Newman's Conjecture in Various Settings

Alan Chang (acsix@math.princeton.edu)

https://web.math.princeton.edu/~acsix/

SMALL REU 2013

Advisors:

Steven J. Miller, Professor at Williams College

Julio Andrade, Postdoc at IHES (beginning fall 2013)

Young Mathematicians Conference

August 10, 2013

What is Newman's conjecture about?

What is Newman's conjecture about?

- Newman's conjecture is related to the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$.

What is Newman's conjecture about?

- Newman's conjecture is related to the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$.
- It is an "almost counter-conjecture" to the Riemann hypothesis!

What is Newman's conjecture about?

- Newman's conjecture is related to the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$.
- It is an "almost counter-conjecture" to the Riemann hypothesis!
- What happens when we study Newman's conjecture in the function fields setting.

The Riemann zeta function is initially defined, for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

The Riemann zeta function is initially defined, for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \qquad \left(= \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \right).$$

The Riemann zeta function is initially defined, for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \qquad \left(= \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \right).$$

Riemann Hypothesis (1859)

If $\zeta(s) = 0$, then either s is a “trivial zero” or $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Define a new function $\Xi(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Define a new function $\Xi(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Idea: $\Xi(x)$ is closely related to $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)$.

Define a new function $\Xi(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Idea: $\Xi(x)$ is closely related to $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)$.

Technical details:
$\Xi(x) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)$, where $\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\zeta(s)$.

Define a new function $\Xi(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Idea: $\Xi(x)$ is closely related to $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)$.

Technical details:
$\Xi(x) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)$, where $\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\zeta(s)$.

Facts:

- If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\Xi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Define a new function $\Xi(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Idea: $\Xi(x)$ is closely related to $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ix)$.

Technical details:
$\Xi(x) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{2} + ix\right)$, where $\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})\zeta(s)$.

Facts:

- If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\Xi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$.
- RH is equivalent to: all the zeros of $\Xi(x)$ are real.

Pólya's idea (around 1920s):

$\Xi(x)$

- Step 0: Start with $\Xi(x)$

Pólya's idea (around 1920s):

$$\Xi(x) \xrightarrow{1} \Phi(u)$$

- Step 0: Start with $\Xi(x)$
- Step 1: Take the Fourier transform

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty \Xi(x)\cos ux\,dx.$$

Pólya's idea (around 1920s):

$$\Xi(x) \xrightarrow{\ 1\ } \Phi(u) \xrightarrow{\ 2\ } e^{tu^2}\Phi(u)$$

- Step 0: Start with $\Xi(x)$
- Step 1: Take the Fourier transform

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty \Xi(x)\cos ux\, dx.$$

- Step 2: Multiply by e^{tu^2}

Pólya's idea (around 1920s):

$$\Xi(x) \xrightarrow{\ 1\ } \Phi(u) \xrightarrow{\ 2\ } e^{tu^2}\Phi(u) \xrightarrow{\ 3\ } \Xi_t(x)$$

- Step 0: Start with $\Xi(x)$
- Step 1: Take the Fourier transform

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty \Xi(x)\cos ux\,dx.$$

- Step 2: Multiply by e^{tu^2}
- Step 3: Fourier inversion

$$\Xi_t(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{tu^2}\Phi(u)\cos ux\,du.$$

In other words, study a family of functions given by

$$\Xi_t(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{tu^2} \Phi(u) \cos ux \, du,$$

with $\Xi_0(x) = \Xi(x)$.

In other words, study a family of functions given by

$$\Xi_t(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{tu^2}\Phi(u)\cos ux\,du,$$

with $\Xi_0(x) = \Xi(x)$.

De Bruijn and Newman showed there exists $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (called the **De Bruijn–Newman constant**) which divides the real line in half:

In other words, study a family of functions given by

$$\Xi_t(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{tu^2} \Phi(u) \cos ux \, du,$$

with $\Xi_0(x) = \Xi(x)$.

De Bruijn and Newman showed there exists $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (called the **De Bruijn–Newman constant**) which divides the real line in half:



Relationship of Λ to RH



Relationship of Λ to RH



$$\text{RH} \iff \Xi_0 \text{ has only real zeros}$$

Relationship of Λ to RH



$$\text{RH} \iff \Xi_0 \text{ has only real zeros} \iff \Lambda \leq 0$$

Relationship of Λ to RH



$$\text{RH} \iff \Xi_0 \text{ has only real zeros} \iff \Lambda \leq 0$$

Conjecture (Newman)

$\Lambda \geq 0$

Relationship of Λ to RH



$$\text{RH} \iff \Xi_0 \text{ has only real zeros} \iff \Lambda \leq 0$$

Conjecture (Newman)

$\Lambda \geq 0$

Newman: "The new conjecture is a quantitative version of the dictum that the Riemann hypothesis, if true, is only barely so."

$$\Xi_t(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{tu^2}\Phi(u)\cos ux\,du$$

$$\Xi_t(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{tu^2}\Phi(u)\cos ux\,du$$

If we define $F(x,t) = \Xi_t(x)$, then

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} = 0.$$

$$\Xi_t(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{tu^2}\Phi(u)\cos ux\,du$$

If we define $F(x,t) = \Xi_t(x)$, then

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} = 0.$$

In other words $F(x,t)$ satisfies the **backwards heat equation.**

An example of something that solves the backwards heat equation:

$$f_t(x) = 10e^{4t}\cos 2x - 2\sqrt{5}e^{t}\cos x - 1$$

Movement of zeros

$t = 0$: $\quad (f_0(x) = 10\cos 2x - 2\sqrt{5}\cos x - 1)$



Zeros:

$$x_1, x_2 = \pm 0.532$$
$$x_3, x_4 = \pi \pm 0.879$$

As we can see, all four zeros of the original function f are real.

Movement of zeros

$t = -0.05$:



Zeros:

$$x_1, x_2 = \pm 0.473$$
$$x_3, x_4 = \pi \pm 0.889$$

As we move time back, the peaks get smaller.

Movement of zeros

$t = -0.1$:



Zeros:

$$x_1, x_2 = \pm 0.393$$
$$x_3, x_4 = \pi \pm 0.900$$

As we move time back, the peaks get smaller.

Movement of zeros

$t = -0.15$:



Zeros:

$$x_1, x_2 = \pm 0.269$$
$$x_3, x_4 = \pi \pm 0.911$$

As we move time back, the peaks get smaller.

Movement of zeros

$t \approx -0.188565066$:



Zeros:

$x_1, x_2 = 0$

$x_3, x_4 = \pi \pm 0.919$

At $t \approx -0.189$, the first two zeros coalesce!

Movement of zeros

$t = -0.25$:



Zeros:

$x_1, x_2 = \pm 0.152i$

$x_3, x_4 = \pi \pm 0.933$

If we keep moving time back, those zeros “pop off” the real line!

$f_t(x)$ at $t_0 \approx -0.188565066$:



$f_t(x)$ at $t_0 \approx -0.188565066$:





(RH: $\Lambda \leq 0$, Newman: $\Lambda \geq 0$.)

Year	Lower bound on Λ
1988	-50
1991	-5
1992	-0.39
1994	$-4.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$
2000	$-2.7 \cdot 10^{-9}$
2011	$-1.2 \cdot 10^{-11}$

(RH: $\Lambda \leq 0$, Newman: $\Lambda \geq 0$.)

Year	Lower bound on Λ
1988	-50
1991	-5
1992	-0.39
1994	$-4.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$
2000	$-2.7 \cdot 10^{-9}$
2011	$-1.2 \cdot 10^{-11}$

Strategy of Csordas, Smith, Varga (1994): look for “unusually” close pairs of zeros of $\Xi(x)$.

Stopple (2013) showed that the exact same setup can be done for certain "quadratic Dirichlet L-functions" $L(s, \chi_D)$.

Think: "$D \in \mathbb{Z}$ is fixed, so $L(s, \chi_D)$ is a function in s, just like $\zeta(s)$." Each L-function has its own constant Λ_D.

Stopple (2013) showed that the exact same setup can be done for certain "quadratic Dirichlet L-functions" $L(s, \chi_D)$.

Think: "$D \in \mathbb{Z}$ is fixed, so $L(s, \chi_D)$ is a function in s, just like $\zeta(s)$." Each L-function has its own constant Λ_D.

Generalized Newman Conjecture: $\Lambda_D \geq 0$ for all D.

Stopple (2013) showed that the exact same setup can be done for certain "quadratic Dirichlet *L*-functions" $L(s, \chi_D)$.

Think: "$D \in \mathbb{Z}$ is fixed, so $L(s, \chi_D)$ is a function in s, just like $\zeta(s)$." Each *L*-function has its own constant Λ_D.

Generalized Newman Conjecture: $\Lambda_D \geq 0$ for all D.

Stopple investigated weaker conjecture: $\sup \Lambda_D \geq 0$.

Stopple (2013) showed that the exact same setup can be done for certain "quadratic Dirichlet L-functions" $L(s, \chi_D)$.

Think: "$D \in \mathbb{Z}$ is fixed, so $L(s, \chi_D)$ is a function in s, just like $\zeta(s)$." Each L-function has its own constant Λ_D.

Generalized Newman Conjecture: $\Lambda_D \geq 0$ for all D.

Stopple investigated weaker conjecture: $\sup \Lambda_D \geq 0$.

Stopple found for $D = 175990483$, we have $-1.13 \cdot 10^{-7} < \Lambda_D$.

Possible to generalize these results even more?

For ζ and the *L*-functions Stopple looked at, the completed function satisfies "nicest" symmetry possible.

Possible to generalize these results even more?

For ζ and the L-functions Stopple looked at, the completed function satisfies "nicest" symmetry possible.

Technical details: Want $\xi(s, \chi_D) = \xi(1 - s, \chi_D)$.

Symmetries that are not good enough:

- $\xi(s, \chi) = \xi(1 - s, \overline{\chi})$
- $\xi(s, \chi) = \epsilon\xi(1 - s, \chi)$, where $\epsilon \neq 1$.

Looking for L-functions

Looking for L-functions

Automorphic L-functions!

Looking for L-functions

Automorphic L-functions!

Function field quadratic L-functions!

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. We will need to assume q is odd.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. We will need to assume q is odd.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ denote ring of polynomials in T with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. We will need to assume q is odd.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ denote ring of polynomials in T with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$.

$\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ (in "function field" setting) behaves a lot like $\mathbb{Z}$ (in "number field" setting).

As in number fields, can define quadratic Dirichlet L-function $L(s, \chi_D)$ for many $D \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$.

As in number fields, can define quadratic Dirichlet L-function $L(s, \chi_D)$ for many $D \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$.

Fact: $L(s, \chi_D)$ satisfies the “nicest” symmetry that we need for Newman!

As in number fields, can define quadratic Dirichlet *L*-function $L(s, \chi_D)$ for many $D \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$.

Fact: $L(s, \chi_D)$ satisfies the "nicest" symmetry that we need for Newman!

Bonus fact:

Theorem (RH for curves over a finite field)

If $L(s, \chi_D) = 0$, *then* $\mathrm{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Can define $\Xi(x, \chi_D)$, closely related to $L(\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{x}{\log q}, \chi_D)$.

It has a very nice form:

Can define $\Xi(x, \chi_D)$, closely related to $L(\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{x}{\log q}, \chi_D)$.

It has a very nice form:

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi(x, \chi_D) &= \Phi_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{g} \Phi_n \cdot (e^{inx} + e^{-inx}) \\ &= \Phi_0 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{g} \Phi_n \cdot \cos nx\end{aligned}$$

for some $\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_g \in \mathbb{R}$. ($\deg D - 1 = 2g$.)

$$\Xi(x, \chi_D) = \Phi_0 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{g} \Phi_n \cdot \cos nx$$

Can still follow Pólya.

$$\Xi(x, \chi_D) \xrightarrow{1} \Phi_n \xrightarrow{2} e^{tn^2} \Phi_n \xrightarrow{3} \Xi_t(x, \chi_D)$$

$$\Xi(x, \chi_D) = \Phi_0 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{g} \Phi_n \cdot \cos nx$$

Can still follow Pólya.

$$\Xi(x, \chi_D) \xrightarrow{\;1\;} \Phi_n \xrightarrow{\;2\;} e^{tn^2}\Phi_n \xrightarrow{\;3\;} \Xi_t(x, \chi_D)$$

Important! We use "different" kind of Fourier transform for this situation.

$$\Xi(x, \chi_D) = \Phi_0 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{g} \Phi_n \cdot \cos nx$$

Can still follow Pólya.

$$\Xi(x, \chi_D) \xrightarrow{1} \Phi_n \xrightarrow{2} e^{tn^2}\Phi_n \xrightarrow{3} \Xi_t(x, \chi_D)$$

Important! We use "different" kind of Fourier transform for this situation. We end up with

$$\Xi_t(x, \chi_D) = \Phi_0 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{g} e^{tn^2}\Phi_n \cdot \cos nx.$$

Our example from the beginning:

$$f_t(x) = 10e^{4t}\cos 2x - 2\sqrt{5}e^{t}\cos x - 1.$$



Our example from the beginning:

$$f_t(x) = 10e^{4t}\cos 2x - 2\sqrt{5}e^{t}\cos x - 1.$$



That is actually $\Xi_t(x, \chi_D)$ for

$$D = T^5 + T^4 + T^3 + 2T + 2 \in \mathbb{F}_5[T].$$

Newman's conjecture in function fields



So for $D = T^5 + T^4 + T^3 + 2T + 2 \in \mathbb{F}_5[T]$,

$$\Lambda_D \approx -0.188565066.$$

Newman's conjecture in function fields



So for $D = T^5 + T^4 + T^3 + 2T + 2 \in \mathbb{F}_5[T]$,

$$\Lambda_D \approx -0.188565066.$$



Very important: We were able to calculate Λ_D!!

Very important: We were able to calculate Λ_D!!

In our example, $\Lambda_D \approx -0.188565066 < 0$. Is this surprising?
(Recall for ζ: RH: $\Lambda \leq 0$. Newman: $\Lambda \geq 0$.)

Very important: We were able to calculate Λ_D!!

In our example, $\Lambda_D \approx -0.188565066 < 0$. Is this surprising?
(Recall for ζ: RH: $\Lambda \leq 0$. Newman: $\Lambda \geq 0$.)

Don't want to conjecture that $\Lambda_D \geq 0$ for all D.

Very important: We were able to calculate Λ_D!!

In our example, $\Lambda_D \approx -0.188565066 < 0$. Is this surprising?
(Recall for ζ: RH: $\Lambda \leq 0$. Newman: $\Lambda \geq 0$.)

Don't want to conjecture that $\Lambda_D \geq 0$ for all D.

Instead, do what Stopple did: consider an entire "family."

Many different kinds of families:

Many different kinds of families:

Conjecture (Newman for function fields, q version)

Keep q, the size of the finite field, fixed. Then

$$\sup_{D \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]} \Lambda_D \geq 0.$$

Many different kinds of families:

Conjecture (Newman for function fields, degree version)

Keep d, the degree, fixed. Then

$$\sup_{\deg D = d} \Lambda_D \geq 0.$$

Many different kinds of families:

Conjecture (Newman for function fields, D version)

Fix $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ squarefree. For each prime p, let D_p be the polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$ obtained by reducing D mod p. Then

$$\sup_p \Lambda_{D_p} \geq 0.$$

Fix $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ squarefree with $\deg D = 3$.

Fix $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ squarefree with $\deg D = 3$. For each odd prime p, we can reduce D to $D_p \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ and get the function

$$\Xi_t(x, \chi_{D_p}) \;=\; -a_p(D) \;+\; 2\sqrt{p}\, e^t \;\cos x.$$

Fix $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ squarefree with $\deg D = 3$. For each odd prime p, we can reduce D to $D_p \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ and get the function

$$\Xi_t(x, \chi_{D_p}) = -a_p(D) + 2\sqrt{p}\, e^t \cos x.$$

(Note: $a_p(D)$ is called the **trace of Frobenius.**)

Fix $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ squarefree with $\deg D = 3$. For each odd prime p, we can reduce D to $D_p \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ and get the function

$$\Xi_t(x, \chi_{D_p}) \;=\; -a_p(D) \;+\; 2\sqrt{p}\, e^t \;\cos x.$$

(Note: $a_p(D)$ is called the **trace of Frobenius.**)

Theorem (Exact expression for Λ_{D_p})

$$\Lambda_{D_p} = \log \frac{|a_p(D)|}{2\sqrt{p}}$$

$$\Lambda_{D_p} = \log \frac{|a_p(D)|}{2\sqrt{p}}$$

Hasse showed in the 1930s that $|a_p(D)| < 2\sqrt{p}$.

$$\Lambda_{D_p} = \log \frac{|a_p(D)|}{2\sqrt{p}}$$

Hasse showed in the 1930s that $|a_p(D)| < 2\sqrt{p}$.

What is the distribution of

$$\frac{a_p(D)}{2\sqrt{p}} \text{ ?}$$

Pick any squarefree $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$. Then $a_p(D)/(2\sqrt{p})$ will give you one of two distributions:

Pick any squarefree $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$. Then $a_p(D)/(2\sqrt{p})$ will give you one of two distributions:



Pick any squarefree $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$. Then $a_p(D)/(2\sqrt{p})$ will give you one of two distributions:



Pick any squarefree $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$. Then $a_p(D)/(2\sqrt{p})$ will give you one of two distributions:



Easy to show if D has "complex multiplication," then will have distribution on left.

Conjecture (Sato–Tate, 1960s)

Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ be squarefree and such that the elliptic curve $y^2 = D(T)$ does not have "complex multiplication." Then as p varies, the distribution of $\frac{a_p(D)}{2\sqrt{p}}$ is:



Conjecture (Sato–Tate, 1960s)

Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ be squarefree and such that the elliptic curve $y^2 = D(T)$ does not have "complex multiplication." Then as p varies, the distribution of $\frac{a_p(D)}{2\sqrt{p}}$ is:



Clozel, Harris, Shepherd-Barron, and Taylor announced a proof in 2006. (They only proved for special cases.)

$$\Lambda_{D_p} = \log \frac{|a_p(D)|}{2\sqrt{p}}$$

Theorem (Newman's conjecture for fixed D, $\deg D = 3$)

Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ be squarefree with $\deg D = 3$. Then $\sup_p \Lambda_{D_p} = 0$.

$$\Lambda_{D_p} = \log \frac{|a_p(D)|}{2\sqrt{p}}$$

Theorem (Newman's conjecture for fixed D, $\deg D = 3$)

Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ be squarefree with $\deg D = 3$. Then $\sup_p \Lambda_{D_p} = 0$.

Proof.

We can find a sequence of primes $p_1, p_2, \ldots$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{a_{p_n}(D)}{2\sqrt{p_n}} \to 1.$$

□

Things to look at?

- Fix D of higher degree? (much harder)
- Study the other versions of Newman's conjecture.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to:

- SMALL, especially my advisors Steven J. Miller and Julio Andrade.
- Ohio State and organizers of YMC.
- NSF. This research was funded by NSF Grant DMS0850577 (SMALL GRANT).

Also, thank **you** for listening!