

The n -Level Density of Dirichlet L -Functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

Julio Andrade (julio_andrade@brown.edu)

Steven J. Miller (steven.j.miller@williams.edu)

Kyle Pratt (kyle.pratt@byu.net)

Minh-Tam Trinh (mtrinh@princeton.edu)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Williams College

10 August 2013

The authors thank the SMALL program and Williams College for providing an environment conducive to work. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, under grant DMS-0850577.

Background

Definition (Riemann Zeta Function)

For all s in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, let $\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$.

Background

Definition (Riemann Zeta Function)

For all s in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, let $\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$.

- 1 ζ can be written as **Euler product**: $\zeta(s) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$.

Background

Definition (Riemann Zeta Function)

For all s in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, let $\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$.

- 1 ζ can be written as **Euler product**: $\zeta(s) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$.
- 2 Exists a unique way to continue ζ to smooth function on \mathbb{C} .
Continued function has a **functional equation** with symmetry at $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2$:

$$\pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$$

where $\Gamma(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^\times} t^s e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t}$ is the gamma function.

① L -series: $L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$.

- 1 L -series: $L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$.
- 2 Euler product? Continuation to \mathbb{C} ? Functional equation?

- ① L -series: $L(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$.
- ② Euler product? Continuation to \mathbb{C} ? Functional equation?
- ③ Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$. A **Dirichlet L -function** of conductor N is an L -function of the form

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) n^{-s}$$

where:

- ① If n is not coprime to N , then $\chi(n) = 0$.
- ② Else, χ factors through a character $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.
- ③ N is the minimal positive integer such that this holds.

- 1 We say $L(s)$ satisfies a **Riemann Hypothesis** iff its zeroes in the region $\operatorname{Re} s \in [0, 1]$ all live on the line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2$.

- 1 We say $L(s)$ satisfies a **Riemann Hypothesis** iff its zeroes in the region $\operatorname{Re} s \in [0, 1]$ all live on the line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2$.
- 2 Level density statistics tell us how the zeroes of $L(s)$ are distributed on the line.

- 1 We say $L(s)$ satisfies a **Riemann Hypothesis** iff its zeroes in the region $\operatorname{Re} s \in [0, 1]$ all live on the line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2$.
- 2 Level density statistics tell us how the zeroes of $L(s)$ are distributed on the line.

Definition (n -Level Density)

Assume $L(s)$ has RH. Write zeroes in form $1/2 + i\gamma_j$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be integrable. We define

$$D_{n,L}(\phi) := \sum_{\substack{\gamma_{j_1}, \dots, \gamma_{j_n} \\ \text{distinct}}} \phi \left(\gamma_{j_1} \cdot \frac{\log c}{2\pi}, \dots, \gamma_{j_n} \cdot \frac{\log c}{2\pi} \right)$$

where c is a normalization constant, the **analytic conductor** of L (Normalizes so that average spacing is 1).

Density Conjectures

The **Katz-Sarnak Philosophy** says:

- ① L -functions fall into “families” \mathcal{F} . Given N , elements with conductor $c \asymp N$ have similar zero distributions. Form subfamily \mathcal{F}_N .

Density Conjectures

The **Katz-Sarnak Philosophy** says:

- 1 L -functions fall into “families” \mathcal{F} . Given N , elements with conductor $c \asymp N$ have similar zero distributions. Form subfamily \mathcal{F}_N .
- 2 Average zero distribution, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, has same limit as distribution of eigenangles in some compact matrix group G , as dimension $\rightarrow \infty$.

Density Conjectures

The **Katz-Sarnak Philosophy** says:

- ① L -functions fall into “families” \mathcal{F} . Given N , elements with conductor $c \asymp N$ have similar zero distributions. Form subfamily \mathcal{F}_N .
- ② Average zero distribution, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, has same limit as distribution of eigenangles in some compact matrix group G , as dimension $\rightarrow \infty$.

Conjecture that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n,\mathcal{F}_N}(\phi) &:= \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{F}_N} \sum_{L \in \mathcal{F}_N} D_{n,L}(\phi) \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) W_{n,G}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \end{aligned}$$

where $W_{n,G}$ depends only on n and G .

Katz-Sarnak is Hard

- ① For the Riemann zeta function and families of L -functions over number fields, little is known.

Katz-Sarnak is Hard

- ① For the Riemann zeta function and families of L -functions over number fields, little is known.
- ② Most results assume $\hat{\phi}$ exists and is supported within a fixed interval, usually $[-2, +2]$. Want to remove this restriction!

Katz-Sarnak is Hard

- ① For the Riemann zeta function and families of L -functions over number fields, little is known.
- ② Most results assume $\hat{\phi}$ exists and is supported within a fixed interval, usually $[-2, +2]$. Want to remove this restriction!
- ③ Can say more about families over **function fields**.

L -Functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- 1 Fix a prime power q . Define $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ to be ring of polynomials in T over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q .

L -Functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- 1 Fix a prime power q . Define $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ to be ring of polynomials in T over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q .
- 2 $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ is a “cousin” of the integers \mathbb{Z} .

L -Functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- 1 Fix a prime power q . Define $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ to be ring of polynomials in T over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q .
- 2 $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ is a “cousin” of the integers \mathbb{Z} .

3

$\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$	$\mathbb{F}_q[T] \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q(T)$
Positive n	Monic f
Prime p	Irreducible P
$ n = \#\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$	$ f = \#\mathbb{F}_q[T]/f\mathbb{F}_q[T] = q^{\deg f}$

L -Functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- 1 Fix a prime power q . Define $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ to be ring of polynomials in T over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q .
- 2 $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ is a “cousin” of the integers \mathbb{Z} .

3

$\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$	$\mathbb{F}_q[T] \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q(T)$
Positive n	Monic f
Prime p	Irreducible P
$ n = \#\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$	$ f = \#\mathbb{F}_q[T]/f\mathbb{F}_q[T] = q^{\deg f}$
$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$	$\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s) = \sum_f' f ^{-s}$
$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)n^{-s}$	$L_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s, \chi) = \sum_f' \chi(f) f ^{-s}$

Properties of L -Functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- 1 $\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s)$ is very simple:

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{1-s}}$$

Properties of L -Functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- 1 $\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s)$ is very simple:

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{1-s}}$$

- 2 $L_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s, \chi)$ is a polynomial in q^{-s} , so has finitely many zeros.

Properties of L -Functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- 1 $\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s)$ is very simple:

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{1-s}}$$

- 2 $L_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s, \chi)$ is a polynomial in q^{-s} , so has finitely many zeros.
- 3 Weil: Nontrivial zeros of $L_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s, \chi)$ are

$$s = 1/2 + i\gamma_\chi$$

with $\gamma_\chi \in \mathbb{R}$. Geometric RH has been proven! (Rosen, *Number Theory in Function Fields*, p. 41)

Level Density over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- 1 $L_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s, \chi)$ is vertically periodic with period $T_q = 2\pi / \log q$.

Level Density over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- ① $L_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s, \chi)$ is vertically periodic with period $T_q = 2\pi / \log q$.
- ② Test function ϕ must be periodic. If absolutely integrable with bounded variation, then can write

$$\phi(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_\phi(n) e^{2\pi i n s}$$

after normalizing period to 1.

Level Density over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

- ① $L_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s, \chi)$ is vertically periodic with period $T_q = 2\pi / \log q$.
- ② Test function ϕ must be periodic. If absolutely integrable with bounded variation, then can write

$$\phi(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_\phi(n) e^{2\pi i n s}$$

after normalizing period to 1.

- ③ Log-conductor is number of zeroes of $L_{\mathbb{F}_q[T]}(s, \chi)$. Do not include conductor in normalization of spacings. Weight zeroes to have total mass 1.

Context

Let $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be irreducible of degree d . Let \mathcal{F}_Q be family of Dirichlet L -functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of conductor Q .

Context

Let $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be irreducible of degree d . Let \mathcal{F}_Q be family of Dirichlet L -functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of conductor Q .

- 1 In the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$ with d fixed, **Sato-Tate equidistribution** for $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ implies that this family has unitary statistics

$$D_{1, \mathcal{F}_Q}(\phi) := \frac{1}{(d-1)\#\mathcal{F}_Q} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_Q} \sum_{\gamma_\chi} \phi(\gamma_\chi/T_q) \rightarrow a_\phi(0)$$

Context

Let $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be irreducible of degree d . Let \mathcal{F}_Q be family of Dirichlet L -functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of conductor Q .

- 1 In the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$ with d fixed, **Sato-Tate equidistribution** for $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ implies that this family has unitary statistics

$$D_{1, \mathcal{F}_Q}(\phi) := \frac{1}{(d-1)\#\mathcal{F}_Q} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_Q} \sum_{\gamma_\chi} \phi(\gamma_\chi/T_q) \rightarrow a_\phi(0)$$

- 2 The limit $d \rightarrow \infty$ with q fixed is less clear. Xiong studied families of degree- d curves with fixed Galois group over $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Context

Let $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be irreducible of degree d . Let \mathcal{F}_Q be family of Dirichlet L -functions over $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ of conductor Q .

- ① In the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$ with d fixed, **Sato-Tate equidistribution** for $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$ implies that this family has unitary statistics

$$D_{1, \mathcal{F}_Q}(\phi) := \frac{1}{(d-1)\#\mathcal{F}_Q} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_Q} \sum_{\gamma_\chi} \phi(\gamma_\chi/T_q) \rightarrow a_\phi(0)$$

- ② The limit $d \rightarrow \infty$ with q fixed is less clear. Xiong studied families of degree- d curves with fixed Galois group over $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- ③ In studying \mathcal{F}_Q , we extend Katz-Sarnak to a new kind of geometric family.

1-Level Density

Theorem (A-M-P-T)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be irreducible of degree $d \geq 2$. Let \mathcal{F}_Q be the family of Dirichlet L -functions of conductor Q . Let $\phi(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_\phi(n) e^{2\pi i n s}$ be a test function such that, for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$a_\phi(n) \ll \frac{1}{n^{2+\epsilon} q^{n/2}} \quad (2.1)$$

for all n large enough. Then

$$D_{1, \mathcal{F}_Q}(\phi) = a_\phi(0) - \frac{1}{(d-1)(q-1)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{a_\phi(n)}{q^{|n|/2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon d^{1+\epsilon} q^d}\right) \quad (2.2)$$

Proof sketch.

- 1 Start with $\phi_0(s) = \phi(s/T_q)/(d-1)$ and $1/2 < c < 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma_{\chi,j}}^{\mathcal{F}_Q} \phi_0(\gamma_{\chi,j}) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma_{\chi,j}}^{\mathcal{F}_Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{c-\frac{\pi i}{\log q}}^{c+\frac{\pi i}{\log q}} - \int_{1-c-\frac{\pi i}{\log q}}^{1-c+\frac{\pi i}{\log q}} \right) \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) \phi_0(-i(s-1/2)) \, ds \end{aligned}$$

Proof sketch.

- 1 Start with $\phi_0(s) = \phi(s/T_q)/(d-1)$ and $1/2 < c < 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma_{\chi,j}}^{\mathcal{F}_Q} \phi_0(\gamma_{\chi,j}) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma_{\chi,j}}^{\mathcal{F}_Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{c-\frac{\pi i}{\log q}}^{c+\frac{\pi i}{\log q}} - \int_{1-c-\frac{\pi i}{\log q}}^{1-c+\frac{\pi i}{\log q}} \right) \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) \phi_0(-i(s-1/2)) \, ds \end{aligned}$$

- 2 Replace the integral on the line $1-c$ using the functional equation of $L(s, \chi)$.

Proof sketch.

- 1 Start with $\phi_0(s) = \phi(s/T_q)/(d-1)$ and $1/2 < c < 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\gamma_{\chi,j}}^{\mathcal{F}_Q} \phi_0(\gamma_{\chi,j}) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma_{\chi,j}}^{\mathcal{F}_Q} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{c-\frac{\pi i}{\log q}}^{c+\frac{\pi i}{\log q}} - \int_{1-c-\frac{\pi i}{\log q}}^{1-c+\frac{\pi i}{\log q}} \right) \frac{L'}{L}(s, \chi) \phi_0(-i(s-1/2)) \, ds \end{aligned}$$

- 2 Replace the integral on the line $1-c$ using the functional equation of $L(s, \chi)$.
- 3 Key idea: ϕ has Fourier series rather than Fourier transform.

Proof sketch, cont.

- 1 Pulling out Fourier coefficients of ϕ , we get a geometric “Explicit Formula.” Estimate

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\deg f = n \\ f \equiv 1 \pmod{Q}}} \frac{\Lambda(f) a_{\phi}(n)}{q^{n/2}}$$

Think of $\Lambda(f)$ as “indicator on irreducible powers.”

Proof sketch, cont.

- 1 Pulling out Fourier coefficients of ϕ , we get a geometric “Explicit Formula.” Estimate

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\deg f = n \\ f \equiv 1 \pmod{Q}}} \frac{\Lambda(f) a_{\phi}(n)}{q^{n/2}}$$

Think of $\Lambda(f)$ as “indicator on irreducible powers.”

- 2 Tempted to use Primes in Arithmetic Progressions Theorem.

Proof sketch, cont.

- 1 Pulling out Fourier coefficients of ϕ , we get a geometric “Explicit Formula.” Estimate

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\deg f = n \\ f \equiv 1 \pmod{Q}}} \frac{\Lambda(f) a_{\phi}(n)}{q^{n/2}}$$

Think of $\Lambda(f)$ as “indicator on irreducible powers.”

- 2 Tempted to use Primes in Arithmetic Progressions Theorem.
- 3 Key idea: Replace with seemingly cruder bound that does not grow exponentially in d .

Montgomery's Conjecture for $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$

To remove restriction on $a_\phi(n)$:

Conjecture (Montgomery-A-M-P-T)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be irreducible of degree $d \geq 2$. Let $s = 1/2 + i\gamma_\chi$ run through zeros of $L(s, \chi) \in \mathcal{F}_Q$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \sum_{\gamma_\chi} q^{in\gamma_\chi} \ll (d-1)(\#\mathcal{F}_Q)^{1-\delta}$$

for all n .

Using Erdős-Turán, can determine conjectural error term:

Proposition (A-M-P-T)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be irreducible of degree $d \geq 2$. Let $s = 1/2 + i\gamma_\chi$ run through zeros of $L(s, \chi) \in \mathcal{F}_Q$. Suppose that for some $0 \leq \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 < 1$,

$$\sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \sum_{\gamma_\chi} q^{in\gamma_\chi j} \ll (d-1)^{1-\epsilon_1} \#\mathcal{F}_Q^{1-\epsilon_2}$$

holds for all n . Then

$$D_{1, \mathcal{F}_Q}(\phi) = a_\phi(0) + O\left(\frac{\epsilon_2 d}{d^{\epsilon_1} (\#\mathcal{F}_Q)^{\epsilon_2}}\right)$$

for all test functions $\phi(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_\phi(n) e^{2\pi i n s}$.

2-Level Density

Theorem (A-M-P-T)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be irreducible of degree $d \geq 2$. Let $\phi(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_\phi(n_1, n_2) e^{2\pi i n \cdot s}$, such that for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$a_\phi(n_1, n_2) \ll \frac{1}{(n_1 n_2)^{2+\epsilon} q^{(n_1+n_2)/2}}$$

for all n large enough. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2, \mathcal{F}_Q}(\phi) &:= \frac{1}{(d-1)^2 \# \mathcal{F}_Q} \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{F}_Q} \sum_{\gamma_{\chi,1} \neq \gamma_{\chi,2}} \phi\left(\frac{\gamma_{\chi,1}}{T_q}, \frac{\gamma_{\chi,2}}{T_q}\right) \\ &\rightarrow a_\phi(0,0) - a_{\phi_{\text{diag}}}(0) \end{aligned}$$

as $d \rightarrow \infty$, where $\phi_{\text{diag}}(s) = \phi(s, s)$. The rate of convergence can be made explicit.

Future Directions

- 1 Not too interesting to replace $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$, the rational function field, with a finite extension.

Future Directions

- ① Not too interesting to replace $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$, the rational function field, with a finite extension.
- ② Andrade is developing similar results for the hyperelliptic ensemble, conjectured to have symplectic statistics.

Ratios Conjecture

- ① Tool for handling n -level density is the **Ratios Conjecture**.

Estimate

$$\sum_{L \in \mathcal{F}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{L(1/2 + \alpha_j)}{L(1/2 + \gamma_j)}$$

using **approximate functional equation** and “illegal” averaging heuristics.

Ratios Conjecture

- 1 Tool for handling n -level density is the **Ratios Conjecture**.

Estimate

$$\sum_{L \in \mathcal{F}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{L(1/2 + \alpha_j)}{L(1/2 + \gamma_j)}$$

using **approximate functional equation** and “illegal” averaging heuristics.

- 2 To compute n -level density from Ratios Conjecture:
 - 1 Differentiate estimate w.r.t. $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and set $\gamma_j = \alpha_j$. Error is still small.
 - 2 Again, contour integration!

Conrey-Snaith and Mason stated Ratios for number-field families:

- ① Shifts of $\zeta(s)$.
- ② Quadratic Dirichlet L -functions $L(s, \chi_D)$ with $D \leq N$.
- ③ Quadratic Dirichlet twists of fixed $L(s, E)$ of even sign.

Conrey-Snaith and Mason stated Ratios for number-field families:

- 1 Shifts of $\zeta(s)$.
- 2 Quadratic Dirichlet L -functions $L(s, \chi_D)$ with $D \leq N$.
- 3 Quadratic Dirichlet twists of fixed $L(s, E)$ of even sign.

Conjecture (A-M-P-T)

Let $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be irreducible of degree ≥ 2 . Choose $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \delta_j$ in the region $\{s : |\operatorname{Re} s| < 1/4 \text{ and } |\operatorname{Im} s| \ll |Q|\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{L(1/2 + \alpha_j, \chi) L(1/2 + \beta_j, \bar{\chi})}{L(1/2 + \gamma_j, \chi) L(1/2 + \delta_j, \bar{\chi})} \\ &= \#\mathcal{F}_Q \cdot \prod_{j,k=1}^n \frac{(1 - q^{-(\alpha_j + \delta_k)})(1 - q^{-(\beta_j + \gamma_k)})}{(1 - q^{-(\alpha_j + \beta_k)})(1 - q^{-(\gamma_j + \delta_k)})} A_{\mathcal{F}_Q} + O(|Q|^{1/2+\epsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$, where $A_{\mathcal{F}_Q} = A_{\mathcal{F}_Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \approx 1$ is an Euler product.

FINIS

We thank our advisors, Steven J. Miller and Julio Andrade, as well as the SMALL program at Williams College. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, under grant DMS-0850577.