maass forms

Nadine Amersi¹, Geoff Iyer², Oleg Lazarev³, Liyang Zhang⁴

Advisor: Steven J Miller⁵

<http://www.williams.edu/Mathematics/sjmilller>

¹University College London, ²University of Michigan, ³Princeton University,
^{4,5}Williams College

Joint Mathematics Meetings
Boston, Jan 5 2012

Introduction

L-functions

Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ primes}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$$

L-functions

Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ primes}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$$

L-functions generalizes the Riemann zeta-function:

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} L_p(s, f)^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

L-functions

Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ primes}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$$

L-functions generalizes the Riemann zeta-function:

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} L_p(s, f)^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Explicit Formula: Relates sums over zeros to sums over primes.

L-functions

Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ primes}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$$

L-functions generalizes the Riemann zeta-function:

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} L_p(s, f)^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Explicit Formula: Relates sums over zeros to sums over primes.

Functional Equation:

$$\Lambda(s, f) = \Lambda_{\infty}(s, f) L(s, f) = \Lambda(1 - s, f).$$

L-functions

Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_n \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ primes}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}$$

L-functions generalizes the Riemann zeta-function:

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} L_p(s, f)^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

Explicit Formula: Relates sums over zeros to sums over primes.

Functional Equation:

$$\Lambda(s, f) = \Lambda_{\infty}(s, f) L(s, f) = \Lambda(1 - s, f).$$

Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (RH):

All non-trivial zeros have $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$; can write zeros as $\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$.

Measures of Spacings: n -Level Density

n -level density for one function

$$D_{n,f}(\phi) = \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ \text{distinct}}} \phi_1\left(L_f \gamma_f^{(j_1)}\right) \cdots \phi_n\left(L_f \gamma_f^{(j_n)}\right)$$

- Test function $\phi(x) := \prod_i \phi_i(x_i)$, ϕ_i is even Schwartz function.
- Fourier Transforms $\widehat{\phi}$ has compact support: $(-\sigma, \sigma)$.
- Zeros scaled by L_f .
- Most of contribution is from low zeros.

Katz-Sarnak Conjecture

Conjecture (Katz-Sarnak)

(In the limit) Scaled distribution of zeros near central point agrees with scaled distribution of eigenvalues near 1 of a classical compact group.

Need to average n -level density over a family and take the limit of this parameter; as $|N| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} D_{n,f}(\phi) \rightarrow \int \cdots \int \phi(x) W_{n,\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})}(x) dx.$$

Cuspidal Maass Forms

Maass Forms

Definition: Maass Forms

A Maass form on a group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is a function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies:

- 1 $f(\gamma z) = f(z)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,
- 2 f vanishes at the cusps of Γ , and
- 3 $\Delta f = \lambda f$ for some $\lambda = s(1 - s) > 0$, where

$$\Delta = -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right)$$

is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathcal{H}$.

L -function associated to Maass forms

Write Fourier expansion of Maass form u_j as

$$u_j(z) = \cosh(t_j) \sum_{n \neq 0} \sqrt{y} \lambda_j(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi|n|y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

L -function associated to Maass forms

Write Fourier expansion of Maass form u_j as

$$u_j(z) = \cosh(t_j) \sum_{n \neq 0} \sqrt{y} \lambda_j(n) K_{it_j}(2\pi|n|y) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

Define L -function attached to u_j as

$$L(s, u_j) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_j(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_j(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

where $\alpha_j(p) + \beta_j(p) = \lambda_j(p)$, $\alpha_j(p)\beta_j(p) = 1$, $\lambda_j(1) = 1$.

n -level over a family

- Recall for Katz-Sarnak Conjecture,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} D_{n,f}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ j_i \neq \pm j_k}} \prod_i \phi_i \left(L_f \gamma_E^{(j_i)} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \int \cdots \int \phi(x) W_{n, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

n -level over a family

- Recall for Katz-Sarnak Conjecture,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} D_{n,f}(\phi) &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_N|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_N} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ j_i \neq \pm j_k}} \prod_i \phi_i \left(L_f \gamma_E^{(j_i)} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \int \cdots \int \phi(x) W_{n,\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

- For Dirichlet/cuspidal newform L -functions, there are many with a given conductor.
- Problem:** For Maass forms, expect at most one with a given conductor.

n-level over a family, continued

- **Solution:** Average over Laplace eigenvalues $\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_j^2$.

n -level over a family, continued

- **Solution:** Average over Laplace eigenvalues $\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_j^2$.
- two choices for the weight function h_T :

$$h_{1,T}(t_j) = \exp(-t_j^2/T^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues near the origin,

n -level over a family, continued

- **Solution:** Average over Laplace eigenvalues $\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_j^2$.
- two choices for the weight function h_T :

$$h_{1,T}(t_j) = \exp(-t_j^2/T^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues near the origin, or

$$h_{2,T}(t_j) = \exp(-(t_j - T)^2/L^2) + \exp(-(t_j + T)^2/L^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues centered at $\pm T$.

n-level over a family, continued

- **Solution:** Average over Laplace eigenvalues $\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_j^2$.
- two choices for the weight function h_T :

$$h_{1,T}(t_j) = \exp(-t_j^2/T^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues near the origin, or

$$h_{2,T}(t_j) = \exp(-(t_j - T)^2/L^2) + \exp(-(t_j + T)^2/L^2),$$

which picks out eigenvalues centered at $\pm T$.

- Weighted 1-level density becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} D_{n,u_j}(\phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \\ j_j \neq \pm j_k}} \prod_i \phi_i \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \log R \right) \end{aligned}$$

Results

1-Level Density

1-level density for one function

$$D(u_j; \phi) = \sum_{\gamma} \phi\left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \log R\right)$$

1-Level Density

1-level density for one function

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D(u_j; \phi) \\
 &= \text{Terms involving } \Gamma + \frac{2}{\log R} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R} \right) \\
 &\quad - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p) \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{\log p}{\log R} \right) - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p^2) \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi} \left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R} \right) \\
 &\quad + O \left(\frac{1}{\log R} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

- 1 Explicit formula.

1-Level Density

1-level density for one function

$$\begin{aligned} D(u_j; \phi) &= \hat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1 + t_j^2)}{\log R} + \frac{2}{\log R} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p) \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p^2) \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{\log R}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- 1 Explicit formula.
- 2 Gamma function identities

1-Level Density

1-level density for one function

$$\begin{aligned} D(u_j; \phi) &= \hat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1 + t_j^2)}{\log R} + \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) \\ &\quad - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p) \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) - \sum_p \frac{2\lambda_j(p^2) \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- 1 Explicit formula.
- 2 Gamma function identities
- 3 Prime Number Theorem

Average 1-level density

The weighted 1-level density becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} D(u_j; \phi) \\
 &= \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) + \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \hat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1+t_j^2)}{\log R} \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_p \frac{2 \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(p) \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_p \frac{2 \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(p^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Average 1-level density

The weighted 1-level density becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} D(u_j; \phi) \\
 &= \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) + \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_t(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \hat{\phi}(0) \frac{\log(1 + t_j^2)}{\log R} \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_p \frac{2 \log p}{p^{\frac{1}{2}} \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{\log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(p) \\
 & - \frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_p \frac{2 \log p}{p \log R} \hat{\phi}\left(\frac{2 \log p}{\log R}\right) \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(p^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Kuznetsov Trace Formula

To tackle terms with $\lambda_j(p)$ and $\lambda_j(p^2)$ we need the Kuznetsov Trace Formula:

Kuznetsov Trace Formula

To tackle terms with $\lambda_j(p)$ and $\lambda_j(p^2)$ we need the Kuznetsov Trace Formula:

$$\sum_j \frac{h(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(m) \overline{\lambda_j(n)}$$

= some function that depends just on h, m, and n

Kuznetsov Trace Formula

$$\sum_j \frac{h(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(m) \overline{\lambda_j(n)} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tau(m, r)} \tau(n, r) \frac{h(r)}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr =$$

$$\frac{\delta_{n,m}}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} r \tanh(r) h(r) dr + \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{S(n, m; c)}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{ir} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c} \right) \frac{h(r)r}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr$$

where

$$\tau(m, r) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}+ir} \Gamma(1/2 + ir)^{-1} \zeta(1 + 2ir)^{-1} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{ab=|m|} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{ir}.$$

$$S(n, m; c) = \sum_{0 \leq x \leq c-1, \gcd(x, c)=1} e^{2\pi i(nx + mx^*)/c}$$

$$J_{ir}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \Gamma(m + ir + 1)} \left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{2m+ir}.$$

Kuznetsov Formula

$$\sum_j \frac{h(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} \lambda_j(m) \overline{\lambda_j(n)} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\tau(m, r)} \tau(n, r) \frac{h(r)}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr =$$

$$\frac{\delta_{n,m}}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} r \tanh(r) h(r) dr + \frac{2i}{\pi} \sum_{c \geq 1} \frac{S(n, m; c)}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_{ir} \left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c} \right) \frac{h(r)r}{\cosh(\pi r)} dr$$

Result: 1-level density

Theorem (AILMZ, 2011)

If $h_T = h_{2,T}$, $T \rightarrow \infty$, and $\sigma < 2/3$ then 1-level density is

$$\frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} D(u_j; \phi) = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}(0) + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) + O(T^{\sigma(3/2+\epsilon)-\eta}).$$

Result: 1-level density

Theorem (AILMZ, 2011)

If $h_T = h_{2,T}$, $T \rightarrow \infty$, and $\sigma < 2/3$ then 1-level density is

$$\frac{1}{\sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2}} \sum_j \frac{h_T(t_j)}{\|u_j\|^2} D(u_j; \phi) = \frac{\phi(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}(0) + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R}\right) + O(T^{\sigma(3/2+\epsilon)-\eta}).$$

- This matches with the **orthogonal family** density as predicted by Katz-Sarnak.

Support

Can distinguish unitary and symplectic from the 3 orthogonal groups, but 1-level density cannot distinguish the orthogonal groups from each other if support in $(-1, 1)$.

Support

Can distinguish unitary and symplectic from the 3 orthogonal groups, but 1-level density cannot distinguish the orthogonal groups from each other if support in $(-1, 1)$.

2-level density can distinguish orthogonal groups with arbitrarily small support; additional term depending on distribution of signs of functional equations.

Result: 2-level density

Theorem (AILMZ, 2011)

Same conditions as before, for $\sigma < 1/3$ have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{2,\mathcal{F}}^* &= \prod_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{\phi_i(0)}{2} + \widehat{\phi}_i(0) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |z| \widehat{\phi}_1(z) \widehat{\phi}_2(z) dz \\
 &\quad - \phi_1(0) \phi_1(0) - 2 \widehat{\phi_1 \phi_2}(0) + (\phi_1 \phi_2)(0) \mathcal{N}(-1) \\
 &\quad + O\left(\frac{\log \log R}{\log R} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{N}(-1)$ is the weighted percent that have odd sign in functional equation.

Conclusion

Recap

- We calculated 1-level for $\sigma < 2/3$.
- Calculated 2-level densities for $\sigma < 1/3$ in order to distinguish the orthogonal families.
- We showed agreement with Katz-Sarnak conjecture.

Thank you!