

Futurama Theorem and Products of Distinct Transpositions

Lihua Huang

Advisor: Professor Ron Evans
University of California, San Diego

July 28, 2012

Keeler's Method

Let $P = C_1 \cdots C_r$, where the C_i are disjoint cycles. Let $C_1 = (a_1 \dots a_k)$, and define

$$\sigma_1 = (xa_1)(xa_2) \cdots (xa_{k-1}) \cdot (ya_k)(xa_k)(ya_1). \quad (1)$$

Then $\sigma_1 C_1 = (xy)$, where x, y are outsiders. For each C_i , we have analogous products σ_i for which $\sigma_i C_i = (xy)$. Taking

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} (xy)\sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_r, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd} \\ \sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_r, & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

we find that σ **undoes** P . Let n be the number of entries in P . By (1) and (2), the number of factors in Keeler's σ is either **$n + 2r + 1$** or **$n + 2r$** according as r is odd or even.

Our Optimal Refinement of Futurama/Keeler's Theorem

Theorem

*Let $P = C_1 \cdots C_r$ be a product of r disjoint cycles in S_n , where n is the number of entries in P . Then P can be undone by a product λ of $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{2}$ distinct transpositions in S_{n+2} each containing at least one of the outside entries $x = n + 1$, $y = n + 2$. Moreover, this result is **best possible** in the sense that $n + r + 2$ cannot be replaced by a smaller number.*

Our Best Possible Algorithm

Recall $C_1 = (a_1 \dots a_k)$. Corresponding to the cycle C_1 , define

$$G_1(x) = (a_1x)(a_2x) \cdots (a_kx), \quad F_1(x) = (a_1x).$$

Corresponding to each cycle C_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$, define $G_i(x)$ and $F_i(x)$ analogously. Set

$$\lambda = (xy) \cdot G_r(x) \cdots G_2(x) \cdot (a_kx)G_1(y)(a_1x) \cdot F_2(y) \cdots F_r(y).$$

It is readily checked that λ undoes P and that λ is a product of **$n + r + 2$** distinct transpositions in S_{n+2} each containing at least one of the outside entries x, y .

Grime's Question

Let $P = (93)(67)(12)(87)(43)(85)(84) = (12)(3456789)$.

Grime's Question

Let $P = (93)(67)(12)(87)(43)(85)(84) = (12)(3456789)$.

Keeler's $\sigma =$ product of

Grime's Question

Let $P = (93)(67)(12)(87)(43)(85)(84) = (12)(3456789)$.

Keeler's $\sigma =$ product of $n + 2r = 9 + 4 = 13$ transpositions.

Grime's Question

Let $P = (93)(67)(12)(87)(43)(85)(84) = (12)(3456789)$.

Keeler's $\sigma =$ product of $n + 2r = 9 + 4 = 13$ transpositions.

Grime's $\sigma = (71)(42)(81)(52)(91)(62)(31)(72)(41)$, a product of only 9 transpositions.

Grime's Question

Let $P = (93)(67)(12)(87)(43)(85)(84) = (12)(3456789)$.

Keeler's $\sigma =$ product of $n + 2r = 9 + 4 = 13$ transpositions.

Grime's $\sigma = (7\mathbf{1})(4\mathbf{2})(8\mathbf{1})(5\mathbf{2})(9\mathbf{1})(6\mathbf{2})(3\mathbf{1})(7\mathbf{2})(4\mathbf{1})$, a product of only 9 transpositions.

He called this “Grime's Corollary” in his video, where he issued the challenge:

Grime's Question

Let $P = (93)(67)(12)(87)(43)(85)(84) = (12)(3456789)$.

Keeler's $\sigma =$ product of $n + 2r = 9 + 4 = 13$ transpositions.

Grime's $\sigma = (71)(42)(81)(52)(91)(62)(31)(72)(41)$, a product of only 9 transpositions.

He called this “Grime's Corollary” in his video, where he issued the challenge: **Can one do better than 9 switches to undo P?**

Two Lemmas

Lemma (1)

Let $2 \leq k \leq n$. Then no k -cycle in S_n can be a product of fewer than $k - 1$ transpositions.

Lemma (2)

Let $2 \leq k \leq n$. Suppose that $(a_1 \cdots a_k) \in S_n$ equals a product P of exactly $k - 1$ transpositions in S_n . Then every entry in the product P is one of the a_i .

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).



Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that P can be undone by a product σ of at most 7 transpositions.

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that P can be undone by a product σ of at most 7 transpositions.

$$1 \quad \sigma(13) = (9876543)(21)(13)$$

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that P can be undone by a product σ of at most 7 transpositions.

$$\boxed{1} \quad \sigma(13) = (9876543)(21)(13) = (987654321)$$

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that P can be undone by a product σ of at most 7 transpositions.

1 $\sigma(13) = (9876543)(21)(13) = (987654321)$

2 Lemma 1 implies that $\sigma = abcdefg$;

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that P can be undone by a product σ of at most 7 transpositions.

1 $\sigma(13) = (9876543)(21)(13) = (987654321)$

2 Lemma 1 implies that $\sigma = abcdefg$; Lemma 2 implies all entries in σ are in $\{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$.

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that P can be undone by a product σ of at most 7 transpositions.

- 1 $\sigma(13) = (9876543)(21)(13) = (987654321)$
- 2 Lemma 1 implies that $\sigma = abcdefg$; Lemma 2 implies all entries in σ are in $\{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$.
- 3 Consider the rightmost factor of σ which has one of the entries 1, 2. WLOG, this factor is (13).

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that P can be undone by a product σ of at most 7 transpositions.

- 1 $\sigma(13) = (9876543)(21)(13) = (987654321)$
- 2 Lemma 1 implies that $\sigma = abcdefg$; Lemma 2 implies all entries in σ are in $\{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$.
- 3 Consider the rightmost factor of σ which has one of the entries 1, 2. WLOG, this factor is (13).
- 4 Move (13) to the far right in σ . New $\sigma = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}\tilde{e}\tilde{f}(13)$.

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that P can be undone by a product σ of at most 7 transpositions.

- 1 $\sigma(13) = (9876543)(21)(13) = (987654321)$
- 2 Lemma 1 implies that $\sigma = abcdefg$; Lemma 2 implies all entries in σ are in $\{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$.
- 3 Consider the rightmost factor of σ which has one of the entries 1, 2. WLOG, this factor is (13).
- 4 Move (13) to the far right in σ . New $\sigma = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}\tilde{e}\tilde{f}(13)$.
- 5 $\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}\tilde{e}\tilde{f}(13)(13) = (9876543)(21)(13) = (987654321)$.

Proof (Grime's Corollary is Best Possible).

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that P can be undone by a product σ of at most 7 transpositions.

- 1 $\sigma(13) = (9876543)(21)(13) = (987654321)$
- 2 Lemma 1 implies that $\sigma = abcdefg$; Lemma 2 implies all entries in σ are in $\{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$.
- 3 Consider the rightmost factor of σ which has one of the entries 1, 2. WLOG, this factor is (13).
- 4 Move (13) to the far right in σ . New $\sigma = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}\tilde{e}\tilde{f}(13)$.
- 5 $\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{c}\tilde{d}\tilde{e}\tilde{f}(13)(13) = (9876543)(21)(13) = (987654321)$.
- 6 $6 < (9 - 1)$, which contradicts Lemma 1.



Our Optimal Method to Undo the **Stargate** Switch (with no outsiders)

Our Optimal Method to Undo the **Stargate** Switch (with no outsiders)

Theorem

*For $n > 1$, let $\mathbf{P} = (12)(34) \cdots (2n-1, 2n)$, a product of n disjoint transpositions in S_{2n} . Then P can be undone by a product of $2n+1$ or $2n$ distinct transpositions according as n is odd or even. This result is **best possible**.*

Example: For $P = (12)(34)(56)(78)(9, 10)$, find $\sigma \in S_{10}$ s.t. σ undoes P .

Example: For $P = (12)(34)(56)(78)(9, 10)$, find $\sigma \in S_{10}$ s.t. σ undoes P .

Our Solution: $\sigma =$
 $(13)(16)(45)(46)(35)(25)(15)(8, 10)(79)(89)(7, 10).$

Example: For $P = (12)(34)(56)(78)(9, 10)$, find $\sigma \in S_{10}$ s.t. σ undoes P .

Our Solution: $\sigma =$
 $(13)(16)(45)(46)(35)(25)(15)(8, 10)(79)(89)(7, 10).$
 $\sigma P = I.$

Example: For $P = (12)(34)(56)(78)(9, 10)$, find $\sigma \in S_{10}$ s.t. σ undoes P .

Our Solution: $\sigma =$
 $(13)(16)(45)(46)(35)(25)(15)(8, 10)(79)(89)(7, 10)$.
 $\sigma P = I$.

Number of switches:

Example: For $P = (12)(34)(56)(78)(9, 10)$, find $\sigma \in S_{10}$ s.t. σ undoes P .

Our Solution: $\sigma =$
 $(13)(16)(45)(46)(35)(25)(15)(8, 10)(79)(89)(7, 10).$
 $\sigma P = I.$

Number of switches: $2n + 1 = 2(5) + 1 = 11$

Acknowledgements:

- Professor Ron Evans (UCSD)
- Ohio State University, NSF, and YMC

Thank YOU for listening! :)